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Introduction

It is easy to define modular functions and forms, but less easy to say why they are important, especially to number theorists. Thus I shall begin with a rather long overview of the subject.

Riemann surfaces

Let $X$ be a connected Hausdorff topological space. A coordinate neighbourhood for $X$ is a pair $(U, z)$ with $U$ an open subset of $X$ and $z$ a homeomorphism from $U$ onto an open subset of the complex plane. A compatible family of coordinate neighbourhoods covering $X$ defines a complex structure on $X$. A Riemann surface is a connected Hausdorff topological space together with a complex structure.

For example, every connected open subset $X$ of $\mathbb{C}$ is a Riemann surface, and the unit sphere can be given a complex structure with two coordinate neighbourhoods, namely the complements of the north and south poles mapped onto the complex plane in the standard way. With this complex structure it is called the Riemann sphere. We shall see that a torus $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ can be given infinitely many different complex structures.

Let $X$ be a Riemann surface and $V$ an open subset of $X$. A function $f: V \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be holomorphic if, for all coordinate neighbourhoods $(U, z)$ of $X$,

$$f \circ z^{-1}: z(V \cap U) \to \mathbb{C}$$

is a holomorphic function on $z(V \cap U)$. Similarly, one can define the notion of a meromorphic function on a Riemann surface.

The general problem

We can now state the grandiose problem: study all holomorphic functions on all Riemann surfaces. In order to do this, we would first have to find all Riemann surfaces. This problem is easier than it looks.

Let $X$ be a Riemann surface. From topology, we know that there is a simply connected topological space $\tilde{X}$ (the universal covering space of $X$) and a map $p: \tilde{X} \to X$ which is a local homeomorphism. There is a unique complex structure on $\tilde{X}$ for which $p: \tilde{X} \to X$ is a local isomorphism of Riemann surfaces. If $\Gamma$ is the group of covering transformations of $p: \tilde{X} \to X$, then $X = \Gamma \backslash \tilde{X}$.

Theorem 0.1 Every simply connected Riemann surface is isomorphic to exactly one of the following three:

(a) the Riemann sphere;
(b) $\mathbb{C}$;
(c) the open unit disk $D \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1 \}$.

Proof. Of these, only the Riemann sphere is compact. In particular, it is not homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ or $D$. There is no isomorphism $f: \mathbb{C} \to D$ because any such $f$ would be a bounded holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$, and hence constant. Thus, the three are distinct. A special case of the theorem says that every simply connected open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ different from $\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $D$. This is proved in Cartan 1963, VI, §3. The general statement is the famous Uniformization Theorem, which was proved independently by Koebe and Poincaré in 1907. See mo10516 for a discussion of the various proofs.
The main focus of this course will be on Riemann surfaces with $D$ as their universal covering space, but we shall also need to look at those with $C$ as their universal covering space.

**Riemann surfaces that are quotients of $D$**

In fact, rather than working with $D$, it will be more convenient to work with the complex upper half plane:

$$\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(z) > 0\}.$$  

The map $z \mapsto \frac{z+i}{z-i}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{H}$ onto $D$ (in the language of complex analysis, $\mathbb{H}$ and $D$ are conformally equivalent). We want to study Riemann surfaces of the form $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is a discrete group acting on $\mathbb{H}$. How do we find such $\Gamma$? There is an obvious big group acting on $\mathbb{H}$, namely, $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}$. For $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$, let

$$\alpha(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}.$$  

Then

$$\Im(\alpha(z)) = \Im\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \Im\left(\frac{(az+b)(cz+d)}{|cz+d|^2}\right) = \frac{\Im(adz+bc\bar{z})}{|cz+d|^2}.$$  

But $\Im(adz+bc\bar{z}) = (ad-bc)\cdot \Im(z)$, which equals $\Im(z)$ because $\det(\alpha) = 1$. Hence

$$\Im(\alpha(z)) = \Im(z)/|cz+d|^2$$  

for $\alpha \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. In particular,

$$z \in \mathbb{H} \iff \alpha(z) \in \mathbb{H}. $$

The matrix $-I$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{H}$, and later we shall see that $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\{\pm I\}$ is the full group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ of bi-holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{H}$ (see 2.1). The most obvious discrete subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is $\Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. This is called the full modular group. For an integer $N > 0$, we define

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right\mid a \equiv 1, b \equiv 0, c \equiv 0, d \equiv 1 \mod N \right\}. $$

It is the principal congruence subgroup of level $N$. There are lots of other discrete subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, but the main ones of interest to number theorists are the subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ containing a principal congruence subgroup.

Let $Y(N) = \Gamma(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ and endow it with the quotient topology. Let $p : \mathbb{H} \to Y(N)$ denote the quotient map. There is a unique complex structure on $Y(N)$ such that a function $f$ on an open subset $U$ of $Y(N)$ is holomorphic if and only if $f \circ p$ is holomorphic on $p^{-1}(U)$. Thus $f \mapsto f \circ p$ defines a one-to-one correspondence between holomorphic functions on $U \subset Y(N)$ and holomorphic functions on $p^{-1}(U)$ invariant under $\Gamma(N)$, i.e., such that $g(pyz) = g(z)$ for all $y \in \Gamma(N)$.

The Riemann surface $Y(N)$ is not compact, but there is a natural way of compactifying it by adding a finite number of points. For example, $Y(1)$ is compactified by adding a single point. The compact Riemann surface obtained is denoted by $X(N)$.  
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Modular functions.

A modular function $f(z)$ of level $N$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$ invariant under $\Gamma(N)$ and “meromorphic at the cusps”. Because it is invariant under $\Gamma(N)$, it can be regarded as a meromorphic function on $Y(N)$, and the second condition means that it is meromorphic when considered as a function on $X(N)$, i.e., it has at worst a pole at each point of $X(N) \sim Y(N)$.

For the full modular group, it is easy to make explicit the condition “meromorphic at the cusps” (in this case, cusp). To be invariant under the full modular group means that $f(az + b/cz + d) = f(z)$ for all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have that $f(z + 1) = f(z)$, i.e., $f$ is invariant under the action $(z, n) \mapsto z + n$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. The function $z \mapsto e^{2\pi iz}$ is an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and so every $f$ satisfying $f(z + 1) = f(z)$ can be written in the form $f(z) = f^*(q)$, $q = e^{2\pi iz}$. As $z$ ranges over the upper half plane, $q(z)$ ranges over $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. To say that $f(z)$ is meromorphic at the cusp means that $f^*(q)$ is meromorphic at 0, which means that $f$ has an expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq -N_0} a_n q^n, \quad q = e^{2\pi iz},$$

in some neighbourhood of $q = 0$.

Modular forms.

To construct a modular function, we have to construct a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$ that is invariant under the action of $\Gamma(N)$. This is difficult. It is easier to construct functions that transform in a certain way under the action of $\Gamma(N)$; the quotient of two such functions of same type will then be a modular function.

This is analogous to the following situation. Let

$$\mathbb{P}^1(k) = (k \times k \setminus \text{origin})/k^\times$$

and assume that $k$ is infinite. Let $k(X, Y)$ be the field of fractions of $k[X, Y]$. An $f \in k(X, Y)$ defines a function $(a, b) \mapsto f(a, b)$ on the subset of $k \times k$ where its denominator doesn’t vanish. This function will pass to the quotient $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ if and only if

$$f(aX, aY) = f(X, Y)$$

for all $a \in k^\times$.

Recall that a homogeneous form of degree $d$ is a polynomial $h(X, Y) \in k[X, Y]$ such that $h(aX, aY) = a^d h(X, Y)$ for all $a \in k^\times$. Thus, to get an $f$ satisfying the condition, we need only take the quotient $g/h$ of two homogeneous forms of the same degree with $h \neq 0$.

The relation of homogeneous forms to rational functions on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is exactly the same as the relation of modular forms to modular functions.

**Definition 0.2** A modular form of level $N$ and weight $2k$ is a holomorphic function $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ such that

(a) $f(az) = (cz + d)^{2k} \cdot f(z)$ for all $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(N)$;

(b) $f(z)$ is “holomorphic at the cusps”.
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For the full modular group, (a) again implies that \( f(z+1) = f(z) \), and so \( f \) can be written as a function of \( q = e^{2\pi i z} \); condition (b) then says that this function is holomorphic at 0, so that
\[
f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q^n, \quad q = e^{2\pi i z}.
\]

The quotient of two modular forms of level \( N \) and the same weight is a modular function of level \( N \).

**Affine plane algebraic curves**

Let \( k \) be a field. An **affine plane algebraic curve** \( C \) over \( k \) is defined by a nonzero polynomial \( f(X,Y) \in k[X,Y] \). The **points of \( C \) with coordinates in a field \( K \) with \( k \)** are the zeros of \( f(X,Y) \) in \( K \times K \); we denote this set by \( C(K) \). When \( f(X,Y) \) is irreducible (for us this is the most interesting case), we let \( k(C) \) denote the field of fractions of \( k[C] \) and call it the **field of rational functions on \( C \)**.

We say that \( C \) is **nonsingular** if \( f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial X}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y} \) have no common zero in the algebraic closure of \( k \). A point where all three vanish is called a **singular point** on the curve.

**Example 0.3** Let \( C \) be the curve defined by \( Y^2 = 4X^3 - aX - b \), i.e., by the polynomial
\[
f(X,Y) = Y^2 - 4X^3 + aX + b.
\]
Assume \( \text{char } k \neq 2 \). The partial derivatives of \( f \) are \( 2Y \) and \( -12X^2 + a = \frac{d}{dx}(-4X^3 + a) \). Thus a singular point on \( C \) is a pair \((x,y)\) such that \( y = 0 \) and \( x \) is a repeated root of \( 4X^3 - aX - b \). We see that \( C \) is nonsingular if and only if the roots of \( 4X^3 - aX - b \) are all simple, which is true if and only if its discriminant \( \Delta \) is nonzero.

**Proposition 0.4** Let \( C \) be a nonsingular affine plane algebraic curve over \( \mathbb{C} \); then \( C(\mathbb{C}) \) has a natural structure as a Riemann surface.

**Proof.** Let \( P \) be a point in \( C(\mathbb{C}) \). If \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial X}(P) \neq 0 \), then the implicit function theorem shows that the projection \((x,y) \mapsto x:C(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}\) defines a homeomorphism of an open neighbourhood of \( P \) onto an open neighbourhood of \( x(P) \) in \( \mathbb{C} \). This we take to be a coordinate neighbourhood of \( P \). If \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}(P) = 0 \), then \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial X}(P) \neq 0 \), and we use the projection \((x,y) \mapsto y\) to define a coordinate neighbourhood of \( P \). The coordinate neighbourhoods arising in this way are compatible as so define a complex structure on \( C(\mathbb{C}) \).

**Projective plane curves.**

A **projective plane curve** \( C \) over \( k \) is defined by a nonconstant homogeneous polynomial \( F(X,Y,Z) \). Let
\[
P^2(k) = (k^3 \setminus \text{origin})/k^\times,
\]
and write \((a:b:c)\) for the equivalence class of \((a,b,c)\) in \( P^2(k) \). As \( F(X,Y,Z) \) is homogeneous, \( F(cx, cy, cz) = c^m \cdot F(x,y,z) \) for every \( c \in k^\times \), where \( m = \text{deg}(F(X,Y,Z)) \). Thus it makes sense to say \( F(x,y,z) \) is zero or nonzero for \((x:y:z) \in P^2(k) \). The **points of \( C \) with coordinates in a field \( K \supset k \)** are the zeros of \( F(X,Y,Z) \) in \( P^2(K) \). We denote this set by \( C(K) \). We let
\[
k[C] = k[X,Y,Z]/(F(X,Y,Z))
\]
and call it the **homogeneous coordinate ring** of \( C \). When \( F(X, Y, Z) \) is irreducible, \( k[C] \) is an integral domain, and we denote by \( k(C) \) the subfield of the field of fractions of \( k[C] \) of elements of degree zero (i.e., quotients of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree). We call \( k(C) \) the **field of rational functions** on \( C \).

A plane projective curve \( C \) is the union of three affine curves \( C_X, C_Y, C_Z \) defined by the polynomials \( F(1, Y, Z), F(X, 1, Z), F(X, Y, 1) \) respectively, and we say that \( C \) is nonsingular if all three affine curves are nonsingular. This is equivalent to the polynomials

\[
F, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial X}, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z}
\]

having no common zero in the algebraic closure of \( k \). When \( C \) is nonsingular, there is a natural complex structure on \( C(C) \), and the Riemann surface \( C(C) \) is compact.

**Theorem 0.5** Every compact Riemann surface \( S \) is of the form \( C(C) \) for some nonsingular projective algebraic curve \( C \), and \( C \) is uniquely determined up to isomorphism. Moreover, \( C(C) \) is the field of meromorphic functions on \( S \).

Unfortunately, \( C \) may not be a plane projective curve. The statement is far from being true for noncompact Riemann surfaces, for example, \( \mathbb{H} \) is not of the form \( C(C) \) for \( C \) an algebraic curve. See p. 23.

**Arithmetic of Modular Curves.**

The theorem shows that we can regard \( X(N) \) as an algebraic curve, defined by some homogeneous polynomial(s) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{C} \). The central fact underlying the arithmetic of the modular curves (and hence of modular functions and modular forms) is that this algebraic curve is defined, in a natural way, over \( \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N] \), where \( \zeta_N = \exp(2\pi i/N) \), i.e., the polynomials defining \( X(N) \) (as an algebraic curve) can be taken to have coefficients in \( \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N] \), and there is a natural way of doing this.

This statement has as a consequence that it makes sense to speak of the set \( X(N)(L) \) of points of \( X(N) \) with coordinates in any field containing \( \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_N] \). However, the polynomials defining \( X(N) \) as an algebraic curve are difficult to write down, and so it is difficult to describe directly the set \( X(N)(L) \). Fortunately, there is another description of \( X(N)(L) \) (hence of \( Y(N)(L) \)) which is much more useful. In the remainder of the introduction, I describe the set of points of \( Y(1) \) with coordinates in any field containing \( \mathbb{Q} \).

**Elliptic curves.**

An **elliptic curve** \( E \) over a field \( k \) (of characteristic \( \neq 2, 3 \)) is a plane projective curve given by an equation:

\[
Y^2 Z = 4X^3 - aXZ^2 - bZ^3, \quad \Delta \overset{\text{def}}{=} a^3 - 27b^2 \neq 0.
\]

When we replace \( X \) with \( X/c^2 \) and \( Y \) with \( Y/c^3 \), some \( c \in k^\times \), and multiply through by \( c^6 \), the equation becomes

\[
Y^2 Z = 4X^3 - ac^4 XZ^2 - bc^6 Z^3,
\]

and so we should not distinguish the curve defined by this equation from that defined by the first equation. Note that

\[
j(E) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 1728a^3 / \Delta
\]
is invariant under this change. In fact one can show (with a suitable definition of isomorphism) that two elliptic curves $E$ and $E'$ over an algebraically closed field are isomorphic if and only if $j(E) = j(E')$.

**Elliptic functions.**

What are the quotients of $\mathbb{C}$? A **lattice** in $\mathbb{C}$ is a subset of the form

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$$

with $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ complex numbers that are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$. The quotient $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ is (topologically) a torus. Let $p: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ be the quotient map. The space $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ has a unique complex structure such that a function $f$ on an open subset $U$ of $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ is holomorphic if and only if $f \circ p$ is holomorphic on $p^{-1}(U)$.

To give a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ we have to give a meromorphic function $f$ on $\mathbb{C}$ invariant under the action of $\Lambda$, i.e., such that $f(z + \lambda) = f(z)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Define

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(z - \lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right)$$

This is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$, invariant under $\Lambda$, and the map

$$[z] \mapsto (\wp(z) : \wp'(z) : 1): \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \to \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$$

is an isomorphism of the Riemann surface $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ onto the Riemann surface $E(\mathbb{C})$, where $E$ is the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = 4X^3 - g_2XZ^2 - g_3Z^3$$

with

$$g_2 = 60 \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^4}, \quad g_3 = 140 \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^6}.$$ 

This explained in §3 of Chapter I.

**Elliptic curves and modular curves.**

We have a map $\Lambda \mapsto E(\Lambda) = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ from lattices to elliptic curves. When is $E(\Lambda)$ isomorphic to $E(\Lambda')$? If $\Lambda' = c\Lambda$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$[z] \mapsto [cz]: \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda'$$

is an isomorphism. In fact one can show

$$E(\Lambda) \simeq E(\Lambda') \iff \Lambda' = c\Lambda, \text{ some } c \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$ 

Such lattices $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$ are said to be homothetic. By scaling with an element of $\mathbb{C}^\times$, we can normalize our lattices so that they are of the form

$$\Lambda(\tau) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau, \text{ some } \tau \in \mathbb{H}.$$ 

Two lattices $\Lambda(\tau)$ and $\Lambda(\tau')$ are homothetic if and only if there is a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$. We have a map

$$\tau \mapsto E(\tau): \mathbb{H} \to \{\text{elliptic curves over } \mathbb{C}\}/\simeq,$$
and the above remarks show that it gives an injection

\[ \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H} \leftrightarrow \{ \text{elliptic curves over } \mathbb{C} \}/\sim. \]

One shows that the function \( \tau \mapsto j(E(\tau)) : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C} \) is holomorphic and has only a simple pole at the cusp; in fact

\[ j(\tau) = q^{-1} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \cdots, \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}. \]

It is therefore a modular function for the full modular group. One shows further that it defines an isomorphism \( j : Y(1) \to \mathbb{C} \). The surjectivity of \( j \) implies that every elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{C} \) is isomorphic to one of the form \( E(\tau) \), some \( \tau \in \mathbb{H} \). Therefore

\[ Y(1) \backslash \mathbb{H} \leftrightarrow \{ \text{elliptic curves over } \mathbb{C} \}/\sim. \]

There is a unique algebraic curve \( Y(1)_{\mathbb{Q}} \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) that becomes equal to \( Y(1) \) over \( \mathbb{C} \) and has the property that its points with coordinates in any subfield \( L \) of \( \mathbb{C} \) are given by

\[ Y(1)_{\mathbb{Q}}(L) = \{ \text{elliptic curves over } L \}/\sim \]

where \( E \sim E' \) if \( E \) and \( E' \) become isomorphic over the algebraic closure of \( L \). More precisely, for all subfields \( L \) of \( \mathbb{C} \), there is a commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y(1)(\mathbb{C}) & \leftrightarrow & \{ \text{elliptic curves over } \mathbb{C} \}/\sim \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
Y(1)_{\mathbb{Q}}(L) & \leftrightarrow & \{ \text{elliptic curves over } L \}/\sim
\end{array}
\]

in which the vertical arrows are the natural inclusions.

From this, one sees that arithmetic facts about elliptic curves correspond to arithmetic facts about special values of modular functions and modular forms. For example, let \( \tau \in \mathbb{H} \) be such that the elliptic curve \( E(\tau) \) is defined by an equation with coefficients in an algebraic number field \( L \). Then

\[ j(\tau) = j(E(\tau)) \in L; \]

the value of the transcendental function \( j \) at \( \tau \) is algebraic! Moreover, the point \( [\tau] \) on \( Y(1)(\mathbb{C}) \) is in the image of \( Y(1)_{\mathbb{Q}}(L) \to Y(1)(\mathbb{C}) \).

If \( \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \tau \) is the ring of integers in an imaginary quadratic field \( K \), one can prove by using the theory of elliptic curves that, not only is \( j(\tau) \) algebraic, but it generates the Hilbert class field of \( K \) (largest abelian extension of \( K \) unramified over \( K \) at all primes of \( K \)).
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Chapter

The Analytic Theory

In this chapter, we develop the theory of modular functions and modular forms, and the Riemann surfaces on which they live.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we review some definitions and results concerning continuous group actions and Riemann surfaces. Following Bourbaki, we require (locally) compact spaces to be Hausdorff. Recall that a topological space $X$ is locally compact if each point in $X$ has a compact neighbourhood. Then the closed compact neighbourhoods of each point form a base for the system of neighbourhoods of the point, and every compact subset of $X$ has a compact neighbourhood.\(^1\) We often use $[x]$ to denote the equivalence class containing $x$ and $e$ to denote the identity (neutral) element of a group.

Continuous group actions.

Recall that a group $G$ with a topology is a **topological group** if the maps
\[
(g, g') \mapsto gg' : G \times G \to G, \quad g \mapsto g^{-1} : G \to G
\]
are continuous. Let $G$ be a topological group and let $X$ be a topological space. An action of $G$ on $X$, 
\[
(g, x) \mapsto gx : G \times X \to X,
\]
is **continuous** if this map is continuous. Then, for each $g \in G$, $x \mapsto gx : X \to X$ is a homeomorphism (with inverse $x \mapsto g^{-1}x$). An **orbit** under the action is the set $Gx$ of translates of an $x \in X$. The **stabilizer** of $x \in X$ (or the **isotropy group** at $x$) is
\[
\text{Stab}(x) = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}.
\]

If $X$ is Hausdorff, then $\text{Stab}(x)$ is closed because it is the inverse image of $x$ under the continuous map $g \mapsto gx : G \to X$. There is a bijection
\[
G/\text{Stab}(x) \to Gx, \quad g \cdot \text{Stab}(x) \mapsto gx;
\]

\(^1\)Let $A$ be a compact subset of $X$. For each $a \in A$, there is an open neighbourhood $U_a$ of $a$ in $X$ whose closure is compact. Because $A$ is compact, it is covered by a finite family of sets $U_a$, and the union of the closures of the $U_a$ in the family will be a compact neighbourhood of $A$. 
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in particular, when $G$ acts transitively on $X$, there is a bijection

$$G/ \text{Stab}(x) \rightarrow X.$$  

Let $G \setminus X$ be the set of orbits for the action of $G$ on $X$, and endow $G \setminus X$ with the quotient topology. This is the finest topology for which the map $p: X \rightarrow G \setminus X$, $x \mapsto gx$, is continuous, and so a subset $U$ of $G \setminus X$ is open if and only if the union of the orbits in $U$ is an open subset of $X$. Note that $p$ is an open map: if $U$ is an open subset of $X$, then $p^{-1}(p(U)) = \bigcup_{g \in G} gU$, which is clearly open.

Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Then $H$ acts on $G$ on the left and on the right, and $H \setminus G$ and $G/H$ are the spaces of right and left cosets.

**Lemma 1.1** The space $G/H$ is Hausdorff if and only if $H$ is closed in $G$.

**Proof.** Write $p$ for the map $G \rightarrow G/H$, $g \mapsto gH$. If $G/H$ is Hausdorff, then $eH$ is a closed point of $G/H$, and so $H = p^{-1}(eH)$ is closed.

Conversely, suppose that $H$ is a closed subgroup, and let $aH$ and $bH$ be distinct elements of $G/H$. Since $G$ is a topological group, the map

$$f: G \times G \rightarrow G, \quad (g, g') \mapsto g^{-1}g',$$

is continuous, and so $f^{-1}(H)$ is closed. As $aH \neq bH$, $(a, b) \notin f^{-1}(H)$, and so there is an open neighbourhood of $(a, b)$, which we can take to be of the form $U \times V$, that is disjoint from $f^{-1}(H)$. Now the images of $U$ and $V$ in $G/H$ are disjoint open neighbourhoods of $aH$ and $bH$.

As we noted above, when $G$ acts transitively on $X$, there is a bijection $G/\text{Stab}(x) \rightarrow X$ for any $x \in X$. Under some mild hypotheses, this will be a homeomorphism.

**Proposition 1.2** Suppose that $G$ acts continuously and transitively on $X$. If $G$ and $X$ are locally compact and there is a countable base for the topology of $G$, then the map

$$[g] \mapsto gx: G/\text{Stab}(x) \rightarrow X$$

is a homeomorphism for all $x \in X$.

**Proof.** We know the map is a bijection, and it is obvious from the definitions that it is continuous, and so we only have to show that it is open. Let $U$ be an open subset of $G$, and let $g \in U$; we have to show that $gx$ is an interior point of $Ux$.

Consider the map $G \times G \rightarrow G$, $(h, h') \mapsto ghh'$. It is continuous and maps $(e, e)$ into $U$, and so there is a neighbourhood $V$ of $e$, which we can take to be compact, such that $V \times V$ is mapped into $U$; thus $gV^2 \subset U$. After replacing $V$ with $V \cap V^{-1}$, we can assume $V^{-1} = V$. (Here $V^{-1} = \{ h^{-1} \mid h \in V \}$; $V^2 = \{ hh' \mid h, h' \in V \}$.)

As $e \in V$, $G$ is a union of the interiors of the sets $gV$, $g \in G$. Fix a countable base for the topology on $G$. The sets from the countable base contained in the interior of some $gV$ form a countable cover of $G$. There exists a countable set of elements $g_1, g_2, \ldots \in G$ such that each set in the countable cover is contained in at least one set $g_iV$. Now $G = \bigcup g_iV$.

