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Kahn writes (p7. of The full faithfulness conjectures in characteristic \( p \), à paraître dans les actes de l’école d’été franco-asiatique de géométrie algébrique et de théorie des nombres (IHÉS, juillet 2006), vol. 2):

Milne writes [this talk, §1] that Tate already formulated conjecture 3.1.2 [Beilinson’s conjecture] orally at the Woods Hole seminar 1964.

I don’t, and Tate didn’t (so far as anyone knows).

Some misprints (Bhupendra Nath Tiwari):

p.9 (4.1) ... \( Q \) in the sense of Kleiman 1994, .. 3) \( \rightarrow \) ... \( Q \) in the sense of Kleiman (1994, .. 3)

p.13 For the proof, see the end note to Deligne 1982) \( \rightarrow \) see the end note to Deligne (1982)

p.14 and so there so there is a canonical ... \( \rightarrow \) and so there is a canonical ...

p.17 By composition, ... This acts transitively transitively on... \( \rightarrow \) This acts transitively on ...

p.21 (10.7 PROOF) ... by Weil (1977) \( \rightarrow \) ... by Weil (1967), by the given Refs. on p. 24.