As $g_iV$ is compact, its image $g_iVx$ in $X$ is compact, and as $X$ is Hausdorff, this implies that $g_iVx$ is closed. The following lemma shows that at least one of the sets $g_iVx$ has an interior point. But $y \mapsto g_iy: X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism mapping $Vx$ onto $g_iVx$, and so $Vx$ has interior point, i.e., there is a point $hx \in Vx$ and an open subset $W$ of $X$ such that $hx \in W \subset Vx$. Now

$$gx = gh^{-1}, \quad hx \in gh^{-1}W \subset gV^2x \subset Ux$$

which shows that $gx$ is an interior point of $Ux$.  

\[\Box\]
A family of coordinate neighbourhoods \(U\) of a Riemann surface is defined in exactly the same way. A meromorphic function \(f\) on \(U\) is holomorphic with nowhere vanishing derivative (the condition is vacuous if \(U\) is compact). A function \(f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) on an open subset \(U\) of \(X\) is said to be holomorphic relative to \(U\) if it is holomorphic relative to one (hence every) coordinate covering defining the complex structure on \(X\).

### Riemann surfaces: classical approach

Let \(X\) be a connected Hausdorff topological space. A \textit{coordinate neighbourhood} for \(X\) is pair \((U, z)\) with \(U\) an open subset of \(X\) and \(z\) a homeomorphism of \(U\) onto an open subset of the complex plane \(\mathbb{C}\). Two coordinate neighbourhoods \((U_i, z_i)\) and \((U_j, z_j)\) are \textit{compatible} if the function

\[
z_i \circ z_j^{-1}: z_j(U_i \cap U_j) \rightarrow z_i(U_i \cap U_j)
\]

is holomorphic with nowhere vanishing derivative (the condition is vacuous if \(U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset\)). A family of coordinate neighbourhoods \((U_i, z_i)_{i \in I}\) is a \textit{coordinate covering} if \(X = \bigcup U_i\) and \((U_i, z_i)\) is compatible with \((U_j, z_j)\) for all pairs \((i, j)\) \(\in I \times I\). Two coordinate coverings are said to be \textit{equivalent} if their union is also a coordinate covering. This defines an equivalence relation on the set of coordinate coverings, and we call an equivalence class of coordinate coverings a \textit{complex structure} on \(X\). A space \(X\) together with a complex structure is a \textbf{Riemann surface}.

Let \(\mathcal{U} = (U_i, z_i)_{i \in I}\) be a coordinate covering of \(X\). A function \(f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) on an open subset \(U\) of \(X\) is said to be \textit{holomorphic} relative to \(\mathcal{U}\) if

\[
f \circ z_i^{-1}: z_i(U \cap U_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
\]

is holomorphic for all \(i \in I\). When \(\mathcal{U}'\) is an equivalent coordinate covering, \(f\) is holomorphic relative to \(\mathcal{U}\) if and only if it is holomorphic relative to \(\mathcal{U}'\), and so it makes sense to say that \(f\) is holomorphic relative to a complex structure on \(X\): a function \(f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) on an open subset \(U\) of a Riemann surface \(X\) is \textit{holomorphic} if it is holomorphic relative to one (hence every) coordinate covering defining the complex structure on \(X\).

Recall that a \textit{meromorphic function} on an open subset \(U\) of \(\mathbb{C}\) is a holomorphic function \(f\) on the complement \(U \setminus \mathcal{S}\) of some discrete subset \(\mathcal{S}\) of \(U\) that has at worst a pole at each point of \(\mathcal{S}\) (i.e., for each \(a \in \mathcal{S}\), there exists an \(m\) such that \((z - a)^m f(z)\) is holomorphic in some neighbourhood of \(a\)). A \textit{meromorphic function} on an open subset \(U\) of a Riemann surface is defined in exactly the same way.

#### Example 1.4
Every open subset \(U\) of \(\mathbb{C}\) is a Riemann surface with a single coordinate neighbourhood \((U\), itself, with the inclusion \(z: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\)). The holomorphic and meromorphic functions on \(U\) with this structure of a Riemann surface are just the usual holomorphic and meromorphic functions.

#### Example 1.5
Let \(X\) be the unit sphere

\[
X : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1
\]
in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Stereographic projection from the north pole $P = (0,0,1)$ gives a map

$$(x,y,z) \mapsto \frac{x + iy}{1 - z} : X \setminus P \to \mathbb{C}.$$ 

Take this to be a coordinate neighbourhood for $X$. Similarly, stereographic projection from the south pole $S$ gives a second coordinate neighbourhood. These two coordinate neighbourhoods define a complex structure on $X$, and $X$ together with this complex structure is called the **Riemann sphere**.

**Example 1.6** Let $X$ be the torus $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. We shall see that there are infinitely many nonisomorphic complex structures on $X$.

A map $f : X \to X'$ from one Riemann surface to a second is **holomorphic** if for each point $P$ of $X$, there are coordinate neighbourhoods $(U, z)$ of $P$ and $(U', z')$ of $f(P)$ such that $z' \circ f \circ z^{-1} : z(U) \to z(U')$ is holomorphic. An **isomorphism** of Riemann surfaces is a bijective holomorphic map whose inverse is also holomorphic.

**Riemann surfaces as ringed spaces**

Fix a field $k$. Let $X$ be a topological space, and suppose that for each open subset $U$ of $X$, we are given a set $O(U)$ of functions $U \to k$. Then $O$ is called a sheaf of $k$-**algebras** on $X$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. $f,g \in O(U) \implies f \pm g, fg \in O(U)$; the function $x \mapsto 1$ is in $O(U)$ if $U \neq \emptyset$;
2. $f \in O(U) \implies f|_V \in O(V)$;
3. let $U = \bigcup U_i$ be an open covering of an open subset $U$ of $X$, and for each $i$, let $f_i \in O(U_i)$; if $f_i|_U \cap U_j = f_j|_U \cap U_j$ for all $i,j$, then there exists an $f \in O(U)$ such that $f|_U = f_i$ for all $i$.

When $Y$ is an open subset of $X$, we obtain a sheaf of $k$-algebras $O|Y$ on $Y$ by restricting the map $U \mapsto O(U)$ to the open subsets of $Y$, i.e., for all open $U \subset Y$, we define $(O|Y)(U) = O(U)$.

From now on, by a **ringed space** we shall mean a pair $(X, O_X)$ with $X$ a topological space and $O_X$ a sheaf of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras—we often omit the subscript on $O$. A **morphism** $\varphi : (X, O_X) \to (X', O_{X'})$ of **ringed spaces** is a continuous map $\varphi : X \to X'$ such that, for all open subsets $U'$ of $X'$,

$$f \in O_X(U') \implies f \circ \varphi \in O_X(\varphi^{-1}(U')).$$

An **isomorphism** $\varphi : (X, O_X) \to (X', O_{X'})$ of ringed spaces is a homeomorphism such that $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{-1}$ are morphisms. Thus a homeomorphism $\varphi : X \to X'$ is an isomorphism of ringed spaces if, for every $U$ open in $X$ with image $U'$ in $X'$, the map

$$f \mapsto f \circ \varphi : O_X(U') \to O_X(U)$$

is bijective.

For example, on any open subset $V$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, there is a sheaf $O_V$ with

$$O_V(U) = \{ \text{holomorphic functions } f : U \to \mathbb{C} \}$$

for all open $U \subset V$. We call such a pair $(V, O_V)$ a **standard ringed space**.

The following statements (concerning a connected Hausdorff topological space $X$) are all easy to prove.
1. Preliminaries

1.7 Let \( \mathcal{U} = (U_i, z_i) \) be a coordinate covering of \( X \), and, for every open subset \( U \) of \( X \), let \( \mathcal{O}(U) \) be the set of functions \( f: U \to \mathbb{C} \) that are holomorphic relative to \( \mathcal{U} \). Then \( U \mapsto \mathcal{O}(U) \) is a sheaf of \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebras on \( X \).

1.8 Let \( \mathcal{U} \) and \( \mathcal{U}' \) be coordinate coverings of \( X \); then \( \mathcal{U} \) and \( \mathcal{U}' \) are equivalent if and only if they define the same sheaves of holomorphic functions.

Thus, a complex structure on \( X \) defines a sheaf of \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebras on \( X \), and the sheaf uniquely determines the complex structure.

1.9 A sheaf \( \mathcal{O}_X \) of \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebras on \( X \) arises from a complex structure if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

\[ (*) \text{ there exists an open covering } X = \bigcup U_i \text{ of } X \text{ such that every ringed space } (U_i, \mathcal{O}_X|U_i) \text{ is isomorphic to a standard ringed space.} \]

Thus to give a complex structure on \( X \) is the same as giving a sheaf of \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebras satisfying \( (*) \).

**Example 1.10** Let \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) act on \( \mathbb{C} \) as \( z \mapsto z + n \). Topologically, \( \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \) is cylinder. We can give it a complex structure as follows: let \( p: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \) be the quotient map; for any point \( P \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \), choose a \( Q \in f^{-1}(P) \); there exist neighbourhoods \( U \) of \( P \) and \( V \) of \( Q \) such that \( p \) is a homeomorphism \( V \to U \); take every such pair \( (U, p^{-1}: U \to V) \) to be a coordinate neighbourhood. The corresponding sheaf of holomorphic functions has the following description: for every open subset \( U \) of \( \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \), a function \( f: U \to \mathbb{C} \) is holomorphic if and only if \( f \circ p \) is holomorphic (check!). Thus the holomorphic functions \( f \) on \( U \subset \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \) can be identified with the holomorphic functions on \( p^{-1}(U) \) invariant under the action of \( \mathbb{Z} \), i.e., such that \( f(z + n) = f(z) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) (it suffices to check that \( f(z + 1) = f(z) \), as \( 1 \) generates \( \mathbb{Z} \) as an abelian group).

For example, \( q(z) = e^{2\pi i z} \) defines a holomorphic function on \( \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \). It gives an isomorphism \( \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) (complex plane with the origin removed)—in fact, this is an isomorphism both of Riemann surfaces and of topological groups. The inverse function \( \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \) is (by definition) \( (2\pi i)^{-1} \cdot \log \).

Before Riemann (and, unfortunately, also after), mathematicians considered functions only on open subsets of the complex plane \( \mathbb{C} \). Thus they were forced to talk about “multi-valued functions” and functions “holomorphic at points at infinity”. This works reasonably well for functions of one variable, but collapses into total confusion in several variables. Riemann recognized that the functions are defined in a natural way on spaces that are only locally isomorphic to open subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \), that is, on Riemann surfaces, and emphasized the importance of studying these spaces. In this course we follow Riemann—it may have been more natural to call the course “Elliptic Modular Curves” rather than “Modular Functions and Modular Forms”.

Differential forms.

We adopt a naive approach to differential forms on Riemann surfaces.

A **differential form** on an open subset \( U \) of \( \mathbb{C} \) is an expression of the form \( f(z)dz \) where \( f \) is a meromorphic function on \( U \). With every meromorphic function \( f(z) \) on \( U \), we associate the differential form \( df \overset{df}{=} \frac{df}{dz}dz \). Let \( w: U \to U' \) be a mapping from \( U \) to another open subset \( U' \) of \( \mathbb{C} \); we can write it \( z' = w(z) \). Let \( \omega = f(z')dz' \) be a differential form on \( U' \). Then \( w^*(\omega) \) is the differential form \( f(w(z)) \frac{dw(z)}{dz}dz \) on \( U \).
Let $X$ be a Riemann surface, and let $(U_i, z_i)$ be a coordinate covering of $X$. To give a **differential form** on $X$ is to give differential forms $\omega_i = f(z_i)dz_i$ on $z_i(U_i)$ for each $i$ that agree on overlaps in the following sense: let $z_i = w_{ij}(z_j)$, so that $w_{ij}$ is the conformal mapping $z_i \circ z_j^{-1}: z_j(U_i \cap U_j) \to z_i(U_i \cap U_j)$; then $w_{ij}^*\omega_i = \omega_j$, i.e.,

$$f_j(z_j)dz_j = f_i(w_{ij}(z_j)) \cdot w_{ij}'(z_j)dz_j.$$

Contrast this with functions: to give a meromorphic function $f$ on $X$ is to give meromorphic functions $f_i(z_i)$ on $z_i(U_i)$ for each $i$ that agree on overlaps in the sense that

$$f_j(z_j) = f_i(w_{ij}(z_j)) \text{ on } z_j(U_i \cap U_j).$$

A differential form is said to be of the **first kind** (or holomorphic) if it has no poles on $X$, of the **second kind** if it has residue 0 at each point of $X$ where it has a pole, and of the **third kind** if it is not of the second kind.

**Example 1.11** The Riemann sphere $S$ can be thought of as the set of lines through the origin in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Thus a point on $S$ is determined by a point (other than the origin) on the line. In this way, the Riemann sphere is identified with

$$\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \{(0,0)\})/\mathbb{C}^\times.$$

We write $(x_0:x_1)$ for the equivalence class of $(x_0,x_1)$; thus $(x_0:x_1) = (cx_0:cx_1)$ for $c \neq 0$.

Let $U_0$ be the subset where $x_0 \neq 0$; then $z_0: (x_0:x_1) \mapsto x_1/x_0$ is a homeomorphism $U_0 \to \mathbb{C}$. Similarly, if $U_1$ is the set where $x_1 \neq 0$, then $z_1: (x_0:x_1) \mapsto x_0/x_1$ is a homeomorphism $U_1 \to \mathbb{C}$. The pair $\{(U_0, z_0), (U_1, z_1)\}$ is a coordinate covering of $S$. Note that $z_0$ and $z_1$ are both defined on $U_0 \cap U_1$, and $z_1 = z_0^{-1}$; in fact, $z_0(U_0 \cap U_1) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} = z_1(U_0 \cap U_1)$ and the map $w_{01}: z_1(U_0 \cap U_1) \to z_0(U_0 \cap U_1)$ is $z \mapsto z^{-1}$.

A meromorphic function on $S$ is defined by a meromorphic function $f_0(z_0)$ of $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and a meromorphic function $f_1(z_1)$ of $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for $z_0z_1 \neq 0$, $f_1(z_1) = f_0(z_1^{-1})$. In other words, it is defined by a meromorphic function $f(z) = f_1(z_1)$ such that $f(z^{-1})$ is also meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$. (It is automatically meromorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.) The meromorphic functions on $S$ are exactly the rational functions of $z$, i.e., the functions $P(z)/Q(z)$, $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, $Q \neq 0$ (see 1.14 below).

A meromorphic differential form on $S$ is defined by a differential form $f_0(z_0)dz_0$ on $\mathbb{C}$ and a differential form $f_1(z_1)dz_1$ on $\mathbb{C}$, such that

$$f_1(z_1) = f_0(z_1^{-1}) \cdot \frac{-1}{z_1^2} \text{ for } z_1 \neq 0.$$

**Analysis on compact Riemann surfaces.**

We merely sketch what we need. For details, see for example Gunning 1966.\(^3\) Note that a Riemann surface $X$ (considered as a topological space) is orientable: each open subset of the complex plane has a natural orientation; hence each coordinate neighbourhood of $X$ has a natural orientation, and these agree on overlaps because conformal mappings preserve orientation. Also note that a holomorphic mapping $f:X \to S$ (the Riemann sphere) can be regarded as a meromorphic function on $X$, and that all meromorphic functions are of this form. The only functions holomorphic on the whole of a compact Riemann surface are the constant functions.
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PROPOSITION 1.12 (a) A meromorphic function $f$ on a compact Riemann surface has the same number of poles as it has zeros (counting multiplicities).

(b) Let $\omega$ be a differential form on a compact Riemann surface; then the sum of the residues of $\omega$ at its poles is zero.

SKETCH OF PROOF. We first prove (b). Recall that if $\omega = f \, dz$ is a differential form on an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $C$ is any closed path in $\mathbb{C}$ not passing through any poles of $f$, then

$$
\int_C \omega = 2\pi i \left( \sum_{\text{poles}} \text{Res}_p \omega \right)
$$

(sum over the poles $p$ enclosed by $C$). Fix a finite coordinate covering $(U_i, z_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ of the Riemann surface, and choose a triangulation of the Riemann surface such that each triangle is completely enclosed in some $U_i$; then $2\pi i \left( \sum \text{Res}_p \omega \right)$ is the sum of the integrals of $\omega$ over the various paths, but these cancel out.

Statement (a) is just the special case of (b) in which $\omega = df/f$.

When we apply (a) to $f - c$, $c$ some fixed number, we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 1.13 Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function on a compact Riemann surface $X$. Then there is an integer $n > 0$ such that $f$ takes each value exactly $n$ times (counting multiplicities).

PROOF. The number $n$ is equal to the number of poles of $f$ (counting multiplicities).

The integer $n$ is called the valence of $f$. A constant function is said to have valence 0. If $f$ has valence $n$, then it defines a function $X \to S$ (Riemann sphere) which is $n$ to 1 (counting multiplicities). In fact, there will be only finitely many ramification points, i.e., point $P$ such that $f^{-1}(P)$ has fewer than $n$ distinct points.

PROPOSITION 1.14 Let $S$ be the Riemann sphere. The meromorphic functions are precisely the rational functions of $z$, i.e., the field of meromorphic functions on $S$ is $\mathbb{C}(z)$.

PROOF. Let $g(z)$ be a meromorphic function on $S$. After possibly replacing $g(z)$ with $g(z-c)$, we may suppose that $g(z)$ has neither a zero nor a pole at $\infty$ (= north pole). Suppose that $g(z)$ has a pole of order $m_i$ at $p_i$, $i = 1,\ldots,r$, a zero of order $n_i$ at $q_i$, $i = 1,\ldots,s$, and no other poles or zero. The function

$$
\frac{g(z) \prod (z-p_i)^{m_i}}{\prod (z-q_i)^{n_i}}
$$

has no zeros or poles at a point $P \neq \infty$, and it has no zero or pole at $\infty$ because (see 1.12) $\sum m_i = \sum n_i$. It is therefore constant, and so

$$
g(z) = \text{constant} \times \frac{\prod (z-q_i)^{n_i}}{\prod (z-p_i)^{m_i}}.
$$

REMARK 1.15 The proposition shows that the meromorphic functions on $S$ are all algebraic: they are just quotients of polynomials. Thus the field $\mathcal{M}(S)$ of meromorphic functions on $S$ is equal to the field of rational functions on $\mathbb{P}^1$ as defined by algebraic geometry. This is dramatically different from what is true for meromorphic functions on the complex plane. In fact, there exists a vast array of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$—see Ahlfors, Complex Analysis, 1953, IV 3.3 for a classification of them.
Proposition 1.16 Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function with valence $n$ on a compact Riemann surface $X$. Then every meromorphic function $g$ on $X$ is a root of a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}(f)$.

Sketch of proof. Regard $f$ as a mapping $X \to S$ (Riemann sphere) and let $c$ be a point of $S$ such that $f^{-1}(c)$ has exactly $n$ elements $\{P_1(c), \ldots, P_n(c)\}$. Let $z \in X$ be such that $f(z) = c$; then

$$0 = \prod_i (g(z) - g(P_i(c))) = g^n(z) + r_1(c)g^{n-1}(z) + \cdots + r_n(c)$$

where the $r_i(c)$ are symmetric polynomials in the $g(P_i(c))$. When we let $c$ vary (avoiding the $c$ for which $f(z) - c$ has multiple zeros), each $r_i(c)$ becomes a meromorphic function on $S$, and hence is a rational function of $c = f(z)$.

Theorem 1.17 Let $X$ be a compact Riemann surface. There exists a nonconstant meromorphic function $f$ on $X$, and the set of such functions forms a finitely generated field $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of transcendence degree 1 over $\mathbb{C}$.

The first statement is the fundamental existence theorem (Gunning 1966, p. 107). Its proof is not easy (it is implied by the Riemann-Roch Theorem), but for all the Riemann surfaces in this course, we shall be able to write down a nonconstant meromorphic function.

It is obvious that the meromorphic functions on $X$ form a field $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Let $f$ be a nonconstant such function, and let $n$ be its valence. Then 1.16 shows that every other function is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(f)$, and in fact satisfies a polynomial of degree $\leq n$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}(X)$ has degree $\leq n$ over $\mathbb{C}(f)$, because if it had degree $> n$ then it would contain a subfield $L$ of finite degree $n' > n$ over $\mathbb{C}(f)$, and the Primitive Element Theorem (FT 5.1) tells us that then $L = \mathbb{C}(f)(g)$ for some $g$ whose minimum polynomial has degree $n'$.

Example 1.18 Let $S$ be the Riemann sphere. For every meromorphic function $f$ on $S$ with valence 1, $\mathcal{M}(S) = \mathbb{C}(f)$.

Remark 1.19 The meromorphic functions on a compact complex manifold $X$ of dimension $m > 1$ again form a field that is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}$, but its transcendence degree may be $< m$. For example, there are compact complex manifolds of dimension 2 with no nonconstant meromorphic functions.

Riemann-Roch Theorem.

The Riemann-Roch theorem describes how many functions there are on a compact Riemann surface with given poles and zeros.

Let $X$ be a compact Riemann surface. The **group of divisors** $\text{Div}(X)$ on $X$ is the free (additive) abelian group generated by the points on $X$; thus an element of $\text{Div}(X)$ is a finite sum $\sum n_i P_i$, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A divisor $D = \sum n_i P_i$ is **positive** (or **effective**) if every $n_i \geq 0$; we then write $D \geq 0$.

Let $f$ be a nonzero meromorphic function on $X$. For a point $P \in X$, let $\text{ord}_P(f) = m$, $-m$, or 0 according as $f$ has a zero of order $m$ at $P$, a pole of order $m$ at $P$, or neither a pole nor a zero at $P$. The **divisor** of $f$ is

$$\text{div}(f) = \sum \text{ord}_P(f) \cdot P.$$
This is a finite sum because the zeros and poles of $f$ form discrete sets, and we are assuming $X$ to be compact.

The map $f \mapsto \text{div}(f) : \mathcal{M}(X)^\times \to \text{Div}(X)$ is a homomorphism, and its image is called the group of \textit{principal divisors}. Two divisors are said to be \textit{linearly equivalent} if their difference is principal. The \textit{degree} of a divisor $\sum n_i P_i$ is $\sum n_i$. The map $D \mapsto \deg(D)$ is a homomorphism $\text{Div}(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$ whose kernel contains the principal divisors. Thus it makes sense to speak of the \textit{degree} of a linear equivalence class of divisors.

It is possible to attach a divisor to a differential form $\omega$: let $P \in X$, and let $(U_i, z_i)$ be a coordinate neighbourhood containing $P$; the differential form $\omega$ is described by a differential $f_i \, dz_i$ on $U_i$, and we set $\text{ord}_P(\omega) = \text{ord}_P(f_i)$. Then $\text{ord}_P(\omega)$ is independent of the choice of the coordinate neighbourhood $U_i$ (because the derivative of every transition function $\omega_{ij}$ has no zeros or poles), and we define

$$\text{div}(\omega) = \sum \text{ord}_P(\omega) \cdot P.$$ 

Again, this is a finite sum. Note that, for every meromorphic function $f$,

$$\text{div}(f \omega) = \text{div}(f) + \text{div}(\omega).$$

If $\omega$ is one nonzero differential form, then any other is of the form $f \omega$ for some $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, and so the linear equivalence class of $\text{div}(\omega)$ is independent of $\omega$; we write $K$ for $\text{div}(\omega)$, and $\mathfrak{K}$ for its linear equivalence class.

For a divisor $D$, let

$$L(D) = \{ f \in \mathcal{M}(X) \mid \text{div}(f) + D \geq 0 \}$$

if this set is nonempty, and let $L(D) = \{0\}$ if it is empty. Then $L(D)$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, and if $D' = D + (g)$, then $f \mapsto fg^{-1}$ is an isomorphism $L(D) \to L(D')$. Thus the dimension $\ell(D)$ of $L(D)$ depends only on the linear equivalence class of $D$.

\textbf{Theorem 1.20 (Riemann-Roch)} \ Let $X$ be a compact Riemann surface. Then there is an integer $g \geq 0$ such that, for every divisor $D$,

$$\ell(D) = \deg(D) + 1 - g + \ell(K - D).$$

\textbf{Proof.} See Gunning 1962, §7, for a proof in the context of Riemann surfaces, and Fulton 1969, Chapter 8, for a proof in the context of algebraic curves. One approach to proving it is to verify it first for the Riemann sphere $S$ (see below), and then to regard $X$ as a finite covering of $S$. \hfill $\square$

Note that in the statement of the Riemann-Roch Theorem, we could replace the divisors with equivalence classes of divisors.

\textbf{Corollary 1.21} \ A canonical divisor $K$ has degree $2g - 2$, and $\ell(K) = g$.

\textbf{Proof.} Put $D = 0$ in (1). The only functions with $\text{div}(f) \geq 0$ are the constant functions, and so the equation becomes $1 = 0 + 1 - g + \ell(K)$. Hence $\ell(K) = g$. Put $D = K$; then the equation becomes $g = \deg(K) + 1 - g + 1$, which gives $\deg(K) = 2g - 2$. \hfill $\square$

Let $K = \text{div}(\omega)$. Then $f \mapsto f\omega$ is an isomorphism from $L(K)$ to the space of holomorphic differential forms on $X$, which therefore has dimension $g$.

The term in the Riemann-Roch formula that is difficult to evaluate is $\ell(K - D)$. Thus it is useful to note that if $\text{deg}(D) > 2g - 2$, then $L(K - D) = 0$ (because, for $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)^*$, $\text{deg}(D) > 2g - 2 \Rightarrow \text{deg}(\text{div}(f) + K - D) < 0$, and so $\text{div}(f) + K - D$ can’t be a positive divisor). Hence:

**Corollary 1.22** If $\text{deg}(D) > 2g - 2$, then $\ell(D) = \text{deg}(D) + 1 - g$.

**Example 1.23** Let $X$ be the Riemann sphere, and let $D = mP_\infty$, where $P_\infty$ is the “point at infinity” and $m \geq 0$. Then $L(D)$ is the space of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ with at worst a pole of order $m$ at infinity and no poles elsewhere. These functions are the polynomials of degree $\leq m$, and they form a vector space of dimension $m + 1$, in other words,

$$\ell(D) = \text{deg}(D) + 1,$$

and so the Riemann-Roch theorem shows that $g = 0$. Consider the differential $dz$ on $\mathbb{C}$, and let $z' = 1/z$. The $dz = -1/z^2dz'$, and so $dz$ extends to a meromorphic differential on $X$ with a pole of order 2 at $\infty$. Thus $\text{deg}(\text{div}(\omega)) = -2$, in agreement with the above formulas.

**Exercise 1.24** Prove the Riemann-Roch theorem (1.20) for the Riemann sphere,

(a) by using partial fractions, and
(b) by using Example 1.23 and the fact that $\ell(D) = \ell(D')$ for linearly equivalent divisors.

### The genus of $X$

Let $X$ be a compact Riemann surface. It can be regarded as a topological space, and so we can define homology groups $H_0(X, \mathbb{Q})$, $H_1(X, \mathbb{Q})$, $H_2(X, \mathbb{Q})$. It is known that $H_0$ and $H_2$ each have dimension 1, and $H_1$ has dimension $2g$. It is a theorem that this $g$ is the same as that occurring in the Riemann-Roch theorem (see below). Hence $g$ depends only on $X$ as a topological space, and not on its complex structure. The Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $X$ is

$$\chi(X) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \dim H_0 - \dim H_1 + \dim H_2 = 2 - 2g.$$

Since $X$ is oriented, it can be triangulated. When one chooses a triangulation, then one finds (easily) that

$$2 - 2g = V - E + F,$$

where $V$ is the number of vertices, $E$ is the number of edges, and $F$ is the number of faces.

**Example 1.25** The sphere can be triangulated by projecting out from the centre of a regular tetrahedron whose vertices are on the sphere. In this case $V = 4$, $E = 6$, $F = 4$, which gives $g = 0$ as expected.

**Example 1.26** Consider the map $z \mapsto z^e : D \to D$, $e \geq 1$, where $D$ is the unit open disk. This map is exactly $e : 1$ except at the origin, which is a ramification point of order $e$. Consider the differential $dz'$ on $D$. The map is $z' = w(z) = z^e$, and so the inverse image of the differential $dz'$ is $dz' = dw(z) = ez^{e-1}dz$. Thus $w^*(dz')$ has a zero of order $e - 1$ at 0.

**Theorem 1.27 (Riemann-Hurwitz Formula)** Let $f : Y \to X$ be a holomorphic mapping of compact Riemann surfaces that is $m : 1$ (except over finitely many points). For each point $P$ of $X$, let $e_P$ be the multiplicity of $P$ in the fibre of $f$; then

$$2g(Y) - 2 = m(2g(X) - 2) + \sum (e_P - 1).$$
1. Preliminaries

PROOF. Choose a differential $\omega$ on $X$ such that $\omega$ has no pole or zero at a ramification point of $X$. Then $f^*\omega$ has a pole and a zero above each pole and zero of $\omega$ (of the same order as that of $\omega$); in addition it has a zero of order $e - 1$ at each ramification point in $Y$ (cf. the above example 1.26). Thus
\[
\deg(f^*\omega) = m\deg(\omega) + \sum (e_p - 1),
\]
and we can apply (1.21).

REMARK 1.28 One can also prove this formula topologically. Triangulate $X$ in such a way that each ramification point is a vertex for the triangulation, and pull the triangulation back to $Y$. There are the following formulas for the numbers of faces, edges, and vertices for the triangulations of $Y$ and $X$:
\[
F(Y) = m \cdot F(X), \quad E(Y) = m \cdot E(X), \quad V(Y) = m \cdot V(X) - \sum (e_p - 1).
\]
Thus
\[
2 - 2g(Y) = m(2 - 2g(X)) - \sum (e_p - 1),
\]
in agreement with (1.27).

We have verified that the two notions of genus agree for the Riemann sphere $S$ (they both give 0). But for any Riemann surface $X$, there is a nonconstant function $f : X \to S$ (by 1.17) and we have just observed that the formulas relating the genus of $X$ to that of $S$ is the same for the two notions of genus, and so we have shown that the two notions give the same value for $X$.

Riemann surfaces as algebraic curves.

Let $X$ be a compact Riemann surface. Then (see 1.17) $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is a finitely generated field of transcendence degree 1 over $\mathbb{C}$, and so there exist meromorphic functions $f$ and $g$ on $X$ such that $\mathcal{M}(X) = \mathbb{C}(f, g)$. There is a nonzero irreducible polynomial $\Phi(X, Y)$ such that
\[
\Phi(f, g) = 0.
\]
Then $z \mapsto (f(z), g(z)) : X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ maps an open subset of $X$ onto an open subset of the algebraic curve defined by the equation:
\[
\Phi(X, Y) = 0.
\]
Unfortunately, this algebraic curve will in general have singularities. A better approach is the following. Assume initially that the Riemann surface $X$ has genus $\geq 2$ and is not hyperelliptic, and choose a basis $\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_n$, $(n = g - 1)$ for the space of holomorphic differential forms on $X$. For $P \in X$, we can represent each $\omega_i$ in the form $f_i \cdot dz$ in some neighbourhood of $P$. After possibly replacing each $\omega_i$ with $f \omega_i$, $f$ a meromorphic function defined near $P$, the functions $f_i$ will all be defined at $P$, and at least one will be nonzero at $P$. Thus $(f_0(P), \ldots, f_n(P))$ is a well-defined point of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, independent of the choice of $f$. It is known that the map $\varphi$
\[
P \mapsto (f_0(P), \ldots, f_n(P)) : X \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})
\]
is a homeomorphism of $X$ onto a closed subset of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, and that there is a finite set of homogeneous polynomials in $n + 1$ variables whose zero set is precisely $\varphi(X)$. Moreover, the image is a nonsingular curve in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ (Griffiths 1989, 5 IV 3). If $X$ has genus $< 2$, or is hyperelliptic, a modification of this method again realizes $X$ as a nonsingular algebraic curve in $\mathbb{P}^n$ for some $n$.

Every nonsingular algebraic curve is obtained from a complete nonsingular algebraic curve by removing a finite set of points. It follows that a Riemann surface arises from an algebraic curve if and only if it is obtained from a compact Riemann surface by removing a finite set of points. On such a Riemann surface, every bounded holomorphic function extends to a holomorphic function on the compact surface, and so is constant. As $\frac{z - i}{z + i}$ is a nonconstant bounded holomorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$, we see that $\mathbb{H}$ is not the Riemann surface attached to an algebraic curve.

---

2 Elliptic Modular Curves as Riemann Surfaces

In this section, we define the Riemann surfaces $Y(N) = \Gamma(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ and their natural compactifications, $X(N)$. Recall that $\mathbb{H}$ is the complex upper half plane

$$
\mathbb{H} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(z) > 0 \}.
$$

The upper-half plane as a quotient of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

We saw in the Introduction that there is an action of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{H}$ as follows:

$$
SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, \quad (\alpha, z) \mapsto \alpha(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Because $\Im(az) = \Im(z)/|cz + d|^2$, $\Im(z) > 0$ $\Rightarrow$ $\Im(az) > 0$. When we give $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{H}$ their natural topologies, this action is continuous.

The special orthogonal group (or “circle group”) is defined to be

$$
SO_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.
$$

Note that $SO_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a closed subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, and so $SL_2(\mathbb{R})/SO_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a Hausdorff topological space (by 1.1).

Proposition 2.1 (a) The group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{H}$, i.e., for every pair of elements $z, z' \in \mathbb{H}$, there exists an $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\alpha z = z'$.

(b) The action of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{H}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
SL_2(\mathbb{R})/\{ \pm I \} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}) \quad \text{(biholomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{H}$)}
$$

(c) The stabilizer of $i$ is $SO_2(\mathbb{R})$.

(d) The map

$$
SL_2(\mathbb{R})/SO_2(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, \quad \alpha \cdot SO_2(R) \mapsto \alpha(i)
$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. (a) It suffices to show that, for every $z \in \mathbb{H}$, there exists an element of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ mapping $i$ to $z$. Write $z = x + iy$; then $(\sqrt{x})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and maps $i$ to $z$.

(b) If $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = z$ then $cz^2 + (d - a)z - b = 0$. If this is true for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ (any three $z$ would do), then the polynomial must have zero coefficients, and so $c = 0$, $d = a$, and $b = 0$. Thus $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$, and this has determinant $1$ if and only if $a = \pm 1$. Thus only $I$ and $-I$ act trivially on $\mathbb{H}$.

Let $\gamma$ be an automorphism of $\mathbb{H}$. We know from (a) that there is an $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\alpha(i) = \gamma(i)$. After replacing $\gamma$ with $\alpha^{-1} \circ \gamma$, we can assume that $\gamma(i) = i$. Recall that the map $\rho: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow D, z \mapsto \frac{z - i}{z + i}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathbb{H}$ onto the open unit disk, and it maps $i$ to $0$. Use $\rho$ to transfer $\gamma$ into an automorphism $\gamma'$ of $D$ fixing $0$. Lemma 2.2 below tells us that there is a $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho \circ \gamma \circ \rho^{-1}(z) = e^{2\theta i} \cdot z$ for all $z$, and Exercise 2.3(c) shows that $\gamma'(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \cdot z$. Thus $\gamma \in SO_2(\mathbb{R}) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

(c) We have already proved this, but it is easy to give a direct proof. We have

$$
\frac{ai + b}{ci + d} = i \iff ai + b = -c + di \iff a = d, \quad b = -c.
$$

Therefore the matrix is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, and so is in $SO_2(\mathbb{R})$.

(d) This is a consequence of the general result Proposition 1.2.
**Lemma 2.2** The automorphisms of $D$ fixing 0 are the maps of the form $z \mapsto \lambda z$, $|\lambda| = 1$.

**Proof.** Recall that the Schwarz Lemma (Cartan 1963, III 3) says the following:

Let $f(z)$ be a holomorphic function on the disk $|z| < 1$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and $|f(z)| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$. Then

(i) $|f(z)| \leq |z|$ for $|z| < 1$;

(ii) if $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ for some $z_0 \neq 0$, then there is a $\lambda$ such that $f(z) = \lambda z$ (and $|\lambda| = 1$).

Let $\gamma$ be an automorphism of $D$ fixing 0. When we apply (i) to $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{-1}$, we find that $|\gamma(z)| = |z|$ for all $z$ in the disk, and so we can apply (ii) to find that $f$ is of the required form.

**Exercise 2.3** Let $\psi: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ be the Hermitian form

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \overline{z}_1 w_1 - \overline{z}_2 w_2.$$ 

and let SU(1, 1) (special unitary group) be the subgroup of elements $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\psi(\alpha(z), \alpha(w)) = \psi(z, w)$.

(a) Show that $SU(1, 1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ \overline{v} & \overline{u} \end{pmatrix} \mid u, v \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |u|^2 - |v|^2 = 1 \right\}$.

(b) Define an action of $SU(1, 1)$ on the unit disk as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ \overline{v} & \overline{u} \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{uz + v}{\overline{v}z + \overline{u}}.$$ 

Show that this defines an isomorphism $SU(1, 1)/\{ \pm I \} \to \text{Aut}(D)$.

(c) Show that, under the standard isomorphism $\rho: \mathbb{H} \to D$, the action of the element $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$ of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{H}$ corresponds to the action of $\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$ on $D$.

**Quotients of $\mathbb{H}$**

Let $\Gamma$ be a group acting continuously on a topological space $X$. If $\Gamma \backslash X$ is Hausdorff, then the orbits are closed, but this condition is not sufficient to ensure that the quotient space is Hausdorff.

**Proposition 2.4** Let $G$ be a locally compact group acting on a topological space $X$ such that for one (hence every) point $x_0 \in X$, the stabilizer $K$ of $x_0$ in $G$ is compact and $gK \mapsto gx_0; G/K \to X$ is a homeomorphism. The following conditions on a subgroup $\Gamma$ of $G$ are equivalent:

(a) for all compact subsets $A$ and $B$ of $X$, $\{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma A \cap B \neq \emptyset \}$ is finite;

(b) $\Gamma$ is a discrete subgroup of $G$.

---

6In an unfortunate terminology (Lee, Introduction to Topological Manifolds, p. 268), these conditions are also equivalent to: (c) $\Gamma$ acts discontinuously on $X$; (d) $\Gamma$ acts properly discontinuously on $X$. A continuous action $\Gamma \times X \to X$ is said to be **discontinuous** if for every $x \in X$ and infinite sequence $(\gamma_i)$ of distinct elements of $\Gamma$, the set $\{ \gamma_i x \}$ has no cluster point; it is said to be **properly discontinuous** if, for every pair of points $x$ and $y$ of $X$, there exist neighbourhoods $U_x$ and $U_y$ of $x$ and $y$ such that the set $\{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma U_x \cap U_y \neq \emptyset \}$ is finite.
Proof. (b) ⇒ (a) (This is the only implication we shall use.) Write $p$ for the map, $g \mapsto gx\colon G \to X$. Let $A$ be a compact subset of $X$. I claim that $p^{-1}(A)$ is compact. Write $G = \bigcup V_i$ where the $V_i$ are open with compact closures $\overline{V}_i$. Then $A \subseteq \bigcup p(V_i)$, and in fact we need only finitely many $p(V_i)$ to cover $A$. Then $p^{-1}(A) \subseteq \bigcup V_i K \subseteq \bigcup \overline{V}_i K$ (finite union), and each $\overline{V}_i K$ is compact (it is the image of $\overline{V}_i \times K$ under the multiplication map $G \times G \to G$). Thus $p^{-1}(A)$ is a closed subset of a compact set, and so is compact. Similarly, $p^{-1}(B)$ is compact.

Suppose $\gamma A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $(\pi^{-1}(A)) \cap p^{-1}(B) \neq \emptyset$, and so $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap (p^{-1}(B))$. But this last set is the intersection of a discrete set with a compact set and so is finite.

For (a) ⇒ (b), let $V$ be any neighbourhood of $1$ in $G$ whose closure $\overline{V}$ is compact. For any $x \in X$, $\Gamma \cap V \subset \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma x \in \overline{V} \cdot x \}$, which is finite, because both $\{ x \}$ and $\overline{V} \cdot x$ are compact. Thus $\Gamma \cap V$ is discrete, which shows that $e$ is an isolated point of $\Gamma$.

The next result makes statement (a) more precise.

Proposition 2.5 Let $G$, $K$, $X$ be as in 2.4, and let $\Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup of $G$.

(a) For every $x \in X$, $\{ g \in \Gamma \mid gx = x \}$ is finite.

(b) For any $x \in X$, there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ with the following property: if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $U \cap \gamma U \neq \emptyset$, then $\gamma x = x$.

(c) For any points $x$ and $y$ in $X$ that are not in the same $\Gamma$-orbit, there exist neighbourhoods $U$ of $x$ and $V$ of $y$ such that $\gamma U \cap V = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof. (a) We saw in the proof of Proposition 2.4 that $p^{-1}(\text{compact})$ is compact, where $p(g) = gx$. Therefore $p^{-1}(x)$ is compact, and the set we are interested in is $p^{-1}(x) \cap \Gamma$.

(b) Let $V$ be a compact neighbourhood of $x$. Because 2.4(a) holds, there is a finite set $\{ \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n \}$ of elements of $\Gamma$ such that $V \cap \gamma_i V \neq \emptyset$. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n$ be the $\gamma_i$ fixing $x$. For each $i > s$, choose disjoint neighbourhoods $V_i$ of $x$ and $W_i$ of $\gamma_i x$, and put

$$U = V \cap \left( \bigcap_{i > s} V_i \cap \gamma_i^{-1} W_i \right).$$

For $i > s$, $\gamma_i U \subseteq W_i$ which is disjoint from $V_i$, which contains $U$.

(c) Choose compact neighbourhoods $A$ of $x$ and $B$ of $y$, and let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ be the elements of $\Gamma$ such that $\gamma_i A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. We know $\gamma_i x \neq y$, and so we can find disjoint neighbourhoods $U_i$ and $V_i$ of $\gamma_i x$ and $y$. Take

$$U = A \cap \gamma_1^{-1} U_1 \cap \ldots \cap \gamma_n^{-1} U_n, \quad V = B \cap V_1 \cap \ldots \cap V_n.$$

Corollary 2.6 Under the hypotheses of 2.5, the space $\Gamma \setminus X$ is Hausdorff.

Proof. Let $x$ and $y$ be points of $X$ not in the same $\Gamma$-orbit, and choose neighbourhoods $U$ and $V$ as in Proposition 2.5. Then the images of $U$ and $V$ in $\Gamma \setminus X$ are disjoint neighbourhoods of $\Gamma x$ and $\Gamma y$.

A group $\Gamma$ is said to act freely on a set $X$ if $\text{Stab}(x) = \{ e \}$ for all $x \in X$.

Proposition 2.7 Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Gamma$ (or $\Gamma/\{ \pm I \}$) acts freely on $\mathbb{H}$. Then there is a unique complex structure on $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$ with the following property: a function $f$ on an open subset $U$ of $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$ is holomorphic if and only if $f \circ p$ is holomorphic.
PROOF. The uniqueness follows from the fact (see 1.8) that the sheaf of holomorphic functions on a Riemann surface determines the complex structure. Let \( z \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \), and choose an \( x \in p^{-1}(z) \). According to 2.5(b), there is a neighbourhood \( U \) of \( x \) such that \( \gamma U \) is disjoint from \( U \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), \( \gamma \neq e \). The map \( p|U: U \to p(U) \) is a homeomorphism, and we take all pairs of the form \((p(U), (p|U)^{-1})\) to be coordinate neighbourhoods. It is easy to check that they are all compatible, and that the holomorphic functions are as described. (Alternatively, one can define \( \mathcal{O}(U) \) as in the statement of the proposition, and verify that \( U \mapsto \mathcal{O}(U) \) is a sheaf of \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebras satisfying 1.9(*).)

Unfortunately \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\} \) doesn’t act freely.

Discrete subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \)

To check that a subgroup \( \Gamma \) of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) is discrete, it suffices to check that \( e \) is isolated in \( \Gamma \).

A discrete subgroup of \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) is called a **Fuchsian group**. Discrete subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) abound, but those of interest to number theorists are rather special.

**Congruence subgroups of the elliptic modular group**

Clearly \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is discrete, and *a fortiori*, \( \Gamma(N) \) is discrete. A **congruence subgroup** of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is a subgroup containing \( \Gamma(N) \) for some \( N \). For example,

\[
\Gamma_0(N) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}
\]

is a congruence subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). By definition, the sequence

\[
1 \to \Gamma(N) \to \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})
\]

is exact.\(^7\) I claim that the map \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \) is surjective. To prove this, we have to show that if \( A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( \det(A) \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \), then there is a \( B \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) such that \( B \equiv A \pmod{N} \) and \( \det(B) = 1 \). Let \( A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \); the condition on \( A \) is that

\[
ad - bc - Nm = 1
\]

for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). Hence \( \gcd(c, d, N) = 1 \), and we can find an integer \( n \) such that \( \gcd(c, d + nN) = 1 \) (apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to find an \( n \) such that \( d + nN \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \) for every prime \( p \) dividing \( c \) but not dividing \( N \) and \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \) for every prime \( p \) dividing both \( c \) and \( N \)). We can replace \( d \) with \( d + nN \), and so assume that \( \gcd(c, d) = 1 \). Consider the matrix

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix} a + eN & b + fN \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}
\]

for some integers \( e, f \). Its determinant is \( ad - bc + N(ed - fc) = 1 + (m + ed - fc)N \). Since \( \gcd(c, d) = 1 \), there exist integers \( e, f \) such that \( m = fc + ed \), and with this choice, \( B \) is the required matrix.

Note that the surjectivity of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \) implies that \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is dense in \( \text{SL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \), where \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \) is the completion of \( \mathbb{Z} \) for the topology of subgroups of finite index (hence \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \cong \prod_n \mathbb{Z}_l \)).

---

\(^7\)For a commutative ring \( A \), \( M_2(A) \) is the ring of \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices with entries in \( A \), and \( \text{SL}_2(A) \) is the group of \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices with entries in \( A \) having determinant 1.
Discrete groups coming from quaternion algebras.

For nonzero rational numbers \( a, b \), let \( B = B_{a,b} \) be the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra with basis \( \{1, i, j, k\} \) and multiplication given by

\[
    i^2 = a , \quad j^2 = b , \quad ij = k = -ji .
\]

Then \( B \otimes \mathbb{R} \) is an algebra over \( \mathbb{R} \) with the same basis and multiplication table, and it is isomorphic either to \( M_2(\mathbb{R}) \) or the usual (Hamiltonian) quaternion algebra—we suppose the former.

For \( \alpha = w + xi + yj + zk \in B \), let \( \bar{\alpha} = w - xi - yj - zk \), and define

\[
    \text{Nm}(\alpha) = a\bar{\alpha} = w^2 - ax^2 - by^2 + abz^2 \in \mathbb{Q}.
\]

Under the isomorphism \( B \otimes \mathbb{R} \to M_2(\mathbb{R}) \), the norm corresponds to the determinant, and so the isomorphism induces an isomorphism

\[
    \{\alpha \in B \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid \text{Nm}(\alpha) = 1\} \cong \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}).
\]

An order in \( B \) is a subring \( \mathcal{O} \) that is finitely generated over \( \mathbb{Z} \) (hence free of rank 4). Define

\[
    \Gamma_{a,b} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mid \text{Nm}(\alpha) = 1\}.
\]

Under the above isomorphism this is mapped to a discrete subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \), and we can define congruence subgroups of \( \Gamma_{a,b} \) as for \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \).

For a suitable choice of \( (a, b) \), \( B = M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \) (ring of \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Q} \), and if we choose \( \mathcal{O} \) to be \( M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), then we recover the elliptic modular groups.

If \( B \) is not isomorphic to \( M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \), then the families of discrete groups that we get are quite different from the congruence subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \): they have the property that \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \) is compact.

There are infinitely many nonisomorphic quaternion algebras over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and so the congruence subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) form just one among an infinite sequence of families of discrete subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \).

[These groups were found by Poincaré in the 1880s, but he regarded them as automorphism groups of the quadratic forms \( \Phi_{a,b} = -ax^2 - by^2 + abz^2 \). For a description of how he found them, see p. 52, of his book, Science and Method.]

Exercise 2.8 Two subgroups \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma' \) of a group are said to be commensurable if \( \Gamma \cap \Gamma' \) is of finite index in both \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma' \).

(a) Commensurability is an equivalence relation (only transitivity is nonobvious).

(b) If \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma' \) are commensurable subgroups of a topological group \( G \), and \( \Gamma \) is discrete, then so also is \( \Gamma' \).

(c) If \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma' \) are commensurable subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \) is compact, so also is \( \Gamma' \backslash \mathbb{H} \).

Arithmetic subgroups of the elliptic modular group

A subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \) is arithmetic if it is commensurable with \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). For example, every subgroup of finite index in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), hence every congruence subgroup, is arithmetic. The congruence subgroups are sparse among the arithmetic subgroups: if we let \( N(m) \) be the number of congruence subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) of index \( < m \), and let \( N'(m) \) be the number of subgroups of index \( < m \), then \( N(m)/N'(m) \to 0 \) as \( m \to \infty \).
REMARK 2.9 This course will be concerned with quotients of \( \mathbb{H} \) by congruence groups in the elliptic modular group \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), although the congruence groups arising from quaternion algebras are of equal interest to number theorists. There is some tantalizing evidence that modular forms relative to other arithmetic groups may also have interesting arithmetic properties, but we shall ignore this.

There are many nonarithmetic discrete subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \). The ones of most interest (to analysts) are those of the “first kind”—they are “large” in the sense that \( \Gamma \backslash \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) (hence \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \)) has finite volume relative to a Haar measure.

Among matrix groups, \( \text{SL}_2 \) is anomalous in having so many discrete subgroups. For other groups there is a wonderful theorem of Margulis (for which he was awarded the Fields medal), which says that, under some mild hypotheses (which exclude \( \text{SL}_2 \)), every discrete subgroup \( \Gamma \) of \( \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R}) \) has finite measure is arithmetic. For many groups one even knows that all arithmetic subgroups are congruence (see Prasad 1991).³

Classification of linear fractional transformations

The group \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) acts on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \), and hence on the set \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \) of lines through the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \).

When we identify a line with its slope, \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \) becomes identified with \( \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \} \), and we get an action of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) on \( \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \} \):

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \infty = \frac{a}{c}.
\]

These mappings are called the linear fractional transformations of \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \} \). They map circles and lines in \( \mathbb{C} \) into circles or lines in \( \mathbb{C} \). The scalar matrices \( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \) act as the identity transformation. By the theory of Jordan canonical forms, every nonscalar \( \alpha \) is conjugate to a matrix of the following type,

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \neq \mu,
\]

according as it has repeated eigenvalues or distinct eigenvalues. In the first case, \( \alpha \) is conjugate to a transformation \( z \mapsto z + \lambda^{-1} \), and in the second to \( z \mapsto cz, \ c \neq 1 \). In case (i), \( \alpha \) is called parabolic, and case (ii), it is called elliptic if \( |c| = 1 \), hyperbolic if \( c \) is real and positive, and loxodromic otherwise.

When \( \alpha \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \), the four cases can be distinguished by the trace of \( \alpha : \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha \text{ is parabolic} &\iff \text{Tr}(\alpha) = \pm 2; \\
\alpha \text{ is elliptic} &\iff \text{Tr}(\alpha) \text{ is real and } |\text{Tr}(\alpha)| < 2; \\
\alpha \text{ is hyperbolic} &\iff \text{Tr}(\alpha) \text{ is real and } |\text{Tr}(\alpha)| > 2; \\
\alpha \text{ is loxodromic} &\iff \text{Tr}(\alpha) \text{ is not real.}
\end{align*}
\]

We now investigate the elements of these types in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \).

Parabolic transformations Suppose \( \alpha \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}), \alpha \neq \pm I \), is parabolic. Then it has exactly one eigenvector, and that eigenvector is real. Suppose that the eigenvector is \( (f, g) \); if \( f \neq 0 \), then \( \alpha \) has a fixed point in \( \mathbb{R} \); if \( f = 0 \), then \( \infty \) is a fixed point (the transformation is then of the form \( z \mapsto z + c \)). Thus \( \alpha \) has exactly one fixed point in \( \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \infty \} \).

Elliptic transformations Suppose \( \alpha \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \), \( \alpha \not= \pm I \), is elliptic. Its characteristic polynomial is \( X^2 + bX + 1 \) with \( |b| < 2 \); hence \( \Delta = b^2 - 4 < 0 \), and so \( \alpha \) has two complex conjugate eigenvectors. Thus \( \alpha \) has exactly one fixed point \( z \in \mathbb{H} \) and a second fixed point, namely, \( \bar{z} \), in the lower half plane.

Hyperbolic transformations Suppose \( \alpha \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( \alpha \) is hyperbolic. Its characteristic polynomial is \( X^2 + bX + 1 \) with \( |b| > 2 \); hence \( \Delta = b^2 - 4 > 0 \), and so \( \alpha \) has two distinct real eigenvectors. Thus \( \alpha \) has two distinct fixed points in \( \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \infty \} \).

Let \( \Gamma \) be a discrete subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \). A point \( z \in \mathbb{H} \) is called an elliptic point if it is the fixed point of an elliptic element \( \gamma \) of \( \Gamma \); a point \( s \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \infty \} \) is called a cusp if there exists a parabolic element \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) with \( s \) as its fixed point.

**Proposition 2.10** If \( z \) is an elliptic point of \( \Gamma \), then \( \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma z = z \} \) is a finite cyclic group.

**Proof.** There exists an \( \alpha \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \alpha(i) = z \), and \( \gamma \mapsto \alpha^{-1} \gamma \alpha \) defines an isomorphism

\[
\{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma z = z \} \cong \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \cap (\alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha).
\]

This last group is finite because it is both compact and discrete. There are isomorphisms

\[
\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \} \cong \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})
\]

\[
\theta \leftrightarrow e^{2\pi i \theta} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}
\]

and so

\[
\text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \text{\_tors} \cong (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})\text{\_tors} = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.
\]

Every finite subgroup of \( \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \) is cyclic (each is of the form \( n^{-1} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \) where \( n \) is the least common denominator of the elements of the group).

**Remark 2.11** Let \( \Gamma(1) \) be the full modular group \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). I claim the cusps of \( \Gamma(1) \) are exactly the points of \( \mathbb{Q} \cup \{ \infty \} \), and each is \( \Gamma(1) \)-equivalent to \( \infty \). Certainly \( \infty \) is the fixed point of the parabolic matrix \( T = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \} \). Suppose \( m/n \in \mathbb{Q} \); we can assume \( m \) and \( n \) to be relatively prime, and so there are integers \( r \) and \( s \) such that \( rm - sn = 1 \); let \( \gamma = (m/n, r/s) \); then \( \gamma(\infty) = m/n \), and \( m/n \) is fixed by the parabolic element \( \gamma T \gamma^{-1} \). Conversely, every parabolic element \( \alpha \) of \( \Gamma(1) \) is conjugate to \( \pm T \), say \( \alpha = \pm \gamma T \gamma^{-1} \), with \( \gamma \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \). The point fixed by \( \alpha \) is \( \gamma \infty \), which belongs to \( \mathbb{Q} \cup \{ \infty \} \).

We now find the elliptic points of \( \Gamma(1) \). Let \( \gamma \) be an elliptic element in \( \Gamma(1) \). The characteristic polynomial of \( \gamma \) is of degree 2, and its roots are roots of 1 (because \( \gamma \) has finite order). The only roots of 1 lying in a quadratic field have order dividing 4 or 6. From this, it easy to see that every elliptic point of \( \mathbb{H} \) relative to \( \Gamma(1) \) is \( \Gamma(1) \)-equivalent to exactly one of \( i \) or \( \rho = (1 + i \sqrt{3})/2 \). (See also 2.12 below.)

Now let \( \Gamma \) be a subgroup of \( \Gamma(1) \) of finite index. The cusps of \( \Gamma \) are the cusps of \( \Gamma(1) \), namely, the elements of \( \mathbb{Q} \cup \{ \infty \} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \), but in general they will fall into more than one \( \Gamma \)-orbit. Every elliptic point of \( \Gamma \) is an elliptic point of \( \Gamma(1) \); conversely, an elliptic point of \( \Gamma(1) \) is an elliptic point of \( \Gamma \) if an only if it is fixed by an element of \( \Gamma \) other than \( \pm I \).
Fundamental domains

Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. A fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ is a connected open subset $D$ of $\mathbb{H}$ such that no two points of $D$ are equivalent under $\Gamma$ and $\mathbb{H} = \bigcup y \tilde{D}$, where $\tilde{D}$ is the closure of $D$. These conditions are equivalent respectively, to the statements: the map $D \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ is injective; the map $\tilde{D} \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ is surjective. Every $\Gamma$ has a fundamental domain, but we shall prove this only for the subgroups of finite index in $\Gamma(1)$.

Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus

$$Sz = \frac{-1}{z}, \quad Tz = z + 1$$

$$S^2 = 1 \mod \pm I, \quad (ST)^3 = 1 \mod \pm I.$$

To apply $S$ to a $z$ with $|z| = 1$, first reflect in the $x$-axis, and then reflect through the origin (because $S(e^{i\theta}) = -(e^{-i\theta})$).

**Theorem 2.12** Let $D = \{ z \in \mathbb{H} \mid |z| > 1, \Re(z) < 1/2 \}$.

(a) $D$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma(1) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$; moreover, two elements $z$ and $z'$ of $\tilde{D}$ are equivalent under $\Gamma(1)$ if and only if

- i) $\Re(z) = \pm 1/2$ and $z' = z \pm 1$, (then $z' = Tz$ or $z = Tz'$), or
- ii) $|z| = 1$ and $z' = -1/z = Sz$.

(b) Let $z \in \tilde{D}$; if the stabilizer of $z \neq \{ \pm I \}$, then

- i) $z = i$, and $\text{Stab}(i) = \langle S \rangle$, which has order 2 in $\Gamma(1)/\{ \pm I \}$, or
- ii) $z = \rho = \exp(2\pi i/6)$, and $\text{Stab}(\rho) = \langle TS \rangle$, which has order 3 in $\Gamma(1)/\{ \pm I \}$, or
- iii) $z = \rho^2$, and $\text{Stab}(\rho^2) = \langle ST \rangle$, which has order 3 in $\Gamma(1)/\{ \pm I \}$.

(c) The group $\Gamma(1)/\{ \pm I \}$ is generated by $S$ and $T$.

**Proof.** Let $\Gamma'$ be the subgroup of $\Gamma(1)$ generated by $S$ and $T$. We shall show that $\Gamma' \cdot \tilde{D} = \mathbb{H}$. Recall that, if $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, then $\Im(\gamma z) = \Im(z)/|cz + d|^2$. Fix a $z \in \mathbb{H}$. Lemma 2.13 below implies that there exists a $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma'$ such that $|cz + d|$ is a minimum. Then $\Im(yz)$ is a maximum among elements in the orbit of $z$.

For some $n$, $z' \overset{\text{def}}{=} T^n(yz)$ will have

$$-1/2 \leq \Re(z') \leq 1/2.$$

I claim that $|z'| \geq 1$. If not, then

$$\Im(Sz') = \Im(-1/z') = \Im\left( \frac{-x' + iy'}{|z'|^2} \right) = \frac{\Im(z')}{|z'|^2} \geq \Im(z') = \Im(yz),$$

which contradicts our choice of $yz$. We have shown that $\Gamma' \cdot \tilde{D} = \mathbb{H}$.

Suppose $z, z' \in \tilde{D}$ are $\Gamma$-conjugate. Then either $\Im(z) \geq \Im(z')$ or $\Im(z) \leq \Im(z')$, and we shall assume the latter. Suppose $z' = yz$ with $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, and let $z = x + iy$. Then our assumption implies that

$$(cx + d)^2 + (cy)^2 = |cz + d|^2 \leq 1.$$
This is impossible if \( c \geq 2 \) (because \( y^2 \geq 3/4 \)), and so we need only consider the cases \( c = 0, 1, -1 \).

\( c = 0 \): Then \( d = \pm 1, \gamma = \pm \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \), and \( \gamma \) is translation by \( b \). Because \( z \) and \( \gamma z \in \overline{D} \), this implies that \( b = \pm 1 \), and we are in case (a(i)).

\( c = 1 \): As \( |z + d| \leq 1 \) we must have \( d = 0 \), unless \( z = \rho = \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \), in which case \( d = 0 \) or \(-1\), or \( z = \rho^2 \), in which case \( d = 0 \) or \( 1 \). If \( d = 0 \), then \( \gamma = \pm \left( \begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \), and \( \gamma z = a - \frac{1}{z} \). If \( a = 0 \), then we are in case (a(ii)). If \( a \neq 0 \), then \( a = 1 \) and \( z = \rho \), or \( a = -1 \) and \( z = \rho \).

\( c = -1 \): This case can be treated similarly (or simply change the signs of \( a, b, c, d \) in the last case).

This completes the proof of (a) and (b) of the theorem.

We now prove (c). Let \( \gamma \in \Gamma \). Choose a point \( z_0 \in D \). Because \( \Gamma' \cdot \overline{D} = \mathbb{H} \), there is an element \( \gamma' \in \Gamma' \) and a point \( z \in \overline{D} \) such that \( \gamma' z = \gamma z_0 \in \overline{D} \). Then \( z_0 \) is \( \Gamma(1) \)-equivalent to \( (\gamma^{\prime -1})z_0 \in \overline{D} \); because \( z_0 \in D \), part (a) shows that \( z_0 = (\gamma^{\prime -1})z_0 \). Hence \( \gamma^{\prime -1} \in \text{Stab}(z_0) \cap \Gamma(1) = \{ \pm I \} \), and so \( \gamma' \) and \( \gamma \) are equal in \( \Gamma(1)/\{ \pm I \} \).

**Lemma 2.13** For a fixed \( z \in \mathbb{H} \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), there are only finitely many pairs of integers \((c, d)\) such that

\[ |cz + d| \leq N. \]

**Proof.** Write \( z = x + iy \). If \((c, d)\) is such a pair, then

\[ |cz + d|^2 = (cx + dy)^2 + c^2y^2, \]
so that

\[ c^2y^2 \leq (cx + dy)^2 + c^2y^2 \leq N. \]

As \( z \in \mathbb{H}, y \geq 0 \), and so \(|c| \leq N/y \), which implies that there are only finitely many possibilities for \( c \). For any such \( c \), the equation

\[ (cx + dy)^2 + c^2y^2 \leq N \]

shows that there are only finitely many possible values of \( d \). \( \square \)

**Remark 2.14** We showed that the group \( \Gamma(1)/\{ \pm I \} \) has generators \( S \) and \( T \) with relations \( S^2 = 1 \) and \((ST)^3 = 1 \). One can show that this is a full set of relations, and that \( \Gamma(1)/\{ \pm I \} \) is the free product of the cyclic group of order 2 generated by \( S \) and the cyclic group of order 3 generated by \( ST \).

Most finite simple groups of Lie type are generated by an element of order 2 and an element of order 3, and all but three of the sporadic simple groups are. The simple groups with such generators are quotients of \( \Gamma(1) \) by an arithmetic subgroup, most of which are not congruence subgroups (because the only simple quotients of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \) are the groups \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \) with \( p \) a prime dividing \( N \)).

**Aside 2.15** Our computation of the fundamental domain has applications for quadratic forms and sphere packings.

Consider a binary quadratic form:

\[ q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}. \]

Assume \( q \) is definite, i.e., its discriminant \( \Delta = b^2 - 4ac < 0 \). Two forms \( q \) and \( q' \) are equivalent if there is a matrix \( A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) taking \( q \) into \( q' \) by the change of variables,

\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} x' \\ y' \end{array} \right) = A \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array} \right). \]
In other words, the forms
\[ q(x, y) = (x, y) \cdot Q \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad q'(x, y) = (x, y) \cdot Q' \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \]
are equivalent if \( Q = A' \cdot Q' \cdot A \).

Every definite binary quadratic form can be written \( q(x, y) = a(x - \omega y)(x - \bar{\omega}y) \) with \( \omega \in \mathbb{H} \). The association \( q \leftrightarrow \omega \) is a one-to-one correspondence between the definite binary quadratic forms with a fixed discriminant \( \Delta \) and the points of \( \mathbb{H} \). Moreover, two forms are equivalent if and only if the points lie in the same \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \)-orbit. A definite binary quadratic form is said to be reduced if \( \Delta = \min \{ q(x) | x \in \mathbb{Z}^2, x \neq 0 \} \) as large as possible. Changing the choice of basis for \( \Lambda \) corresponds to acting on \( q \) with an element of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), and so we can confine our attention to reduced quadratic forms. It is then easy to show that the quadratic form with \( \gamma(q) \) minimum is that corresponding to \( \rho \). The corresponding lattice has basis \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \) (just as you would expect), and the quadratic form is \( 4(x^2 + xy + y^2) \).

**Fundamental domains for congruence subgroups**

First we have the following general result.

**Proposition 2.16** Let \( \Gamma \) be a discrete subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \), and let \( D \) be a fundamental domain for \( \Gamma \). Let \( \Gamma' \) be a subgroup of \( \Gamma \) of finite index, and choose elements \( \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m \) in \( \Gamma \) such that
\[ \bar{\Gamma} = \bar{\Gamma}/\gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \bar{\Gamma}/\gamma_m \] (disjoint union)
where a bar denotes the image in \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}) \). Then \( D' \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_i \gamma_i D \) is a fundamental domain for \( \Gamma' \) (possibly nonconnected).
We first define the complex structure on \( \mathbb{H} \). Then \( z = \gamma z' \) for some \( z' \in \bar{D} \), \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), and \( \gamma = \pm \gamma' \gamma_l \) for some \( \gamma' \in \Gamma' \). Thus \( z = \gamma' \gamma_l z' \in \Gamma' \cdot (\gamma_l \bar{D}) \).

If \( \gamma D' \cap D' \neq \emptyset \), then it would contain a transform of \( D \). But then \( \gamma \gamma_l D = \gamma_j D \) for some \( j \neq j' \), which would imply that \( \gamma \gamma_l = \pm \gamma_j \), and this is a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.17** It is possible to choose the \( \gamma_l \) so that the closure of \( D' \) is connected; the interior of the closure of \( D' \) is then a connected fundamental domain for \( \Gamma \).

**Proof.** Omitted.

**Remark 2.18** Once one has obtained a fundamental domain for \( \Gamma \), as in Proposition 2.16, it is possible to read off a system of generators and relations for \( \Gamma \).

In a future version, there will be many diagrams of fundamental domains. H. Verrill has written a fundamental domain drawer which can sometimes be found on the web (see the title page).

### Defining complex structures on quotients

Before defining \( \mathbb{H}^* \) and the complex structure on the quotient \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \) we discuss two simple examples.

**Example 2.19** Let \( D \) be the open unit disk, and let \( \Delta \) be a finite group acting on \( D \) and fixing 0. The Schwarz lemma (see p. 26) implies that \( \text{Aut}(D,0) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \} \approx \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \), and it follows that \( \Delta \) is a finite cyclic group. Let \( z \mapsto \xi z \) be its generator and suppose that \( \xi^m = 1 \). Then \( \xi^m \) is invariant under \( \Delta \), and so defines a function on \( \Delta \backslash D \). It is a homeomorphism from \( \Delta \backslash D \) onto \( D \), and therefore defines a complex structure on \( \Delta \backslash D \).

Let \( p \) be the quotient map \( D \to \Delta \backslash D \). The map \( f \mapsto f \circ p \) is a bijection from the holomorphic functions on \( U \subset \Delta \backslash D \) to the holomorphic functions of \( \xi^m \) on \( p^{-1}(U) \subset D \); but these are precisely the holomorphic functions on \( p^{-1}(U) \) invariant under the action of \( \Delta \).

**Example 2.20** Let \( X = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(z) > c \} \) (some \( c \)). Fix an integer \( h \), and let \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) act on \( X \) as \( z \mapsto z + nh \). Add a point “\( \infty \)” and define a topology on \( X^* = X \cup \{ \infty \} \) as follows: a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of a point in \( X \) is as before; a fundamental system of neighbourhoods for \( \infty \) is formed of sets of the form \( \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(z) > N \} \). We can extend the action of \( \mathbb{Z} \) on \( X \) to a continuous action on \( X^* \) by requiring \( \infty + nh = \infty \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Consider the quotient space \( \Gamma \backslash X^* \). The function

\[
q(z) = \begin{cases} 
  e^{2\pi i z/h} & z \neq \infty, \\
  0 & z = \infty,
\end{cases}
\]

is a homeomorphism \( \Gamma \backslash X^* \to D \) from \( \Gamma \backslash X^* \) onto the open disk of radius \( e^{-2\pi c/h} \) and centre 0. It therefore defines a complex structure on \( \Gamma \backslash X^* \).

### The complex structure on \( \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \)

We first define the complex structure on \( \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H} \). Write \( p \) for the quotient map \( \mathbb{H} \to \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H} \). Let \( P \) be a point of \( \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H} \), and let \( Q \) be a point of \( \mathbb{H} \) mapping to it.

If \( Q \) is not an elliptic point, we can choose a neighbourhood \( U \) of \( Q \) such that \( p \) is a homeomorphism \( U \to p(U) \). We define \( (p(U), p^{-1}) \) to be a coordinate neighbourhood of \( P \).
If \( Q \) is equivalent to \( i \), we may as well take it to equal \( i \). The map \( z \mapsto \frac{z-i}{z+i} \) defines an isomorphism of some open neighbourhood \( D \) of \( i \) stable under \( S \) onto an open disk \( D' \) with centre 0, and the action of \( S \) on \( D \) is transformed into the automorphism \( \sigma: z \mapsto -z \) of \( D' \) (because it fixes \( i \) and has order 2). Thus \( \langle S \rangle \backslash D \rangle \) is homeomorphic to \( \langle \sigma \rangle \backslash D' \), and we give \( \langle S \rangle \backslash D \rangle \) the complex structure making this a bi-holomorphic isomorphism. More explicitly, \( \frac{z-i}{z+i} \) is a holomorphic function defined in a neighbourhood of \( i \), and \( S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) maps it to
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{-z-1-i}{-z-1+i} &= \frac{-1-iz}{-1+iz} = \frac{-i+z}{-i-z} = \frac{z-i}{z+i}.
\end{align*}
\]
Thus \( z \mapsto \left( \frac{z-i}{z+i} \right)^2 \) is a holomorphic function defined in a neighbourhood of \( i \) which is invariant under the action of \( S \); it therefore defines a holomorphic function in a neighbourhood of \( p(i) \), and we take this to be the coordinate function near \( p(i) \).

The point \( Q = \rho^2 \) can be treated similarly. Apply a linear fractional transformation that maps \( Q \) to zero, and then take the cube of the map. Explicitly, \( \rho^2 \) is fixed by \( ST \), which has order 3 (as a transformation). The function \( z \mapsto \frac{z-\rho^2}{z-\rho^2} \) defines an isomorphism from a disk with centre \( \rho^2 \) onto a disk with centre 0, and \( \left( \frac{z-\rho^2}{z-\rho^2} \right)^3 \) is invariant under \( ST \). It therefore defines a function on a neighbourhood of \( p(\rho^2) \), and we take this to be the coordinate function near \( p(\rho^2) \).

The Riemann surface \( \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H} \) we obtain is not compact— to compactify it, we need to add a point. The simplest way to do this is to add a point \( \infty \) to \( \mathbb{H} \), as in 2.20, and use the function \( q(z) = \exp(2\pi i z) \) to map some neighbourhood \( U = \{ z \in \mathbb{H} | \Im(z) > N \} \) of \( \infty \) onto an open disk \( V \) with centre 0. The function \( q \) is invariant under the action of the stabilizer of \( T \) of \( \infty \), and so defines a holomorphic function \( q: \langle T \rangle \backslash U \to V \), which we take to be the coordinate function near \( p(\infty) \).

Alternatively, we can consider \( \mathbb{H}^* = \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \), i.e., \( \mathbb{H}^* \) is the union of \( \mathbb{H} \) with the set of cusps for \( \Gamma(1) \). Each cusp other than \( \infty \) is a rational point on the real axis, and is of the form \( \sigma \infty \) for some \( \sigma \in \Gamma(1) \) (see 2.11). Give \( \sigma \infty \) the fundamental system of neighbourhoods for which \( \sigma \) is a homeomorphism. Then \( \Gamma(1) \) acts continuously on \( \mathbb{H}^* \), and we can consider the quotient space \( \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \). Clearly, \( \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}^* = (\Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}) \cup \{ \infty \} \), and we can endow it with the same complex structure as before.

**Proposition 2.21** The Riemann surface \( \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \) is compact and of genus zero; it is therefore isomorphic to the Riemann sphere.

**Proof.** It is compact because \( \bar{D} \cup \{ \infty \} \) is compact. We sketch four proofs that it has genus 0. First, by examining carefully how the points of \( \bar{D} \) are identified, one can see that it must be homeomorphic to a sphere. Second, show that it is simply connected (loops can be contracted), and the Riemann sphere is the only simply connected compact Riemann surface (Uniformization Theorem 0.1). Third, triangulate it by taking \( \rho, i, \) and \( \infty \) as the vertices of the obvious triangle, add a fourth vertex not on any side of the triangle, and join it to the first three vertices; then \( 2 - 2g = 4 - 6 + 4 = 2 \). Finally, there is a direct proof that there is a function \( j \) holomorphic on \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \) and having a simple pole at \( \infty \)—it is therefore of valence one, and so defines an isomorphism of \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \) onto the Riemann sphere. \( \square \)

---

\(^9\)We sometimes denote \( \infty \) by \( i \infty \) and imagine it to be at the end of the imaginary axis.
The complex structure on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$

Let $\Gamma \subset \Gamma(1)$ of finite index. We can define a compact Riemann surface $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$ in much the same way as for $\Gamma(1)$. The complement of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ in $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$ is the set of equivalence classes of cusps for $\Gamma$.\(^{10}\)

First $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ is given a complex structure in exactly the same way as in the case $\Gamma = \Gamma(1)$. The point $\infty$ will always be a cusp ($\Gamma$ must contain $T^h$ for some $h$, and $T^h$ is a parabolic element fixing $\infty$). If $h$ is the smallest power of $T$ in $\Gamma$, then the function $q = \exp(2\pi i z/h)$ is a coordinate function near $\infty$. Any other cusp for $\Gamma$ is of the form $\sigma \infty$ for $\sigma \in \Gamma(1)$, and $z \mapsto q(\sigma^{-1}(z))$ is a coordinate function near $\sigma \infty$.

We write $Y(\Gamma) = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ and $X(\Gamma) = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$. We abbreviate $Y(\Gamma(N))$ to $Y(N)$, $X(\Gamma(N))$ to $X(N)$, $Y(\Gamma_0(N))$ to $Y_0(N)$, $X(\Gamma_0(N))$ to $X_0(N)$ and so on.

The genus of $X(\Gamma)$

We now compute the genus of $X(\Gamma)$ by considering it as a covering of $X(\Gamma(1))$. According to the Riemann-Hurwitz formula (1.27)

$$2g - 2 = -2m + \sum (e_P - 1)$$

or

$$g = 1 - m + \sum (e_P - 1)/2.$$

where $m$ is the degree of the covering $X(\Gamma) \to X(\Gamma(1))$ and $e_P$ is the ramification index at the point $P$. The ramification points are the images of elliptic points on $\mathbb{H}^*$ and the cusps.

**Theorem 2.22** Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of $\Gamma(1)$ of finite index, and let $v_2 =$ the number of inequivalent elliptic points of order 2; $v_3 =$ the number of inequivalent elliptic points of order 3; $v_\infty =$ the number of inequivalent cusps. Then the genus of $X(\Gamma)$ is

$$g = 1 + m/12 - v_2/4 - v_3/3 - v_\infty/2.$$

**Proof.** Let $p$ be the quotient map $\mathbb{H}^* \to \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$, and let $\varphi$ be the map $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \to \Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$. If $Q$ is a point of $\mathbb{H}^*$ and $P'$ and $P$ are its images in $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$ and $\Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$ then the ramification indices multiply:

$$e(Q/P) = e(Q/P') \cdot e(P'/P).$$

If $Q$ is a cusp, then this formula is not useful, as $e(Q/P) = \infty = e(Q/P')$ (the map $p$ is $\infty : 1$ on every neighbourhood of $\infty$). For $Q \in \mathbb{H}$ and not an elliptic point it tells us $P'$ is not ramified.

Suppose that $P = p(i)$, so that $Q$ is $\Gamma(1)$-equivalent to $i$. Then either $e(Q/P') = 2$ or $e(P'/P) = 2$. In the first case, $Q$ is an elliptic point for $\Gamma$ and $P'$ is unramified over $P$; in the second, $Q$ is not an elliptic point for $\Gamma$, and the ramification index of $P'$ over $P$ is 2. There are $v_2$ points $P'$ of the first type, and $(m - v_2)/2$ points of the second. Hence $\sum (e_P - 1) = (m - v_2)/2$.

Suppose that $P = p(\rho)$, so that $Q$ is $\Gamma(1)$-equivalent to $\rho$. Then either $e(Q/P') = 3$ or $e(P'/P) = 3$. In the first case, $Q$ is an elliptic point for $\Gamma$ and $P'$ is unramified over $P$; in the second, $Q$ is not an elliptic point for $\Gamma$, and the ramification index of $P'$ over $P$ is 3. There are $v_3$ points $P'$ of the first type, and $(m - v_3)/3$ points of the second. Hence $\sum (e_P - 1) = 2(m - v_3)/3$.

\(^{10}\) Exercise: check that $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$ is Hausdorff.
Suppose that \( P = p(\infty) \), so that \( Q \) is a cusp for \( \Gamma \). There are \( \nu_\infty \) points \( P' \) and 
\[ \sum e_i = m; \text{ hence } \sum e_i - 1 = m - \nu_\infty. \]
We conclude:
\[
\begin{align*}
\sum (e_{P'} - 1) &= (m - \nu_2)/2 \quad (P' \text{ lying over } \varphi(i)) \\
\sum (e_{P'} - 1) &= 2(m - \nu_3)/3 \quad (P' \text{ lying over } \varphi(\rho)) \\
\sum (e_{P'} - 1) &= (m - \nu_\infty) \quad (P' \text{ lying over } \varphi(\infty)).
\end{align*}
\]
Therefore
\[
g = 1 - m + \sum (e_P - 1)/2 = 1 + m/12 - \nu_2/4 - \nu_3/3 - \nu_\infty/2. \]

**Example 2.23** Consider the principal congruence subgroup \( \Gamma(N) \). We have to compute the index of \( \Gamma(N) \) in \( \Gamma \), i.e., the order of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \). One sees easily that:

(a) \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \approx \prod \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}) \) if \( N = \prod p_i^{e_i} \) (because \( \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \approx \prod \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z} \)).

(b) The order of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) = (p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p) \) (because the top row of a matrix in \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \) can be any nonzero element of \( k^2 \), and the second row can then be any element of \( k^2 \) not on the line spanned by the first row).

(c) The kernel of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \) consists of all matrices of the form \( I + p \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \) with \( a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z} \), and so the order of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}) \) is \( (p^r - 1)^4 \cdot (p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p) \).

(d) \( \#\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^*\mathbb{Z}) = \varphi(p^r) \cdot \#\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}) \), where \( \varphi(p^r) = \#(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^* = (p - 1)p^{r-1}. \)

On putting these statements together, one finds that
\[
(\Gamma(1) : \Gamma(N)) = N^3 \cdot \prod_{p \nmid N} (1 - p^{-2}).
\]
Write \( \widetilde{\Gamma}(N) \) for the image of \( \Gamma(N) \) in \( \Gamma(1)/\{ \pm I \} \). Then
\[
(\widetilde{\Gamma}(1) : \widetilde{\Gamma}(N)) = (\Gamma(1) : \Gamma(N))/2,
\]
unless \( N = 2 \), in which case it is 6.

What are \( \nu_2, \nu_3 \), and \( \nu_\infty \)? Assume \( N > 1 \). Then \( \Gamma(N) \) has no elliptic points—the only torsion elements in \( \widetilde{\Gamma}(1) \) are \( S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \), \( ST = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \), \( (ST)^2 \), and their conjugates; none of these elements is in \( \Gamma(N) \) for any \( N > 1 \), and because \( \Gamma(N) \) is a normal subgroup, their conjugates can’t be either. The number of inequivalent cusps is \( \mu_N/N \) where \( \mu_N = (\widetilde{\Gamma}(1) : \widetilde{\Gamma}(N)) \) (see 2.24). We conclude that the genus of \( \Gamma(N) \) is
\[
g(N) = 1 + \mu_N \cdot (N - 6)/12N \quad (N > 1).
\]

For example,

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
N &=& 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\mu &=& 6 & 12 & 24 & 60 & 72 & 168 & 192 & 324 & 360 & 660 \\
g &=& 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 10 & 13 & 26.
\end{array}
\]

Note that \( X(2) \) has genus zero and three cusps. There are similarly explicit formulas for the genus of \( X_0(N) \)—see Shimura 1971, p. 25.
**Exercise 2.24** Let $G$ be a group (possibly infinite) acting transitively on a set $X$, and let $H$ be a normal subgroup of finite index in $G$. Fix a point $x_0$ in $X$ and let $G_0$ be the stabilizer of $x_0$ in $G$, and let $H_0$ be the stabilizer of $x_0$ in $H$. Prove that the number of orbits of $H$ acting on $X$ is 

$$(G : H) / (G_0 : H_0).$$

Deduce that the number of inequivalent cusps for $\Gamma(N)$ is $\mu_N / N$.

**Remark 2.25** Recall that Liouville’s theorem states that the image of a nonconstant entire function (holomorphic function on the entire complex plane $\mathbb{C}$) is unbounded. The Little Picard Theorem states that the image of such a function is either $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ with one point omitted. We prove this.

In Example 2.23, we showed that $X(2)$ has genus zero and three cusps, and so $Y(2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \sim \{ \text{two points} \}$. Therefore an entire function $f$ that omits at least two values can be regarded as a holomorphic function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow Y(2)$. Because $\mathbb{C}$ is simply connected, $f$ will lift to a function to the universal covering of $Y(2)$, which is isomorphic to the open unit disk. The lifted function is constant by Liouville’s theorem.

**Remark 2.26** The Taniyama-Weil conjecture says that, for every elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, there exists a surjective map $X_0(N) \rightarrow E$, where $N$ is the conductor of $E$ (the conductor of $E$ is divisible only by the primes where $E$ has bad reduction). The conjecture is suggested by studying zeta functions (see later). For any particular $N$, it is possible to verify the conjecture by listing all elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor $N$, and checking that there is a map $X_0(N) \rightarrow E$. It is known (Frey, Ribet) that the Taniyama-Weil conjecture implies Fermat’s last theorem. Wiles (and Taylor) proved the Taniyama-Weil conjecture for sufficiently many elliptic curves to be able to deduce Fermat’s last theorem, and the proof of the Taniyama-Weil conjecture was completed for all elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ by Breuil, Conrad, Diamond, and Taylor. See: Darmon, Henri, A proof of the full Shimura-Taniyama-Weil conjecture is announced. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 46 (1999), no. 11, 1397–1401.

An elliptic curve for which there is a nonconstant map $X_0(N) \rightarrow E$ for some $N$ is called a modular elliptic curve. Contrast elliptic modular curves which are the curves of the form $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$ for $\Gamma$ a congruence subgroup of $\Gamma(1)$.

**Aside 2.27** A domain is a connected open subset of some space $\mathbb{C}^n$. A bounded symmetric domain $X$ is a bounded domain that is symmetric in the sense that each point of $X$ is an isolated fixed point of an involution of $X$ (holomorphic automorphism of $X$ of order 2). A complex manifold isomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain is called a hermitian symmetric domain (or, loosely, a bounded symmetric domain).

For example, the unit disk $D$ is a bounded symmetric domain—the origin is the fixed point of the involution $z \mapsto -z$, and, since $\text{Aut}(D)$ acts transitively on $D$, this shows that every other point must also be the fixed point of an involution. As $\mathbb{H}$ is isomorphic to $D$, it is a hermitian symmetric domain. Every hermitian symmetric domain is simply connected, and so (by the Uniformization Theorem) every hermitian symmetric domain of dimension one is isomorphic to the complex upper half plane.

The hermitian symmetric domains of all dimensions were classified by Elie Cartan, except for the exceptional ones. Just as for $\mathbb{H}$, the group of automorphisms $\text{Aut}(X)$ of a hermitian symmetric domain $X$ is a Lie group, which is simple if $X$ is indecomposable (i.e., not equal to a product of hermitian symmetric domains). There are hermitian symmetric domains attached to groups of type $A_n$ ($n \geq 1$), $B_n$ ($n \geq 2$), $C_n$ ($n \geq 3$), $D_n$ ($n \geq 4$), $E_6$, $E_7$.

---

11 The exercise becomes false without this condition. For example, take $H = \Gamma_0(p)$ and $x_0 = \infty$; then $[G: H] = p + 1$ and $[G_{\infty}: H_{\infty}] = 1$, and the formula in the exercise gives us $p + 1$ for the number of inequivalent cusps for $\Gamma_0(p)$. However, we know that $\Gamma_0(p)$ has only 2 cusps.
Let $X$ be a hermitian symmetric domain. There exist many semisimple algebraic groups $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and surjective homomorphisms $G(\mathbb{R})^+ \to \text{Aut}(X)^+$ with compact kernel—the $^+$ denotes the identity component for the real topology. For example, we saw above that every quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ that splits over $\mathbb{R}$ gives rise to such a group for $\mathbb{H}$. Given such a $G$, one defines congruence subgroups $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Z})$ just as for $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and studies the quotients.

In 1964, Baily and Borel showed that each quotient $\Gamma \backslash X$ has a canonical structure as an algebraic variety; in fact, they proved that $\Gamma \backslash X$ could be realized in a natural way as a Zariski-open subvariety of a projective algebraic variety $\Gamma \backslash X^*$. Various examples of these varieties were studied by Poincaré, Hilbert, Siegel, and many others, but Shimura began an intensive study of their arithmetic properties in the 1960s, and they are now called Shimura varieties.

Given a Shimura variety $\Gamma \backslash X$, one can attach a number field $E$ to it, and prove that the Shimura variety is defined, in a natural way, over $E$. Thus one obtains a vast array of algebraic varieties defined over number fields, all with very interesting arithmetic properties. In this course, we study only the simplest case.
3 Elliptic Functions

In this section, we review some of the theory of elliptic functions. For more details, see Cartan 1963, V 2.5, VI 5.3, or Milne 2006, III 1.2.

Lattices and bases

Let \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) be two nonzero complex numbers such that \( \tau = \omega_1 / \omega_2 \) is imaginary. By interchanging \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) if necessary, we can ensure that \( \tau = \omega_1 / \omega_2 \) lies in the upper half plane. Write

\[
\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} \omega_1 + \mathbb{Z} \omega_2,
\]

so that \( \Lambda \) is the lattice generated by \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \). We are interested in \( \Lambda \) rather than the basis \( \{ \omega_1, \omega_2 \} \). A second pair of elements of \( \mathbb{L} \),

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1' \\ \omega_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

is a basis for \( \Lambda \) if and only if \( \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \pm 1 \). The calculation on p. 6 shows that the ratio

\[
\tau' = \omega_1' / \omega_2'
\]

has imaginary part

\[
\Im(\tau') = \Im \left( \frac{a \tau + b}{c \tau + d} \right) = \frac{\Im(\tau)}{|cz + d|^2}.
\]

Therefore, the ordered bases \( \{ \omega_1', \omega_2' \} \) of \( \Lambda \) with \( \Im(\omega_1' / \omega_2') > 0 \) are those of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1' \\ \omega_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).
\]

Any parallelogram with vertices \( z_0, z_0 + \omega_1, z_0 + \omega_1 + \omega_2, z_0 + \omega_2 \), where \( \{ \omega_1, \omega_2 \} \) is a basis for \( \Lambda \), is called a fundamental parallelogram for \( \Lambda \).

Quotients of \( \mathbb{C} \) by lattices

Let \( \Lambda \) be a lattice in \( \mathbb{C} \) (by which I always mean a full lattice, i.e., a set of the form \( \mathbb{Z} \omega_1 + \mathbb{Z} \omega_2 \) with \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) linearly independent over \( \mathbb{R} \)). We can make the quotient space \( \mathbb{C} / \Lambda \) into a Riemann surface as follows: let \( Q \) be a point in \( \mathbb{C} \) and let \( P \) be its image \( \mathbb{C} / \Lambda \); then there exist neighbourhoods \( V \) of \( Q \) and \( U \) of \( P \) such that the quotient map \( p: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} / \Lambda \) defines a homeomorphism \( V \to U \); we take every such pair \( (U, p^{-1}(U)) \) to be a coordinate neighbourhood. In this way we get a complex structure on \( \mathbb{C} / \Lambda \) having the following property: the map \( p: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} / \Lambda \) is holomorphic, and for every open subset \( U \) of \( \mathbb{C} / \Lambda \), a function \( f: U \to \mathbb{C} \) is holomorphic if and only if \( f \circ p \) is holomorphic on \( p^{-1}(U) \).

Topologically, \( \mathbb{C} / \Lambda \approx (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2 \), which is a single-holed torus. Thus \( \mathbb{C} / \Lambda \) has genus 1. All spaces \( \mathbb{C} / \Lambda \) are homeomorphic, but, as we shall see, they are not all isomorphic as Riemann surfaces.

Doubly periodic functions

Let \( \Lambda \) be a lattice in \( \mathbb{C} \). A meromorphic function \( f(z) \) on the complex plane is said to be doubly periodic with respect to \( \Lambda \) if it satisfies the functional equation:

\[
f(z + \omega) = f(z) \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \Lambda.
\]
Equivalently,
\[
\begin{align*}
\{ f(z + \omega_1) &= f(z) \\
\{ f(z + \omega_2) &= f(z)
\end{align*}
\]
for some basis \{\omega_1, \omega_2\} for \Lambda.

**Proposition 3.1** Let \( f(z) \) be a doubly periodic function for \( \Lambda \), not identically zero, and let \( D \) be a fundamental parallelogram for \( \Lambda \) such that \( f \) has no zeros or poles on the boundary of \( D \). Then

(a) \( \sum_{P \in D} \text{ord}_P(f) = 0 \);
(b) \( \sum_{P \in D} \text{Res}_P(f) = 0 \);
(c) \( \sum_{P \in D} \text{ord}_P(f) \cdot P \equiv 0 \pmod{\Lambda} \).

The second sum is over the points of \( D \) where \( f \) has a pole, and the other sums are over the points where it has a zero or pole. Each sum is finite.

**Proof.** Regard \( f \) as a function on \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \). Then (a) and (b) are special cases of (a) and (b) of Proposition 1.12, and (c) is obtained by applying 1.12(b) to \( z f'(z)/f(z) \).

**Corollary 3.2** A nonconstant doubly periodic function has at least two poles.

**Proof.** A doubly periodic function that is holomorphic is bounded in a closed period parallelogram (by compactness), and hence on the entire plane (by periodicity); so it is constant, by Liouville’s theorem. A doubly periodic function with a simple pole in a period parallelogram is impossible, because, by 3.1(a), the residue at the pole would be zero, and so the function would be holomorphic.

**Endomorphisms of \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \)**

Note that \( \Lambda \) is a subgroup of the additive group \( \mathbb{C} \), and so \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \) has a natural group structure.

**Proposition 3.3** Let \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda' \) be two lattices in \( \mathbb{C} \). An element \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \alpha \Lambda \subset \Lambda' \) defines a holomorphic map
\[
\varphi_\alpha : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda', \quad [z] \mapsto [\alpha z],
\]
sending \([0]\) to \([0]\), and every such map is of this form (for a unique \( \alpha \)). In particular,
\[
\mathbb{Z} \subset \text{End}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda).
\]

**Proof.** It is obvious that \( \alpha \) defines such a map. Conversely, let \( \varphi : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda' \) be a holomorphic map such that \( \varphi([0]) = [0] \). Then \( \mathbb{C} \) is the universal covering space of both \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \) and \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda' \), and a standard result in topology shows that \( \varphi \) lifts to a continuous map \( \tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \tilde{\varphi}(0) = 0 \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & \mathbb{C} \\
\downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\
\mathbb{C}/\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{C}/\Lambda'.
\end{array}
\]

Because the vertical maps are local isomorphisms, \( \tilde{\varphi} \) is automatically holomorphic. For any \( \omega \in \Lambda \), the map \( z \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}(z + \omega) - \tilde{\varphi}(z) \) takes values in \( \Lambda' \subset \mathbb{C} \). It is a continuous map from
connected space $\mathbb{C}$ to a discrete space $\Lambda'$, and so it must be constant. Therefore $\varphi' \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d\varphi}{dz}$ is doubly periodic function, and so defines a holomorphic function $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda \to \mathbb{C}$, which must be constant (because $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ is compact), say $\varphi'(z) = \alpha$. Then $\varphi(z) = \alpha z + \beta$, and the fact that $\varphi'(0) = 0$ implies that $\beta = 0$.

**Corollary 3.4** Every holomorphic map $\varphi: \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda'$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ is a homomorphism.

**Proof.** Clearly $[z] \mapsto [\alpha z]$ is a homomorphism.

Compare this with the result (AG, 7.14): every regular map $\varphi: A \to A'$ from an abelian variety $A$ to an abelian variety $A'$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ is a homomorphism.

**Corollary 3.5** The Riemann surfaces $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ and $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda'$ are isomorphic if and only if $\alpha \Lambda = \alpha' \Lambda$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

**Corollary 3.6** Either $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda) = \mathbb{Z}$ or there is an imaginary quadratic field $K$ such that $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$ is a subring of $\mathcal{O}_K$ of rank 2 over $\mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof.** Write $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ with $\tau \overset{\text{def}}{=} \omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathbb{H}$, and suppose that there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$, such that $\alpha \Lambda \subset \Lambda$. Then 

\[
\alpha \omega_1 = a \omega_1 + b \omega_2 \\
\alpha \omega_2 = c \omega_1 + d \omega_2,
\]

with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. On dividing through by $\omega_2$ we obtain the equations 

\[
\alpha \tau = a \tau + b \\
\alpha = c \tau + d.
\]

Note that $c$ is nonzero because $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$. On eliminating $\alpha$ from between the two equations, we find that 

\[
c \tau^2 + (d - a) \tau - b = 0.
\]

Therefore $\mathbb{Q}[\tau]$ is of degree 2 over $\mathbb{Q}$. On eliminating $\tau$ from between the two equations, we find that 

\[
\alpha^2 - (a + d) \alpha + ad - bc = 0.
\]

Therefore $\alpha$ is integral over $\mathbb{Z}$, and hence is contained in the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}[\tau]$.

**The Weierstrass $\wp$-function**

We want to construct some doubly periodic functions. Note that when $G$ is a finite group acting on a set $S$, then it is easy to construct functions invariant under the action of $G$: for any function $h: S \to \mathbb{C}$, the function $f(s) = \sum_{g \in G} h(gs)$ is invariant under $G$, because $f(g's) = \sum_{g \in G} h(g'gs) = f(s)$, and all invariant functions are of this form, obviously. When $G$ is not finite, one has to verify that the series converges—in fact, in order to be able to change the order of summation, one needs absolute convergence. Moreover, when $S$ is a Riemann surface and $h$ is holomorphic, to ensure that $f$ is holomorphic, one needs that the series converges absolutely uniformly on compact sets.

Now let $\varphi(z)$ be a holomorphic function $\mathbb{C}$ and write 

\[
\Phi(z) = \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda} \varphi(z + \omega).
\]
Assume that as $|z| \to \infty$, $\varphi(z) \to 0$ so fast that the series for $\Phi(z)$ is absolutely convergent for all $z$ for which none of the terms in the series has a pole. Then $\Phi(z)$ is doubly periodic with respect to $\Lambda$; for replacing $z$ by $z + \omega_0$ for some $\omega_0 \in \Lambda$ merely rearranges the terms in the sum. This is the most obvious way to construct doubly periodic functions; similar methods can be used to construct functions on other quotients of domains.

To prove the absolute uniform convergence on compact subsets of such series, the following test is useful.

**Lemma 3.7** Let $D$ be a bounded open set in the complex plane and let $c > 1$ be constant. Suppose that $\psi(z, \omega)$, $\omega \in \Lambda$, is a function that is meromorphic in $z$ for each $\omega$ and which satisfies the condition

\[
\psi(z, m\omega_1 + n\omega_2) = O((m^2 + n^2)^{-\epsilon}) \text{ as } m^2 + n^2 \to \infty
\]

uniformly in $z$ in $D$. Then the series $\sum_{\omega \in \Lambda} \psi(z, \omega)$, with finitely many terms which have poles in $D$ deleted, is uniformly absolutely convergent in $D$.

**Proof.** That only finitely many terms can have poles in $D$ follows from (2). This condition on $\psi$ means that there are constants $A$ and $B$ such that

\[
|\psi(z, m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)| < B(m^2 + n^2)^{-\epsilon}
\]

whenever $m^2 + n^2 > A$. To prove the lemma it suffices to show that, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an integer $N$ such that $S < \epsilon$ for every finite sum $S = \sum |\psi(z, m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)|$ in which all the terms are distinct and each one of them has $m^2 + n^2 \geq 2N^2$. Now $S$ consists of eight subsums, a typical member of which consists of the terms for which $m \geq n \geq 0$. (There is some overlap between these sums, but that is harmless.) In this subsum we have $m \geq N$ and $\psi < Bm^{-2\epsilon}$, assuming as we may that $2N^2 > A$; and there are at most $m + 1$ possible values of $n$ for a given $m$. Thus

\[
S \leq \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} Bm^{-2\epsilon}(m + 1) < B_1 N^{2-2\epsilon}
\]

for a suitable constant $B_1$, and this proves the lemma. \[\square\]

We know from (3.1) that the simplest possible nonconstant doubly periodic function is one with a double pole at each point of $\Lambda$ and no other poles. Suppose $f(z)$ is such a function. Then $f(z) - f(-z)$ is a doubly periodic function with no poles except perhaps simple ones at the points of $\Lambda$. Hence by the argument above, it must be constant, and since it is an odd function it must vanish. Thus $f(z)$ is even, and we can make it unique by imposing the normalization condition $f(z) = z^{-2} + O(z^2)$ near $z = 0$—it turns out to be convenient to force the constant term in this expansion to vanish rather than to assign the zeros of $f(z)$. There is such an $f(z)$—indeed it is the Weierstrass function $\wp(z)$—but we can’t define it by the method at the start of this subsection because if $\varphi(z) = z^{-2}$, the series $\Phi(z)$ is not absolutely convergent. However, if $\varphi(z) = -2z^{-3}$, we can apply this method, and it gives $\varphi'$, the derivative of the Weierstrass $\wp$-function. Define

\[
\varphi'(z; \Lambda) = \varphi'(z; \omega_1, \omega_2) = -2 \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^3}.
\]

The expression $f(z) = O(\varphi(z))$ means that $|f(z)| < C\varphi(z)$ for some constant $C$ (independent of $z$) for all values of $z$ in question.

Recall that a function $f(x)$ is even if $f(-x) = f(x)$ and odd if $f(-x) = -f(x)$.
Hence
\[ \wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda, \omega \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right). \]

**Theorem 3.8** Let \( P_1, \ldots, P_n \) and \( Q_1, \ldots, Q_n \) be two sets of \( n \geq 2 \) points in the complex plane, possibly with repetitions, but such that no \( P_i \) is congruent to a \( Q_j \) modulo \( \Lambda \). If \( \sum P_i \equiv \sum Q_j \mod \Lambda \), then there exists a doubly periodic function \( f(z) \) whose poles are the \( P_i \) and whose zeros are the \( Q_j \) with correct multiplicity, and \( f(z) \) is unique up to multiplication by a nonzero constant.

**Proof.** There is an elementary constructive proof. Alternatively, it follows from the Riemann-Roch theorem applied to \( \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \).

**The addition formula**

**Proposition 3.9** There is the following formula:
\[ \wp(z + z') = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \wp'(z) - \wp'(z') \right\}^2 - \wp(z) - \wp(z'). \]

**Proof.** Let \( f(z) \) denote the difference between the left and the right sides. Its only possible poles (in \( D \)) are at 0, or \( \pm z' \), and by examining the Laurent expansion of \( f(z) \) near these points one sees that it has no pole at 0 or \( z \), and at worst a simple pole at \( z' \). Since it is doubly periodic, it must be constant, and since \( f(0) = 0 \), it must be identically zero.

In particular, \( \wp(z + z') \), when regarded as a function of \( z \), is a rational function of \( \wp(z) \) and \( \wp'(z) \). In Proposition 3.11 below, we show that this is true of every doubly periodic function of \( z \).

**Eisenstein series**

Write
\[ G_k(\Lambda) = \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda, \omega \neq 0} \omega^{-2k} \]
and define \( G_k(z) = G_k(z\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}) \).

**Proposition 3.10** The Eisenstein series \( G_k(z) \), \( k > 1 \), converges to a holomorphic function on \( \mathbb{H} \); it takes the value \( 2\xi(2k) \) at infinity. (Here \( \xi(s) = \sum n^{-s} \), the usual zeta function.)

**Proof.** Apply Lemma 3.7 to see that \( G_k(z) \) is a holomorphic function on \( \mathbb{H} \). It remains to consider \( G_k(z) \) as \( z \rightarrow i\infty \) (remaining in \( D \), the fundamental domain for \( \Gamma(1) \)). Because the series for \( G_k(z) \) converges uniformly absolutely on \( D \), \( \lim_{z \rightarrow i\infty} G_k(z) = \sum \lim_{z \rightarrow i\infty} 1/(nz + n)^{2k} \). But \( \lim_{z \rightarrow i\infty} 1/(nz + n)^{2k} = 0 \) unless \( m = 0 \), and so
\[ \lim_{z \rightarrow i\infty} G_k(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0} 1/n^{2k} = 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} 1/n^{2k} = 2\xi(2k). \]
The field of doubly periodic functions

**Proposition 3.11** The field of doubly periodic functions is just \( \mathbb{C}(\wp(z), \wp'(z)) \), and

\[
\wp'(z)^2 = 4\wp(z)^3 - g_2\wp(z) - g_3
\]

where \( g_2 = 60G_2 \) and \( g_3 = 140G_3 \).

**Proof.** To prove the second statement, define \( f(z) \) to be the difference of the left and the right hand sides, and show (from its Laurent expansion) that it is holomorphic near 0 and take the value 0 there. Since it is doubly periodic and holomorphic elsewhere, this implies that it is zero.

For the first statement, we begin by showing that every even doubly periodic function \( f \) lies in \( \mathbb{C}(\wp) \). Observe that, because \( f(z) = f(-z) \), the \( k \)th derivative of \( f \),

\[
f^{(k)}(z) = (-1)^k f^{(k)}(-z).
\]

Therefore, if \( f \) has a zero of order \( m \) at \( z_0 \), then it has a zero of order \( m \) at \(-z_0\). On applying this remark to \( 1/f \), we obtain the same statement with “zero” replaced by “pole”. Similarly, because \( f^{(2k+1)}(z_0) = -f^{(2k+1)}(-z_0) \), if \( z_0 \equiv -z_0 \mod \Lambda \), then the order of zero (or pole) of \( f \) at \( z_0 \) is even. Choose a set of representatives mod \( \Lambda \) for the zeros and poles of \( f \) not in \( \Lambda \) and number them \( z_1, \ldots, z_m, -z_1, \ldots, -z_m, z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_n \) so that (modulo \( \Lambda \))

\[
z_i \neq -z_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m
\]

\[
z_i \equiv -z_i \neq 0, \quad m < i \leq n.
\]

Let \( m_i \) be the order of \( f \) at \( z_i \); according to the second observation, \( m_i \) is even for \( i > m \). Now \( \wp(z) - \wp(z_i) \) is also an even doubly periodic function. Since it has exactly two poles in a fundamental domain, it must have exactly two zeros there. When \( i \leq m \), it has simple zeros at \( \pm z_i \); when \( i > m \), it has a double zero at \( z_i \) (by the second observation). Define

\[
g(z) = \prod_{i=1}^m (\wp(z) - \wp(z_i))^{m_i} \cdot \prod_{i=m+1}^n (\wp(z) - \wp(z_i))^{m_i/2}.
\]

Then \( f(z) \) and \( g(z) \) have exactly the same zeros and poles at points \( z \) not on \( \Lambda \). We deduce from (3.1a) that they also have the same order at \( z = 0 \), and so \( f/g \), being holomorphic and doubly periodic, is constant: \( f = cg \in \mathbb{C}(\wp) \). Now consider an arbitrary doubly periodic function \( f \). Such an \( f \) decomposes into the sum of an even and of an odd doubly periodic function:

\[
f(z) = \frac{f(z) + f(-z)}{2} + \frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2}.
\]

We know that the even doubly periodic functions lie in \( \mathbb{C}(\wp) \), and clearly the odd doubly periodic functions lie in \( \wp' \cdot \mathbb{C}(\wp) \). \( \square \)

Elliptic curves

Let \( k \) be a field of characteristic \( \neq 2, 3 \). By an elliptic curve over \( k \), I mean a pair \( (E, 0) \) consisting of a nonsingular projective curve \( E \) of genus one and with a point \( 0 \in E(k) \). From the Riemann-Roch theorem, we obtain regular functions \( x \) and \( y \) on \( E \) such that \( x \) has a double pole at 0 and \( y \) a triple pole at 0, and neither has any other poles. Again from the Riemann-Roch theorem applied to the divisor \( 6 \cdot 0 \), we find that there is a relation between 1, \( x, x^2, x^3, y, y^2, xy \), which can be put in the form

\[
y^2 = 4x^3 - ax - b.
\]
The fact that $E$ is nonsingular implies that $\Delta \overset{\text{def}}{=} a^3 - 27b^2 \neq 0$. Thus $E$ is isomorphic to the projective curve defined by the equation,

$$Y^2Z = 4X^3 - aXZ^2 - bZ^3,$$

and every equation of this form (with $\Delta \neq 0$) defines an elliptic curve. Define

$$j(E) = 1728a^3/\Delta.$$

If the elliptic curves $E$ and $E'$ are isomorphic then $j(E) = j(E')$, and the converse is true when $k$ is algebraically closed. If $E$ is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$, then $E(\mathbb{C})$ has a natural complex structure—it is a Riemann surface. (See Milne 2006 for proofs of these, and other statements, about elliptic curves.)

An elliptic curve has a unique group structure (defined by regular maps) having 0 as its zero.

**The elliptic curve $E(\Lambda)$**

Let $\Lambda$ be a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$. We have seen that

$$\wp'(z)^2 = 4\wp(z)^3 - g_2\wp(z) - g_3.$$

Let $E(\Lambda)$ be the projective curve defined by the equation:

$$Y^2Z = 4X^3 - g_2XZ^2 - g_3Z^3.$$

**Proposition 3.12** The curve $E(\Lambda)$ is an elliptic curve (i.e., $\Delta \neq 0$), and the map

$$\mathbb{C}/\Lambda \to E(\Lambda), \quad \begin{cases} z \mapsto (\wp(z):\wp'(z):1), & z \neq 0 \\ 0 \mapsto (0:1:0) \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism of Riemann surfaces. Every elliptic curve $E$ is isomorphic to $E(\Lambda)$ for some $\Lambda$.

**Proof.** The first statement is obvious. There are direct proofs of the second statement, but we shall see in the next section that $z \mapsto \Delta(z\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z})$ is a modular function for $\Gamma(1)$ with weight 12 having no zeros in $\mathbb{H}$, and that $z \mapsto j(z\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z})$ is a modular function and defines a bijection $\Gamma(1) \setminus \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ (therefore every $j$ equals $j(\Lambda)$ for some lattice $\mathbb{Z}z + \mathbb{Z}, z \in \mathbb{H}$). □

The addition formula shows that the map in the proposition is a homomorphism.

**Proposition 3.13** There are natural equivalences between the following categories:

(a) Objects: Elliptic curves $E$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

   Morphisms: Regular maps $E \to E'$ that are homomorphisms.

(b) Objects: Riemann surfaces $E$ of genus 1 together with a point 0.

   Morphisms: Holomorphic maps $E \to E'$ sending 0 to 0'.

(c) Objects: Lattices $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$.

   Morphisms: $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \Lambda') = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha\Lambda \subset \Lambda'\}$.

**Proof.** The functor $c \to b$ is $\Lambda \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$. The functor $a \to b$ is $(E,0) \leftrightarrow (E(\mathbb{C}),0)$, regarded as a pointed Riemann surface. □
4 Modular Functions and Modular Forms

Modular functions

Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of finite index in $\Gamma(1)$. A modular function for $\Gamma$ is a meromorphic function on the compact Riemann surface $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$. We often regard it as a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{H}^*$ invariant under $\Gamma$. Thus, from this point of view, a modular function $f$ for $\Gamma$ is a function on $\mathbb{H}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(a) $f(z)$ is invariant under $\Gamma$, i.e., $f(\gamma z) = f(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$;

(b) $f(z)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{H}$;

(c) $f(z)$ is meromorphic at the cusps.

For the cusp $i \infty$, the last condition means the following: the subgroup of $\Gamma(1)$ fixing $i \infty$ is generated by $T = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$—it is free abelian of rank 1; the subgroup of $\Gamma$ fixing $i \infty$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\langle T \rangle$, and it therefore is generated by $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$, ($h$ is called the width of the cusp); as $f(z)$ is invariant under $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, $f(z + h) = f(z)$, and so $f(z)$ can be expressed as a function $f^*(q)$ of the variable $q = \exp(2\pi i z/h)$; this function $f^*(q)$ is defined on a punctured disk, $0 < |q| < \epsilon$, and for $f$ to be meromorphic at $i \infty$ means $f^*$ is meromorphic at $q = 0$.

For a cusp $\tau \neq i \infty$, the condition means the following: we know there is an element $\sigma \in \Gamma(1)$ such that $\tau = \sigma(i \infty)$; the function $z \mapsto f(\sigma z)$ is invariant under $\sigma \Gamma \sigma^{-1}$, and $f(\sigma z)$ is required to be meromorphic at $i \infty$ in the above sense.

Of course (c) has to be checked only for a finite set of representatives of the $\Gamma$-equivalence classes of cusps.

Recall that a function $f(z)$ that is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of a point $a \in \mathbb{C}$ (except possibly at $a$) is holomorphic at $a$ if and only if $f(z)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $a$. It follows that $f(z)$ has a pole at $a$, and therefore defines a meromorphic function in a neighbourhood of $a$, if and only if $(z-a)^n f(z)$ is bounded near $a$ for some $n$, i.e., if $f(z) = O((z-a)^{-n})$ near $a$. When we apply this remark to a modular function, we see that $f(z)$ is meromorphic at $i \infty$ if and only if $f^*(q) = O(q^{-n})$ for some $n$ as $q \to 0$, i.e., if and only if, for some $A > 0$, $e^{A|z|} f(z)$ is bounded as $z \to i \infty$.

**Example 4.1** As $\Gamma(1)$ is generated by $S$ and $T$, to check condition (a) it suffices to verify that $f(-1/z) = f(z), \quad f(z + 1) = f(z)$.

The second equation implies that $f = f^*(q), \quad q = \exp(2\pi i z)$, and condition (c) says that $f^*(q) = \sum_{n \geq -N_0} a_i q^i$.

**Example 4.2** The group $\Gamma(2)$ is of index 6 in $\Gamma(1)$. It is possible to find a set of generators for $\Gamma(2)$ just as we found a set of generators for $\Gamma(1)$, and again it suffices to check condition (a) for the generators. There are three inequivalent cusps, namely, $i \infty$, $S(i \infty) = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = 0$, and $TS(i \infty) = 1$. Note that $S(0) = i \infty$. The stabilizer of $i \infty$ in $\Gamma(2)$ is generated by $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, and so $f(z) = f^*(q), \quad q = \exp(2\pi i z/2)$, and for $f(z)$ to be meromorphic at $i \infty$ means $f^*$ is meromorphic at 0. For $f(z)$ to be meromorphic at 0 means that $f(Sz) = f(-1/z)$ is meromorphic at $i \infty$, and for $f(z)$ to be meromorphic at 1 means that $f(1 - \frac{i}{2})$ is meromorphic at $i \infty$. 
Proposition 4.3 There exists a unique modular function $J$ for $\Gamma(1)$ which is holomorphic except at $i\infty$, where it has a simple pole, and which takes the values

$$J(i) = 1, J(\rho) = 0.$$ 

Proof. From Proposition 2.21 we know there is an isomorphism of Riemann surfaces $f : \Gamma(1) \setminus \mathbb{H}^* \to S$ (Riemann sphere). Write $a, b, c$ for the images of $\rho, i, \infty$. Then there exists a (unique) linear fractional transformation$^{14}$ $S \to S$ sending $a, b, c$ to $0, 1, \infty$, and on composing $f$ with it we obtain a function $J$ satisfying the correct conditions.

If $g$ is a second function satisfying the same conditions, then $g \circ f^{-1}$ is an automorphism of the Riemann sphere, and so it is a linear fractional transformation. Since it fixes $0, 1, \infty$ it must be the identity map.

Remark 4.4 Let $j(z) = 1728g_2^3/\Delta$, as in Section 3. Then $j(z)$ is invariant under $\Gamma(1)$ because $g_2^3$ and $\Delta$ are both modular forms of weight $12$ (we give all the details for this example later). It is holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$ because both of $g_2^3$ and $\Delta$ are holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$, and $\Delta$ has no zeros on $\mathbb{H}$. Because $\Delta$ has a simple zero at $\infty$, $j$ has a simple pole at $\infty$. Therefore $j(z)$ has valence one, and it defines an isomorphism from $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$ onto $S$ (the Riemann sphere). In fact, $f(z) = 1728j(z)$.

Modular forms

Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of finite index in $\Gamma(1)$.

Definition 4.5 A modular form for $\Gamma$ of weight $2k$ is a function on $\mathbb{H}$ such that:

(a) $f(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^{2k} \cdot f(z)$, all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ and all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$;

(b) $f(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{H}$;

(c) $f(z)$ is holomorphic at the cusps of $\Gamma$.

A modular form is a cusp form if it is zero at the cusps.

For example, for the cusp $i\infty$, this last condition means the following: let $h$ be the width of $i\infty$ as a cusp for $\Gamma$; then (a) implies that $f(z + h) = f(z)$, and so $f(z) = f^*(q)$ for some function $f^*$ on a punctured disk; $f^*$ is required to be holomorphic at $q = 0$.

Occasionally we shall refer to a function satisfying only 4.5(a) as being weakly modular of weight $2k$, and a function satisfying 4.5(a,b,c) with “holomorphic” replaced by “meromorphic” as being a meromorphic modular form of weight $2k$. Thus a meromorphic modular form of weight $0$ is a modular function.

As our first examples of modular forms, we have the Eisenstein series. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of lattices in $\mathbb{C}$, and write $\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ for the lattice $\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ generated by independent elements $\omega_1, \omega_2$ with $N(\omega_1/\omega_2) > 0$. Recall that $\Lambda(\omega_1', \omega_2') = \Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1' \\ \omega_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ some } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma(1).$$

Lemma 4.6 Let $F : \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function of weight $2k$, i.e., such that $F(\lambda \Lambda) = \lambda^{-2k} \cdot F(\Lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then $f(z) : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a weakly modular form on $\mathbb{H}$ of weight $2k$ and $F \mapsto f$ is a bijection from the functions of weight $2k$ on $\mathcal{L}$ to the weakly modular forms of weight $2k$ on $\mathbb{H}$.

$^{14}$Recall (p. 30) that the linear fractional transformations of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ are the maps $z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $ad - bc \neq 0$. For any distinct triples $(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ and $(z_1', z_2', z_3')$ of distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, there is a unique linear fractional transformation sending $z_1$ to $z_1'$, $z_2$ to $z_2'$, and $z_3$ to $z_3'$ (see the Wikipedia).
PROOF. Write \( F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \) for the value of \( F \) at the lattice \( \Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2) \). Then because \( F \) is of weight \( 2k \), we have
\[
F(\lambda \omega_1, \lambda \omega_2) = \lambda^{-2k} \cdot F(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times,
\]
and, because \( F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \) depends only on \( \Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2) \), it is invariant under the action of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \):
\[
F(a \omega_1 + b \omega_2, c \omega_1 + d \omega_2) = F(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad \text{all } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}). \tag{3}
\]
The first equation shows that \( \omega_2^{2k} \cdot F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \) is invariant under \( (\omega_1, \omega_2) \mapsto (\lambda \omega_1, \lambda \omega_2), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times \), and so depends only on the ratio \( \omega_1/\omega_2 \); thus there is a function \( f(z) \) such that
\[
F(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_2^{-2k} \cdot f(\omega_1/\omega_2). \tag{4}
\]
When expressed in terms of \( f \), (3) becomes
\[
\left((c \omega_1 + d \omega_2)^{-2k} \cdot f(a \omega_1 + b \omega_2/c \omega_1 + d \omega_2) = \omega_2^{-2k} \cdot f(\omega_1/\omega_2),
\]
or
\[
(cz + d)^{-2k} \cdot f(az + b/cz + d) = f(z).
\]
This shows that \( f \) is weakly modular. Conversely, given a weakly modular \( f \), define \( F \) by the formula (4).
\[\square\]

PROPOSITION 4.7. The Eisenstein series \( G_k(z), k > 1 \), is a modular form of weight \( 2k \) for \( \Gamma(1) \) which takes the value \( 2\zeta(2k) \) at infinity.

PROOF. Recall that we defined \( G_k(\Lambda) = \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda, \omega \neq 0} 1/\omega^{2k} \). Clearly, \( G_k(\lambda \Lambda) = \lambda^{-2k} G_k(\Lambda) \), and therefore
\[
G_k(z) \overset{\text{def}}{=} G_k(\Lambda(z, 1)) = \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} 1/(mz + n)^{2k}
\]
is weakly modular. That it is holomorphic on \( \mathbb{H} \) and takes the value \( 2\zeta(2k) \) at \( i \infty \) is proved in Proposition 3.10.
\[\square\]

Modular forms as \( k \)-fold differentials

The definition of modular form may seem strange, but we have seen that such functions arise naturally in the theory of elliptic functions. Here we give another explanation of the definition. For the experts, we shall show later that the modular forms of a fixed weight \( 2k \) are the sections of a line bundle on \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \).

REMARK 4.8 Consider a differential \( \omega = f(z) \cdot dz \) on \( \mathbb{H} \), where \( f(z) \) is a meromorphic function. Under what conditions on \( f \) is \( \omega \) invariant under the action of \( \Gamma' \)? Let \( \gamma(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \); then
\[
\gamma^* \omega = f(\gamma z) \cdot d \frac{az + b}{cz + d} = f(\gamma z) \cdot \frac{(a(cz + d) - c(az + b))}{(cz + d)^2} \cdot dz = f(\gamma z) \cdot (cz + d)^{-2} \cdot dz.
\]
Thus $\omega$ is invariant if and only if $f(z)$ is a meromorphic modular form of weight 2. We have one-to-one correspondences between the following sets:

- meromorphic modular forms of weight 2 on $\mathbb{H}$ for $\Gamma$
- meromorphic differential forms on $\mathbb{H}^*$ invariant under the action of $\Gamma$
- meromorphic differential forms on $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$.

There is a notion of a $k$-fold differential form on a Riemann surface. Locally it can be written $\omega = f(z) \cdot (dz)^k$, and if $w = w(z)$, then

$$w^* \omega = f(w(z)) \cdot (dw(z))^k = f(w(z)) \cdot w'(z)^k \cdot (dz)^k.$$  

Then modular forms of weight $2k$ correspond to $\Gamma$-invariant $k$-fold differential forms on $\mathbb{H}^*$, and hence to meromorphic $k$-fold differential forms on $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$. Warning: these statements don’t (quite) hold with meromorphic replaced with holomorphic (see Lemma 4.11 below).

We say that $\omega = f(z) \cdot (dz)^k$ has a zero or pole of order $m$ at $z = 0$ according as $f(z)$ has a zero or pole of order $m$ at $z = 0$. This definition is independent of the choice of the local coordinate near the point in question on the Riemann surface.

The dimension of the space of modular forms

For a subgroup $\Gamma$ of finite index in $\Gamma(1)$, we write $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ for the space of modular forms of weight $2k$ for $\Gamma$, and $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma)$ for the subspace of cusp forms of weight $2k$. They are vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$, and we shall use the Riemann-Roch theorem to compute their dimensions.

Note that $\mathcal{M}_0(\Gamma)$ consists of modular functions that are holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$ and at the cusps, and therefore define holomorphic functions on $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$. Because $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$ is compact, such a function is constant, and so $\mathcal{M}_0(\Gamma) = \mathbb{C}$. The product of a modular form of weight $k$ with a modular form of weight $\ell$ is a modular form of weight $k + \ell$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$$

is a graded ring. The next theorem gives us the dimensions of the homogeneous pieces.

**Theorem 4.9** The dimension of $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ is given by:

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \leq -1 \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ (2k - 1)(g - 1) + \nu_{\infty} k + \sum_P [k(1 - \frac{1}{e_P})] & \text{if } k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

where $g$ is the genus of $X(\Gamma)$ ($\overset{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$);

$\nu_{\infty}$ is the number of inequivalent cusps;

the last sum is over a set of representatives for the the elliptic points $P$ of $\Gamma$;

$e_P$ is the order of the stabilizer of $P$ in the image $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$ of $\Gamma$ in $\Gamma(1)/\{\pm I\}$;

$[k(1 - 1/e_P)]$ is the integer part of $k(1 - 1/e_P)$.

We prove the result by applying the Riemann-Roch theorem to the compact Riemann surface $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$, but first we need to examine the relation between the zeros and poles of a $\Gamma$-invariant $k$-fold differential form on $\mathbb{H}^*$ and the zeros and poles of the corresponding modular form on $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$. It will be helpful to consider first a simple example.
Example 4.10 Let $D$ be the unit disk, and consider the map $w: D \to D$, $z \mapsto z^e$. Let $Q \mapsto P$. If $Q \neq 0$, then the map is a local isomorphism, and so there is no difficulty. Thus we suppose that $P$ and $Q$ are both zero.

First suppose that $f$ is a function on $D$ (the target disk), and let $f^* = f \circ w$. If $f$ has a zero of order $m$ (regarded as function of $w$), then $f^*$ has a zero of order $em$, for if $f(w) = aw^m + \text{terms of higher degree}$, then $f(z^e) = az^{em} + \text{terms of higher degree}$. Thus

$$\text{ord}_Q(f^*) = e \cdot \text{ord}_P(f).$$

Now consider a $k$-fold differential form $\omega$ on $D$, and let $\omega^* = w^*(\omega)$. Then $\omega = f(z) \cdot (dz)^k$ for some $f(z)$, and

$$\omega^* = f(z^e) \cdot (d(z^e))^k = f(z^e) \cdot (ez^{e-1} \cdot dz)^k = e^k \cdot f(z^e) \cdot z^{k(e-1)} \cdot (dz)^k.$$

Thus

$$\text{ord}_Q(\omega^*) = e \cdot \text{ord}_P(\omega) + k(e-1).$$

Lemma 4.11 Let $f$ be a (meromorphic) modular form of weight $2k$, and let $\omega$ be the corresponding $k$-fold differential form on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$. Let $Q \in \mathbb{H}^*$ map to $P \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$.

(a) If $Q$ is an elliptic point with multiplicity $e$, then

$$\text{ord}_Q(f) = e \cdot \text{ord}_P(\omega) + k(e-1).$$

(b) If $Q$ is a cusp, then

$$\text{ord}_Q(f) = \text{ord}_P(\omega) + k.$$

(c) For the remaining points,

$$\text{ord}_Q(f) = \text{ord}_P(\omega).$$

Proof. Let $p$ be the quotient map $\mathbb{H} \to \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$.

(a) We defined the complex structure near $P$ so that, for appropriate neighbourhoods $V$ of $Q$ and $U$ of $P$, there is a commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V & \xrightarrow{Q} & D \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U & \xrightarrow{P} & D.
\end{array}
$$

Thus this case is isomorphic to that considered in the example.

(b) Consider the map $q: \mathbb{H} \to$ (punctured disk), $q(z) = \exp(2\pi iz/h)$, and let $\omega^* = g(q) \cdot (dq)^k$ be a $k$-fold differential form on the punctured disk. Then $dq = (2\pi i/h) \cdot q \cdot dz$, and so the inverse image of $\omega^*$ on $\mathbb{H}$ is

$$\omega = (\text{cnst}) \cdot g(q(z)) \cdot (q(z))^k \cdot (dz)^k,$$

and so $\omega^*$ corresponds to the modular form $f(z) = (\text{cnst}) \cdot g(q(z)) \cdot (q(z))^k$. Thus $f^*(q) = g(q) \cdot q^k$, which gives our formula.

(c) In this case, $p$ is a local isomorphism near $Q$ and $P$, and so there is nothing to prove.
We now prove the theorem. Let \( f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma) \), and let \( \omega \) be the corresponding \( k \)-fold differential on \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \). Because \( f \) is holomorphic, we must have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ord}_P(\omega) + k(e - 1) &= \text{ord}_Q(f) \geq 0 \text{ at the image of an elliptic point;} \\
\text{ord}_P(\omega) + k &= \text{ord}_Q(f) \geq 0 \text{ at the image of a cusp;} \\
\text{ord}_P(\omega) &= \text{ord}_Q(f) \geq 0 \text{ at the remaining points.}
\end{align*}
\]

Fix a \( k \)-fold differential \( \omega_0 \), and write \( \omega = h \cdot \omega_0 \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ord}_P(h) + \text{ord}_P(\omega_0) + k(1 - 1/e) &\geq 0 \text{ at the image of an elliptic point;} \\
\text{ord}_P(h) + \text{ord}_P(\omega_0) + k &\geq 0 \text{ at the image of a cusp;} \\
\text{ord}_P(h) + \text{ord}_P(\omega_0) &\geq 0 \text{ at the remaining points.}
\end{align*}
\]

On combining these inequalities, we find that

\[
\text{div}(h) + D \geq 0,
\]

where

\[
D = \text{div}(\omega_0) + \sum k \cdot P_i + \sum [k(1 - 1/e_i)] \cdot P_i
\]

(the first sum is over the images of the cusps, and the second sum is over the images of the elliptic points). As we noted in Corollary 1.21, the degree of the divisor of a 1-fold differential form is \( 2g - 2 \); hence that of a \( k \)-fold differential form is \( k(2g - 2) \). Thus the degree of \( D \) is

\[
k(2g - 2) + v_\infty \cdot k + \sum P [k(1 - 1/e_P)].
\]

Now the Riemann-Roch Theorem (1.22) tells us that the space of such \( h \) has dimension

\[
1 - g + k(2g - 2) + v_\infty \cdot k + \sum P [k(1 - 1/e_P)]
\]

for \( k \geq 1 \). As the functions \( h \) are in one-to-one correspondence with the holomorphic modular forms of weight \( 2k \), this proves the theorem in this case. For \( k = 0 \), we have already noted that modular forms are constant, and for \( k < 0 \) it is easy to see that there can be no modular forms.

**Zeros of modular forms**

Lemma 4.11 allows us to count the number of zero and poles of a meromorphic differential form.

**Proposition 4.12** Let \( f \) be a (meromorphic) modular form of weight \( 2k \); then

\[
\sum (\text{ord}_Q(f)/e_Q - k(1 - 1/e_Q)) = k(2g - 2) + k \cdot v_\infty
\]

where the sum is over a set of representatives for the points in \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \), \( v_\infty \) is the number of inequivalent cusps, and \( e_Q \) is the ramification index of \( Q \) over \( p(Q) \) if \( Q \in \mathbb{H} \) and it is 1 if \( Q \) is a cusp.

**Proof.** Let \( \omega \) be the associated \( k \)-fold differential form on \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \). We showed above that: \( \text{ord}_Q(f)/e_Q = \text{ord}_P(\omega) + k(1 - 1/e_Q) \) for \( Q \) an elliptic point for \( \Gamma \); \( \text{ord}_Q(f) = \text{ord}_P(\omega) + k \) for \( Q \) a cusp; \( \text{ord}_Q(f) = \text{ord}_P(\omega) \) at the remaining points. On summing these equations, we find that

\[
\sum \text{ord}_Q(f)/e_Q - k(1 - 1/e_Q) = \text{deg(div}(\omega)) + k \cdot v_\infty,
\]

and we noted above that \( \text{deg(div}(\omega)) = k(2g - 2) \).
EXAMPLE 4.13 When $\Gamma = \Gamma(1)$, this becomes
\[ \text{ord}_i(f) + \frac{1}{2} \text{ord}_i(f) + \frac{1}{3} \text{ord}_\rho(f) + \sum \text{ord}_Q(f) = -2k + \frac{1}{2} k + \frac{2}{3} k = \frac{k}{6}. \]
Here $i \infty, i, \rho$ are points in $\mathbb{H}^*$, and the sum $\sum$ is over the remaining points in a fundamental domain.

**Modular forms for $\Gamma(1)$**

We now describe all the modular forms for $\Gamma(1)$.

EXAMPLE 4.14 On applying Theorem 4.9 to the full modular group $\Gamma(1)$, we obtain the following result: $\mathcal{M}_k = 0$ for $k < 0$, $\dim \mathcal{M}_0 = 1$, and
\[ \dim \mathcal{M}_k = 1 - k + [k/2] + [2k/3], \quad k > 1. \]
Thus
\[ k = 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad \ldots; \]
\[ \dim \mathcal{M}_k = 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad \ldots. \]
In fact, when $k$ is increased by 6, the dimension increases by 1. Thus we have
(a) $\mathcal{M}_k = 0$ for $k < 0$;
(b) $\dim(\mathcal{M}_k) = [k/6]$ if $k \equiv 1 \mod 6; [k/6] + 1$ otherwise; $k \geq 0$.

EXAMPLE 4.15 On applying the formula in 4.13 to the Eisenstein series $G_k$, $k > 1$, we obtain the following result:
- $k = 2$: $G_2$ has a simple zero at $z = \rho$, and no other zeros.
- $k = 3$: $G_3$ has a simple zero at $z = i$, and no other zeros.
- $k = 6$: because $\Delta$ has no zeros in $\mathbb{H}$, it has a simple zero at $\infty$.

There is a geometric explanation for these statements. Let $\Lambda = \Lambda(i, 1)$. Then $i \Lambda \subset \Lambda$, and so multiplication by $i$ defines an endomorphism of the torus $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$. Therefore the elliptic curve
\[ Y^2 = 4X^3 - g_2(\Lambda)X - g_3(\Lambda) \]
has complex multiplication by $i$; clearly the curve
\[ Y^2 = X^3 + X \]
has complex multiplication by $i$ (and up to isogeny, it is the only such curve); this suggests that $g_3(\Lambda) = 0$. Similarly, $G_2(\Lambda) = 0$ “because” $Y^2 = X^3 + 1$ has complex multiplication by $\sqrt[3]{1}$. Finally, if $\Delta$ had no zero at $\infty$, the family of elliptic curves
\[ Y^2 = 4X^3 - g_2(\Lambda)X - g_3(\Lambda) \]
over $\Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ would extend to a smooth family over $\Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$, and this is not possible for topological reasons (its cohomology groups would give a nonconstant local system on $\Gamma(1) \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$, but the Riemann sphere is simply connected, and so admits no such system).

PROPOSITION 4.16 (a) For $k < 0$, and $k = 1$, $\mathcal{M}_k = 0$.
(b) For $k = 0, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $\mathcal{M}_k$ is a space of dimension 1, admitting as basis 1, $G_2, G_3, G_4, G_5$ respectively; moreover $S_k(\Gamma) = 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq 5$.
(c) Multiplication by $\Delta$ defines an isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}_{k-6}$ onto $S_k$. 
(d) The graded $k$-algebra $\bigoplus \mathcal{M}_k = \mathbb{C}[G_2, G_3]$ with $G_2$ and $G_3$ of weights 2 and 3 respectively.

**Proof.** (a) See 4.14.

(b) Since the spaces are one-dimensional, and no $G_k$ is identically zero, this is obvious.

(c) Certainly $f \mapsto f \Delta$ is a homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_k \rightarrow S_k$. But if $f \in S_k$, then $f / \Delta \in \mathcal{M}_{k-6}$ because $\Delta$ has only a simple zero at $i \infty$ and $f$ has a zero there. Now $f \mapsto f / \Delta$ is inverse to $f \mapsto f \Delta$.

(d) We have to show that $\{G_2^m \cdot G_3^n \mid 2m + 3n = k, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{M}_k$.

We first show, by induction on $k$, that this set generates $\mathcal{M}_k$. For $k \leq 3$, we have already noted it. Choose a pair $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$ such that $2m + 3n = k$ (this is always possible for $k \geq 2$).

Thus $\mathbb{C}[G_2, G_3] \rightarrow \bigoplus \mathcal{M}_k$ is surjective, and we want to show that it is injective. If not, the modular function $G_2^m / G_3^n$ satisfies an algebraic equation over $\mathbb{C}$, and so is constant. But $G_2(\rho) = 0 \neq G_3(\rho)$ whereas $G_2(i) \neq 0 = G_3(i)$.

**Remark 4.17** We have verified all the assertions in (4.3).

**The Fourier coefficients of the Eisenstein series for $\Gamma(1)$**

For future use, we compute the coefficients in the expansion $G_k(z) = \sum a_n q^n$.

**The Bernoulli numbers $B_k$**

They are defined by the formal power series expansion:

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = 1 - \frac{x}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} B_k \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k)!}.$$ 

Thus $B_1 = 1/6; B_2 = 1/30; \ldots; B_{14} = 23749461029/870; \ldots$ Note that they are all rational numbers.

**Proposition 4.18** For all integers $k \geq 1$,

$$\zeta(2k) = \frac{2^{2k-1}}{(2k)!} B_k \pi^{2k}.$$ 

**Proof.** Recall that (by definition)

$$\cos(z) = \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2}, \quad \sin(z) = \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i}.$$ 

Therefore,

$$\cot(z) = \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}} = i \frac{e^{2iz} + 1}{e^{2iz} - 1} = i + \frac{2i}{e^{2iz} - 1}.$$ 

On replacing $x$ with $2iz$ in the definition of the Bernoulli numbers, we find that

$$z \cot(z) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \frac{2^{2k} z^{2k}}{(2k)!}.$$ 

(5)
There is a standard formula

$$\sin(z) = z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2 \pi^2}\right)$$

(see Cartan 1963, V 3.3). On forming the logarithmic derivative of this (i.e., forming \(d \log(f) = f'/f\)) and multiplying by \(z\), we find that

$$z \cot z = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2z^2/n^2 \pi^2}{1 - z^2/n^2 \pi^2}$$

$$= 1 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(z^2/n^2 \pi^2)}{1-(z^2/n^2 \pi^2)}$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k}}{n^{2k} \pi^{2k}}$$

$$= 1 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2k} \right) \frac{z^{2k}}{\pi^{2k}}.$$ 

On comparing this formula with (5), we obtain the result. \(\square\)

For example, \(\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{2^2 \pi^2} \cdot \zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{2^2 \pi^2}, \zeta(6) = \frac{\pi^6}{3^2 \pi^2}, \ldots\)

**Remark 4.19** Until 1978, when Apéry showed that \(\zeta(3)\) is irrational, almost nothing was known about the values of \(\zeta\) at the odd positive integers.

**The Fourier coefficients of \(G_k\)**

For any integer \(n\) and number \(k\), we write

$$\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} d^k.$$ 

**Proposition 4.20** For every integer \(k \geq 2\),

$$G_k(z) = 2\zeta(2k) + \frac{(2\pi i)^{2k}}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) q^n.$$ 

**Proof.** In the above proof, we showed above that

$$z \cot(z) = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^2}{z^2 - n^2 \pi^2},$$

and so (replace \(z\) with \(\pi z\) and divide by \(z\))

$$\pi \cot(\pi z) = \frac{1}{z} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z}{z^2 - n^2} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{z+n} + \frac{1}{z-n} \right).$$

Moreover, we showed that

$$\cot(z) = i + \frac{2i}{e^{2iz} - 1}.$$
and so
\[
\pi \cot(\pi z) = \pi i - \frac{2\pi i}{1-q}
\]
\[
= \pi i - 2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^n
\]
where \( q = e^{2\pi iz} \). Therefore,
\[
\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{z+n} + \frac{1}{z-n} \right) = \pi i - 2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^n.
\]
The \((k-1)\)th derivative of this \((k \geq 2)\) is
\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(n+z)^k} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!}(-2\pi i)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} q^n.
\]
Now
\[
G_k(z) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{(n,m) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{(nz+m)^{2k}}
\]
\[
= 2\zeta(2k) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(nz+m)^{2k}}
\]
\[
= 2\zeta(2k) + \frac{2(-2\pi i)^{2k}}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) \cdot q^n
\]
\[
= 2\zeta(2k) + \frac{2(2\pi i)^{2k}}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) \cdot q^n.
\]

The expansion of \( \Delta \) and \( j \)

Recall that
\[
\Delta \overset{\text{def}}{=} g_2^3 - 27g_3^2.
\]
From the above expansions of \( G_2 \) and \( G_3 \), one finds that
\[
\Delta = (2\pi)^{12} \cdot (q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + \cdots)
\]

THEOREM 4.21 (JACOBI) \( \Delta = (2\pi)^{12} q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{24} \cdot q = e^{2\pi iz} \).

PROOF. Let \( f(q) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{24} \). The space of cusp forms of weight 12 has dimension 1. Therefore, if we show that \( f(-1/z) = z^{12} f(z) \), then \( f \) will be a multiple of \( \Delta \). It is possible to prove by an elementary argument (due to Hurwitz), that \( f(-1/z) \) and \( z^{12} f(z) \) have the same logarithmic derivative; therefore
\[
f(-1/z) = C z^{12} \cdot f(z),
\]
some \( C \). Put \( z = i \) to see \( C = 1 \). See Serre 1970, VII.4.4, for the details.
Write \( q \prod (1 - q^n)^{24} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) \cdot q^n \). The function \( n \mapsto \tau(n) \) was studied by Ramanujan, and is called the **Ramanujan \( \tau \)-function**. We have

\[
\tau(1) = 1, \quad \tau(2) = -24, \quad \tau(3) = 240, \quad \tau(4) = -480, \quad \tau(5) = 1560, \quad \tau(6) = -4080, \quad \tau(7) = -6720, \quad \tau(8) = 25200.
\]

Evidently each \( \tau(n) \in \mathbb{Z} \). Ramanujan made a number of interesting conjectures about \( \tau(n) \), some of which, as we shall see, have been proved.

Recall that \( j(z) = \frac{1728g_z^3}{\Delta}, \Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2, g_2 = 60G_2, g_3 = 140G_3 \).

**Theorem 4.22** The function

\[
j(z) = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + c(3)q^3 + c(4)q^4 + \cdots, \quad q = e^{2\pi i z},
\]

where \( c(n) \in \mathbb{Z} \) for all \( n \).

**Proof.** Immediate consequence of the definition and the above calculations.

---

**The size of the coefficients of a cusp form**

Let \( f(z) = \sum a_nq^n \) be a cusp form of weight \( 2k \geq 2 \) for some congruence subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). For various reasons, for example, in order to obtain estimates of the number of times an integer can be represented by a quadratic form, one is interested in \( |a_n| \).

Hecke showed that \( a_n = O(n^k) \)—the proof is quite easy (see Serre 1970, VII.4.3, for the case of \( \Gamma(1) \)). Various authors improved on this—for example, Selberg showed in 1965 that \( a_n = O(n^{k-1/2 + \epsilon}) \) for all \( \epsilon > 0 \). It was conjectured that \( a_n = O(n^{k-1/2} \cdot \sigma_0(n)) \) (for the \( \tau \)-function, this goes back to Ramanujan). The usual story with such conjectures is that they prompt an infinite sequence of papers proving results converging to the conjecture, but (happily) in this case Deligne proved in 1969 that the conjecture follows from the Weil conjectures for varieties over finite fields, and he proved the Weil conjectures in 1973. See 11.16 below.

---

**Modular forms as sections of line bundles**

Let \( X \) be a topological manifold. A line bundle on \( X \) is a map of topological spaces \( \pi: L \to X \) such that, for some open covering \( X = \bigcup U_i \) of \( X \), \( \pi^{-1}(U_i) \approx U_i \times \mathbb{C} \). Similarly, a line bundle on a Riemann surface is a map of complex manifolds \( \pi: L \to X \) such that locally \( L \) is isomorphic to \( U \times \mathbb{C} \), and a line bundle on an algebraic variety is a map of algebraic varieties \( \pi: L \to X \) such that locally for the Zariski topology on \( X \), \( L \approx U \times \mathbb{A}^1 \).

If \( L \) is a line bundle on \( X \) (say a Riemann surface), then for any open subset \( U \) of \( X \), \( \Gamma(U, L) \) denotes the group of sections of \( L \) over \( U \), i.e., the set of holomorphic maps \( f: U \to L \) such that \( \pi \circ f = \text{identity map} \). Note that if \( L = U \times \mathbb{C} \), then \( \Gamma(U, L) \) can be identified with the set of holomorphic functions on \( U \). (The \( \Gamma \) in \( \Gamma(U, L) \) should not be confused with a congruence group \( \Gamma \).

Now consider the following situation: \( \Gamma \) is a group acting freely and properly discontinuously on a Riemann surface \( H \), and \( X = \Gamma \backslash H \). Write \( p \) for the quotient map \( H \to X \). Let \( \pi: L \to X \) be a line bundle on \( X \); then

\[
p^*(L) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ (h, l) \subset H \times L \mid p(h) = \pi(l) \}
\]

is a line bundle on \( H \) (for example, \( p^*(X \times L) = H \times L \)), and \( \Gamma \) acts on \( p^*(L) \) through its action on \( H \). Suppose we are given an isomorphism \( i: H \times \mathbb{C} \to p^*(L) \). Then we can
transfer the action of $\Gamma$ on $p^*(L)$ to an action of $\Gamma$ on $H \times \mathbb{C}$ over $H$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $(\tau, z) \in H \times \mathbb{C}$, write

$$\gamma(\tau, z) = (\gamma \tau, j_\gamma(\tau)z), \quad j_\gamma(\tau) \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$ 

Then

$$\gamma\gamma'(\tau, z) = \gamma(\gamma'\tau, j_{\gamma'}(\tau)z) = (\gamma\gamma'\tau, j_\gamma(\gamma'\tau) \cdot j_{\gamma'}(\tau)z).$$

Hence:

$$j_{\gamma\gamma'}(\tau) = j_\gamma(\gamma'\tau) \cdot j_{\gamma'}(\tau).$$

**Definition 4.23** An automorphy factor is a map $j: \Gamma \times H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that

(a) for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\tau \mapsto j_\gamma(\tau)$ is a holomorphic function on $H$;

(b) $j_{\gamma\gamma'}(\tau) = j_\gamma(\gamma'\tau) \cdot j_{\gamma'}(\tau)$.

Condition (b) should be thought of as a cocycle condition (in fact, that’s what it is). Note that if $j$ is an automorphy factor, so also is $j^k$ for every integer $k$.

**Example 4.24** For every open subset $H$ of $\mathbb{C}$ with a group $\Gamma$ acting on it, there is canonical automorphy factor $j_\gamma(\tau)$, namely,

$$\Gamma \times H \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (\gamma, \tau) \mapsto (d\gamma)_\tau.$$ 

By $(d\gamma)_\tau$ I mean the following: each $\gamma$ defines a map $H \to H$, and $(d\gamma)_\tau$ is the map on the tangent space at $\tau$ defined by $\gamma$. As $H \subset \mathbb{C}$, the tangent spaces at $\tau$ and at $\gamma \tau$ are canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, and so $(d\gamma)_\tau$ can be regarded as a complex number.

Suppose we have maps

$$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} N \xrightarrow{\beta} P$$

of (complex) manifolds, then for any point $m \in M$, $(d(\beta \circ \alpha))_m = (d\beta)_{\alpha(m)} \circ (d\alpha)_m$ (maps on tangent spaces). Therefore,

$$j_{\gamma\gamma'}(\tau) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (d\gamma\gamma')_\tau = (d\gamma)_{\gamma'\tau} \cdot (d\gamma')_\tau = j_\gamma(\gamma'\tau) \cdot j_{\gamma'}(\tau).$$

Thus $j_{\gamma}(\tau) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (d\gamma)_\tau$ is an automorphy factor.

For example, consider $\Gamma(1)$ acting on $\mathbb{H}$. If $\gamma = (z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d})$, then

$$dy = \frac{1}{(cz+d)^2}dz,$$

and so $j_\gamma(\tau) = (cz+d)^{-2}$, and $j_\gamma(\tau)^k = (cz+d)^{-2k}$.

**Proposition 4.25** There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of pairs $(L, i)$ where $L$ is a line bundle on $\Gamma \backslash H$ and $i$ is an isomorphism $H \times \mathbb{C} \approx p^*(L)$ and the set of automorphy factors.

**Proof.** We have seen how to go $(L, i) \mapsto j_\gamma(\tau)$. For the converse, use $i$ and $j$ to define an action of $\Gamma$ on $H \times \mathbb{C}$, and define $L$ to be $\Gamma \backslash H \times \mathbb{C}$. \qed

**Remark 4.26** Every line bundle on $\mathbb{H}$ is trivial (i.e., isomorphic to $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$), and so Proposition 4.25 gives us a classification of the line bundles on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$.
Let $L$ be a line bundle on $X$. Then

$$\Gamma(X, L) = \{ F \in \Gamma(H, p^*L) \mid F \text{ commutes with the actions of } \Gamma \}.$$ 

Suppose we are given an isomorphism $p^*L \cong H \times \mathbb{C}$. We use it to identify the two line bundles on $H$. Then $\Gamma$ acts on $H \times \mathbb{C}$ by the rule:

$$\gamma(\tau, z) = (\gamma \tau, j_\gamma(\tau)z).$$

A holomorphic section $F: H \to H \times \mathbb{C}$ can be written $F(\tau) = (\tau, f(\tau))$ with $f(\tau)$ a holomorphic map $H \to \mathbb{C}$. What does it mean for $F$ to commute with the action of $\Gamma$? We must have

$$F(\gamma \tau) = \gamma F(\tau), \text{ i.e., } (\gamma \tau, j_\gamma(\tau)f(\tau)) = (\gamma \tau, j_\gamma(\tau)f(\tau)).$$

Hence

$$f(\gamma \tau) = j_{\gamma}(\tau) \cdot f(\tau).$$

Thus, if $L_k$ is the line bundle on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ corresponding to $j_\gamma(\tau)^{-k}$, where $j_\gamma(\tau)$ is the canonical automorphy factor (4.24), then the condition becomes

$$f(\gamma \tau) = (cz + d)^{2k} \cdot f(\tau),$$

i.e., condition 4.5(a). Therefore the sections of $L_k$ are in natural one-to-one correspondence with the functions on $\mathbb{H}$ satisfying 4.5(a),(b). The line bundle $L_k$ extends to a line bundle $L_k^*$ on the compactification $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$, and the sections of $L_k^*$ are in natural one-to-one correspondence with the modular forms of weight $2k$.

**Poincaré series**

We want to construct modular forms for subgroups $\Gamma$ of finite index in $\Gamma(1)$. Throughout, we write $\Gamma'\Gamma$ for the image of $\Gamma' \in \Gamma(1)/\{\pm I\}$.

Recall the standard way of constructing invariant functions: if $h$ is a function on $\mathbb{H}$, then

$$f(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} h(\gamma z)$$

is invariant under $\Gamma$, provided the series converges absolutely (which it rarely will). Poincaré found a similar argument for constructing modular forms.

Let

$$\Gamma \times \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}, (\gamma, z) \mapsto j_\gamma(z)$$

be an automorphy factor for $\Gamma$; thus

$$j_{\gamma \gamma'}(z) = j_\gamma(\gamma'z) \cdot j_{\gamma'}(z).$$

Of course, we shall be particularly interested in the case

$$j_\gamma(z) = (cz + d)^{2k}, \gamma = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right).$$

We wish to construct a function $f$ such that $f(\gamma z) = j_\gamma(z) \cdot f(z)$.

Try

$$f(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \frac{h(\gamma z)}{j_\gamma(z)}.$$
If this series converges absolutely uniformly on compact sets, then
\[
f(\gamma'z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \frac{h(\gamma'z)}{j_{\gamma}(\gamma'z)} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \frac{h(\gamma'z)}{j_{\gamma\gamma'}(z)} j_{\gamma'}(z) = j_{\gamma'}(z) \cdot f(z)
\]
as wished.

Unfortunately, there is little hope of convergence, for the following (main) reason: there may be infinitely many \(\gamma\) for which \(j_{\gamma}(z) = 1\) identically, and so the sum contains infinitely many redundant terms. Let
\[
\Gamma_0 = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma' \mid j_{\gamma}(z) = 1 \text{ identically} \}.
\]
For example, if \(j_{\gamma}(z) = (cz + d)^{-2k}\), then
\[
\Gamma_0 = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \mid c = 0, d = 1 \right\} = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
\]
where \(h\) is the smallest positive integer such that \(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma\) (thus \(h\) is the width of the cusp \(i\infty\) for \(\Gamma\)). In particular, \(\Gamma_0\) is an infinite cyclic group.

If \(\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_0\), then
\[
j_{\gamma\gamma'}(z) = j_{\gamma}(\gamma'z) \cdot j_{\gamma'}(z) = 1 \quad (\text{all } z),
\]
and so \(\Gamma_0\) is closed under multiplication—in fact, it is a subgroup of \(\Gamma'\).

Let \(h\) be a holomorphic function on \(\mathbb{H}\) invariant under \(\Gamma_0\), i.e., such that \(h(\gamma_0z) = h(z)\) for all \(\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0\). Let \(\gamma \in \Gamma'\) and \(\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0\); then
\[
\frac{h(\gamma_0yz)}{j_{\gamma_0\gamma}(z)} = \frac{h(\gamma z)}{j_{\gamma_0}(\gamma z)} \cdot j_{\gamma'}(z) = \frac{h(\gamma z)}{j_{\gamma'}(z)},
\]
i.e., \(h(\gamma z)/j_{\gamma}(z)\) is constant on the coset \(\Gamma_0\gamma\). Thus we can consider the series
\[
f(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma'} \frac{h(\gamma z)}{j_{\gamma}(z)}
\]
If the series converges absolutely uniformly on compact sets, then the previous argument shows that we obtain a holomorphic function \(f\) such that \(f(\gamma z) = j_{\gamma}(z) \cdot f(z)\).

Apply this with \(j_{\gamma}(z) = (cz + d)^{2k}\), \(\gamma = (a \ b \ c \ d)\), and \(\Gamma\) a subgroup of finite index in \(\Gamma'(1)\). As we noted above, \(\Gamma_0\) is generated by \(z \mapsto z + h\) for some \(h\), and a typical function invariant under \(z \mapsto z + h\) is \(\exp(2\pi i n z/h), n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\).

**Definition 4.27** The Poincaré series of weight \(2k\) and character \(n\) for \(\Gamma\) is the series
\[
\varphi_n(z) = \sum_{\Gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma'} \exp \left( \frac{2\pi i n \gamma(z)}{h} \right) \frac{1}{(cz + d)^{2k}}
\]
where \(\Gamma'\) is the image of \(\Gamma\) in \(\Gamma(1)/\{\pm I\}\).
We need a set of representatives for $\Gamma_0 \backslash \Gamma'$. Note that
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + mc & b + md \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Using this, it is easily checked that \( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \) and \( \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \) are in the same coset of $\Gamma_0$ if and only if \((c, d) = \pm (c', d')\) and \((a, b) \equiv \pm (a', b') \pmod{h}\). Thus a set of representatives for $\Gamma_0 \backslash \Gamma'$ can be obtained by taking one element of $\Gamma_0$ for each pair \((c, d)\), \(c > 0\), which is the second row of a matrix in $\Gamma'$.

**Theorem 4.28** The Poincaré series $\varphi_n(z)$ for $2k \geq 2$, $n \geq 0$, converges absolutely uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{H}$; it converges absolutely uniformly on every fundamental domain $D$ for $\Gamma$, and hence is a modular form of weight $2k$ for $\Gamma$. Moreover,

(a) $\varphi_0(z)$ is zero at all finite cusps, and $\varphi_0(i \infty) = 1$;

(b) for all $n \geq 1$, $\varphi_n(z)$ is a cusp form.

**Proof.** To see convergence, compare the Poincaré series with
\[
\sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}, (m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{|mz + n|^{2k}}
\]
which converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{H}$ when $2k > 2$. For the details of the proof, which is not difficult, see Gunning 1962, III.9. \(\square\)

**Theorem 4.29** The Poincaré series $\varphi_n(z)$, $n \geq 1$, of weight $2k$ span $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$.

Before we can prove this, we shall need some preliminaries.

**The geometry of $\mathbb{H}$**

As Beltrami and Poincaré pointed out, $\mathbb{H}$ can serve as a model for non-Euclidean hyperbolic plane geometry.

Recall that the axioms for hyperbolic geometry are the same as for Euclidean geometry, except that the axiom of parallels is replaced with the following axiom: suppose we are given a straight line and a point in the plane; if the line does not contain the point, then there exist at least two lines passing through the point and not intersecting the line.

The points of our non-Euclidean plane are the points of $\mathbb{H}$. A non-Euclidean “line” is a half-circle in $\mathbb{H}$ orthogonal to the real axis, or a vertical half-line. The angle between two lines is the usual angle. To obtain the distance $\delta(z_1, z_2)$ between two points, draw the non-Euclidean line through $z_1$ and $z_2$, let $\infty_1$ and $\infty_2$ be the points on the real axis (or $i \infty$) on the “line” labeled in such a way that $\infty_1, z_1, z_2, \infty_2$ follow one another cyclically around the circle, and define
\[
\delta(z_1, z_2) = \log D(z_1, z_2, \infty_1, \infty_2)
\]
where $D(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ is the cross-ratio \(\frac{(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)}{(z_2 - z_3)(z_1 - z_4)}\).

The group $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) / \{ \pm I \}$ plays the same role as the group of orientation preserving affine transformations in the Euclidean plane, namely, it is the group of transformations preserving distance and orientation.

The measure $\mu(U) = \int_{U} dx dy$ plays the same role as the usual measure $dx dy$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$—it is invariant under translation by elements of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. This follows from the invariance of the differential $y^{-2} dx dy$. (We prove something more general below.)
Thus we can consider \( \int_D \frac{dx \cdot dy}{y^2} \) for any fundamental domain \( D \) of \( \Gamma \)—the invariance of the differential shows that this doesn’t depend on the choice of \( D \). One shows that the integral does converge, and in fact that

\[
\int_D dx \cdot dy / y^2 = 2\pi (2g - 2 + v_\infty + \sum (1 - 1/ep)).
\]

See Shimura, 2.5. (There is a detailed discussion of the geometry of \( \mathbb{H} \)—equivalently, the open unit disk—in C. Siegel, Topics in Complex Functions II, Wiley, 1971, Chapter 3.)

**Petersson inner product**

Let \( f \) and \( g \) be two modular forms of weight \( 2k > 0 \) for a subgroup \( \Gamma \) of finite index in \( \Gamma(1) \).

**Lemma 4.30** The differential \( f(z) \cdot \overline{g(z)} \cdot y^{2k-2} dx \cdot dy \) is invariant under the action of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \). (Here \( z = x + iy \), so the notation is mixed.)

**Proof.** Let \( \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
 f(\gamma z) &= (cz + d)^{2k} \cdot f(z) \\
 g(\gamma z) &= (cz + d)^{-2k} \cdot g(z) \\
 \Im(\gamma z) &= \frac{\Im(z)}{|cz + d|^2} \quad \text{(see p. 6)} \\
 \gamma^* (dx \cdot dy) &= \frac{dx \cdot dy}{|cz + d|^4}.
\end{align*}
\]

The last equation follows from the next lemma and the fact (4.8) that \( d\gamma / dz = 1/(cz + d)^2 \).

On raising the third equation to the \( (2k-2) \) th power, and multiplying, we obtain the result.

**Lemma 4.31** For every holomorphic function \( w(z) \), the map \( z \mapsto w(z) \) multiplies areas by \( \left| \frac{dw}{dz} \right|^2 \).

**Proof.** Write \( w(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y) \), \( z = x + iy \). Thus, \( z \mapsto w(z) \) is the map

\[
(x, y) \mapsto (u(x, y), v(x, y)),
\]

and the Jacobian is

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
 u_x & v_x \\
 u_y & v_y
\end{vmatrix} = u_x v_y - v_x u_y.
\]

According to the Cauchy-Riemann equations, \( w'(z) = u_x + i v_x, u_x = v_y, u_y = -v_x \), and so

\[
|w'(z)|^2 = u_x^2 + v_x^2 = u_x v_y - v_x u_y.
\]

**Lemma 4.32** Let \( D \) be a fundamental domain for \( \Gamma \). If \( f \) or \( g \) is a cusp form, then the integral

\[
\iint_D f(z) \cdot \overline{g(z)} \cdot y^{2k-2} dx \cdot dy
\]

converges.
I. The Analytic Theory

**Theorem 4.33** Let \( f \) be a cusp form of weight \( 2k \geq 2 \) for \( \Gamma \), and let \( \varphi_n \) be the Poincaré series of weight \( 2k \) and character \( n \geq 1 \) for \( \Gamma \). Then

\[
( f, \varphi_n ) = \frac{h^{2k}(2k - 2)!}{(4\pi)^{2k-1}} \cdot n^{1-2k} \cdot a_n
\]

where \( h \) is the width of \( i \infty \) as a cusp for \( \Gamma \) and \( a_n \) is the \( n \)th coefficient in the Fourier expansion of \( f \):

\[
f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z h}.
\]

**Proof.** We omit the calculation, which can be found in Gunning 1962, III 11, Theorem 5. \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.34** Every cusp form is a linear combination of Poincaré series \( \varphi_n(z), n \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** If \( f \) is orthogonal to the subspace generated by the Poincaré series, then all the coefficients of its Fourier expansion are zero. \( \square \)

**Eisenstein series for \( \Gamma(N) \)**

The Poincaré series of weight \( 2k > 2 \) and character 0 for \( \Gamma(N) \) is

\[
\varphi_0(z) = \sum_{(c, d) \equiv (0, 1) \mod N, \gcd(c, d) = 1} \frac{1}{(cz + d)^{2k}}
\]

Recall (4.28) that this is a modular form of weight \( 2k \) for \( \Gamma(N) \) which takes the value 1 at \( i \infty \) and vanishes at all the other cusps.

For every complex-valued function \( \nu \) on the (finite) set of inequivalent cusps for \( \Gamma(N) \), we want to construct a modular function \( f \) of weight \( 2k \) such that \( f \mid \{ \text{cusps} \} = \nu \). Moreover, we would like to choose the \( f \) to be orthogonal (for the Petersson inner product) to the space of cusp forms. To do this, we shall construct a function (restricted Eisenstein series)
which takes the value 1 at a particular cusp, takes the value 0 at the remaining cusps, and is orthogonal to cusp forms.

Write \( j_\gamma(z) = 1/(cz + d)^2 \), so that \( j_\gamma(z) \) is an automorphy factor:

\[
j_{\gamma'\gamma}(z) = j_\gamma(\gamma'z) \cdot j_\gamma'(z).
\]

Let \( P \) be a cusp for \( \Gamma(N) \), \( P \neq i \infty \), and let \( \sigma \in \Gamma(1) \) be such that \( \sigma(P) = i \infty \). Define

\[
\varphi(z) = j_\sigma(z)^k \cdot \varphi_0(\sigma z).
\]

**Lemma 4.35** The function \( \varphi(z) \) is a modular form of weight \( 2k \) for \( \Gamma(N) \); moreover \( \varphi \) takes the value 1 at \( P \), and it is zero at every other cusp.

**Proof.** Let \( \gamma \in \Gamma(N) \). For the first statement, we have to show that \( \varphi(\gamma z) = j_\gamma(z)^{-k}\varphi(z) \).

From the definition of \( \varphi \), we find that

\[
\varphi(\gamma z) = j_\gamma(\gamma z)^k \cdot \varphi_0(\gamma z).
\]

As \( \Gamma(N) \) is normal, \( \sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1} \in \Gamma(N) \), and so

\[
\varphi_0(\gamma z) = \varphi_0(\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1} \cdot z) = j_{\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1}}(\sigma z)^{-k} \cdot \varphi_0(\sigma z).
\]

On comparing this formula for \( \varphi(\gamma z) \) with

\[
j_\gamma(z)^{-k} \cdot \varphi(z) = j_\gamma(z)^{-k} \cdot j_\sigma(z)^k \cdot \varphi_0(\sigma z),
\]

we see that it suffices to prove that

\[
j_\sigma(\gamma z) \cdot j_{\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1}}(\sigma z)^{-1} = j_\gamma(z)^{-1} \cdot j_\sigma(z),
\]

or that

\[
j_\sigma(\gamma z) \cdot j_\gamma(z) = j_{\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1}}(\sigma z) \cdot j_\sigma(z).
\]

But, because of the defining property of automorphy factors, this is just the obvious equality

\[
j_{\sigma \gamma}(z) = j_{\sigma \gamma \sigma^{-1}}(z).
\]

The second statement is a consequence of the definition of \( \varphi \) and the properties of \( \varphi_0 \).

We now compute \( \varphi(z) \). Let \( T \) be a set of coset representatives for \( \Gamma_0 \) in \( \Gamma(N) \). Then

\[
\varphi(z) \overset{def}{=} j_\sigma(z)^k \cdot \varphi_0(\sigma z)
= j_\sigma(z)^k \sum_{\tau \in T} j_\tau(\sigma z)^k
= \sum_{\gamma \in T \sigma} j_{\gamma}(z)^k.
\]

Let \( \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 \\ c_0 & d_0 \end{pmatrix} \), so that \( \sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -d_0 & b_0 \\ -c_0 & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \), and \( P = \sigma^{-1}(1) = -d_0/c_0 \). Note that

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(N) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 \\ c_0 & d_0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c_0 & d_0 \end{pmatrix} \mod N.
\]

From this, we can deduce that \( T \sigma \) contains exactly one element of \( \Gamma(N)' \) for each pair \((c,d)\) with \( \gcd(c,d) = 1 \) and \((c,d) \equiv (c_0,d_0)\).
Definition 4.36 (a) A **restricted Eisenstein series** of weight $2k > 2$ for $\Gamma(N)$ is a series

$$G(z; c_0, d_0; N) = \sum (cz + d)^{-2k}$$

(sum over $(c, d) \equiv (c_0, d_0) \mod N$, $\gcd(c, d) = 1$). Here $(c_0, d_0)$ is a pair such that $\gcd(c_0, d_0, N) = 1$.

(b) A **general Eisenstein series of weight** $2k > 2$ for $\Gamma(N)$ is a series

$$G(z; c_0, d_0; N) = \sum (cz + d)^{-2k}$$

(sum over $(c, d) \equiv (c_0, d_0) \mod N, (c, d) \neq (0, 0)$). Here it is not required that $\gcd(c_0, d_0, N) = 1$.

Consider the restricted Eisenstein series. Clearly,

$$G(z; c_0, d_0; N) = G(z; c_1, d_1; N)$$

if $(c_0, d_0) \equiv \pm (c_1, d_1) \mod N$. On the other hand, we get a restricted Eisenstein series for each cusp, and these Eisenstein series are linearly independent. On counting, we see that there is exactly one restricted Eisenstein series for each cusp, and so the distinct restricted Eisenstein series are linearly independent.

Proposition 4.37 The general Eisenstein series are the linear combinations of the restricted Eisenstein series.

Proof. Omitted.

Remark 4.38 (a) Sometimes Eisenstein series are defined to be the linear combinations of restricted Eisenstein series.

(b) The Petersson inner product $(f, g)$ is defined whenever at least one of $f$ or $g$ is a cusp form. One finds that $(f, g) = 0$ (e.g., $\varphi_0$ gives the zeroth coefficient) for the restricted Eisenstein series, and hence $(f, g) = 0$ for all cusp forms $f$ and all Eisenstein series $g$: the space of Eisenstein series is the orthogonal complement of $S_k(\Gamma)$ in $M_k(\Gamma)$.

For more details on Eisenstein series for $\Gamma(N)$, see Gunning 1962, IV 13.

Aside 4.39 In the one-dimensional case, compactifying $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ presents no problem, and the Riemann-Roch theorem tells us there are many modular forms. The Poincaré series allow us to write down a set of modular forms that spans $S_k(\Gamma)$. In the higher dimensional case (see 2.27), it is much more difficult to embed the quotient $\Gamma \backslash D$ of a bounded symmetric domain in a compact analytic space. Here the Poincaré series play a much more crucial role. In their famous 1964 paper, Baily and Borel showed that the Poincaré series can be used to give an embedding of the complex manifold $\Gamma \backslash D$ into projective space, and that the closure of the image is a projective algebraic variety containing the image as a Zariski-open subset. It follows that $\Gamma \backslash D$ has a canonical structure of an algebraic variety.

In the higher-dimensional case, the boundary of $\Gamma \backslash D$, i.e., the complement of $\Gamma \backslash D$ in its compactification, is more complicated than in the one-dimensional case. It is a union of varieties of the form $\Gamma' \backslash D'$ with $\Gamma'$ a bounded symmetric domain of lower dimension than that of $D$. The Eisenstein series then attaches to a cusp form on $D'$ a modular form on $D$. (In our case, a cusp form on the zero-dimensional boundary is just a complex number.)
5 Hecke Operators

Hecke operators play a fundamental role in the theory of modular forms. After describing
the problem they were first introduced to solve, we develop the theory of Hecke operators
for the full modular group, and then for a congruence subgroup of the modular group.

Introduction

Recall that the cusp forms of weight 12 for $\Gamma(1)$ form a one-dimensional vector space over
$\mathbb{C}$, generated by $\Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2$, where $g_2 = 60G_2$ and $g_3 = 140G_3$. In more geometric
terms, $\Delta(z)$ is the discriminant of the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}z + \mathbb{Z}$. Jacobi showed that
$$\Delta(z) = (2\pi)^{12} \cdot q \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{24}, \quad q = e^{2\pi i z}.$$ Write $f(z) = q \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{24} = \sum \tau(n) \cdot q^n$. Then $n \mapsto \tau(n)$ is the Ramanujan $\tau$-
function. Ramanujan conjectured that it had the following properties:

(a) $|\tau(p)| \leq 2 \cdot p^{11/2}$,

(b) \[
\begin{align*}
\tau(mn) &= \tau(m)\tau(n) \quad \text{if } \gcd(m,n) = 1 \\
\tau(p^n) &= \tau(p^{n+1}) + p^{11} \tau(p^{n-1}) \quad \text{if } p \text{ is prime and } n \geq 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Property (b) was proved by Mordell in 1917 in a paper in which he introduced the first examples of Hecke operators. To $\Delta$ we can attach a Dirichlet series
$$L(\Delta,s) = \sum \tau(n)n^{-s}.$$

**Proposition 5.1** The Dirichlet series $L(\Delta,s)$ has an Euler product expansion of the form
$$L(\Delta,s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1 - \tau(p)p^{-s} + p^{11-2s}}$$
if and only if (b) holds.

**Proof.** For a prime $p$, define
$$L_p(s) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \tau(p^m) \cdot p^{-ms} = 1 + \tau(p) \cdot p^{-s} + \tau(p^2) \cdot (p^{-s})^2 + \cdots.$$ If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has the factorization $n = \prod p_i^{r_i}$, then the coefficient of $n^{-s}$ in $\prod L_p(s)$ is $\prod \tau(p_i^{r_i})$, which the first equation in (b) implies is equal to $\tau(n)$. Thus
$$L(\Delta,s) = \prod L_p(s).$$ Now consider
$$L_p(s) = (1 - \tau(p)p^{-s} + p^{11-2s}) \cdot L_p.$$ By inspection, we find that the coefficient of $(p^{-s})^n$ in this product is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>1 for $n = 0$;</th>
<th>0 for $n = 1$;</th>
<th>$\sum\ldots\sum$</th>
<th>$\tau(p^{n+1}) - \tau(p)\tau(p^n) + p^{11}\tau(p^{n-1})$ for $n+1$.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>1 for $n = 0$;</td>
<td>0 for $n = 1$;</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\tau(p^{n+1}) - \tau(p)\tau(p^n) + p^{11}\tau(p^{n-1})$ for $n+1$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus the second equation in (b) implies that $(1 - \tau(p)p^{-s} + p^{11-2s}) \cdot L_p = 1$, and hence that
$$L(\Delta,s) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \tau(p)p^{-s} + p^{11-2s}\right)^{-1}.$$ The argument can be run in reverse. \qed
PROPOSITION 5.2 Write

\[ 1 - \tau(p)X + p^{11}X^2 = (1 - aX)(1 - a'X); \]

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) \(|\tau(p)| \leq 2 \cdot p^{11/2};\]

(b) \(|a| = p^{11/2} = |a'|;\]

(c) \(a \) and \(a'\) are conjugate complex numbers, i.e., \(a' = \bar{a}\).

PROOF. First note that \(\tau(p)\) is real (in fact, it is an integer).

(b) \(\Rightarrow\) (a): We have \(\tau(p) = a + a',\) and so (a) follows from the triangle inequality.

(c) \(\Rightarrow\) (b): We have that \(|a|^2 = a\bar{a} = a' = p^{11}\).

(a) \(\Rightarrow\) (c): The discriminant of \(1 - \tau(p)X + p^{11}X^2\) is \(\tau(p)^2 - 4p^{11},\) which (a) implies is \(< 0.\)

For each \(n \geq 1,\) we shall define an operator:

\[ T(n): M_k(\Gamma(1)) \to M_k(\Gamma(1)). \]

These operators will have the following properties:

\[ T(m) \circ T(n) = T(mn) \text{ if } \gcd(m,n) = 1; \]

\[ T(p) \circ T(p^n) = T(p^{n+1} + p^{2k-1}T(p^{n-1}), \text{ } p \text{ prime}; \]

\(T(n)\) preserves the space of cusp forms, and is a Hermitian (self-adjoint) operator on \(S_k(\Gamma)\):

\[ \langle T(n)f, g \rangle = \langle f, T(n)g \rangle, \quad f, g \text{ cusp forms}. \]

LEMMA 5.3 Let \(V\) be a finite-dimensional vector space over \(\mathbb{C}\) with a positive definite Hermitian form \(\langle , \rangle\).

(a) Let \(\alpha: V \to V\) be a linear map which is Hermitian (i.e., such that \(\langle \alpha v, v' \rangle = \langle v, \alpha v' \rangle\)); then \(V\) has a basis consisting of eigenvectors for \(\alpha\) (thus \(\alpha\) is diagonalizable).

(b) Let \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots\) be a sequence of commuting Hermitian operators; then \(V\) has a basis consisting of vectors that are eigenvectors for all \(\alpha_i\) (thus the \(\alpha_i\) are simultaneously diagonalizable).

PROOF. (a) Because \(\mathbb{C}\) is algebraically closed, \(\alpha\) has an eigenvector \(e_1.\) Let \(V_1 = (\mathbb{C}e_1)^\perp.\) Because \(\alpha\) is Hermitian, \(V_1\) is stable under \(\alpha,\) and so it has an eigenvector \(e_2.\) Let \(V_2 = (\mathbb{C}e_1 + \mathbb{C}e_2)^\perp,\) and continue in this manner.

(b) From (a) we know that \(V = \bigoplus V(\lambda_i)\) where the \(\lambda_i\) are the distinct eigenvalues for \(\alpha_1\) and \(V(\lambda_i)\) is the eigenspace for \(\lambda_i;\) thus \(\alpha_1\) acts as multiplication by \(\lambda_i\) on \(V(\lambda_i).\) Because \(\alpha_2\) commutes with \(\alpha_1,\) it preserves each \(V(\lambda_i),\) and we can decompose each \(V(\lambda_i)\) further into a sum of eigenspaces for \(\alpha_2.\) Continuing in this fashion, we arrive at a decomposition \(V = \bigoplus V_j\) such that each \(\alpha_i\) acts as a scalar on each \(V_j.\) Now choose a basis for each \(V_j\) and take the union.

REMARK 5.4 The pair \((V, \langle , \rangle)\) is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. There is an analogous statement to the lemma for infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces (it’s called the spectral theorem).

PROPOSITION 5.5 Let \(f(z) = \sum c(n)q^n\) be a modular form of weight \(2k, \text{ } k > 0, \text{ } f \neq 0.\) If \(f\) is an eigenfunction for all \(T(n),\) then \(c(1) \neq 0,\) and when we normalize \(f\) to have \(c(1) = 1,\) then

\[ T(n)f = c(n) \cdot f. \]
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PROOF. See later (5.18).

We call a nonzero modular function a normalized eigenform for $T(n)$ if it is an eigenfunction for $T(n)$ and $c(1) = 1$.

COROLLARY 5.6 If $f(z)$ is a normalized eigenform for all $T(n)$, then $c(n)$ is real for all $n$.

PROOF. The eigenvalues of a Hermitian operator are real, because

$$
\langle \alpha v, v \rangle = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \lambda(v, v),
$$

$$
= \langle v, \alpha v \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \bar{\lambda}(v, v)
$$

for any eigenvector $v$.

We deduce from these statements that if $f$ is a normalized eigenform for all the $T(n)$, then

$$
c(m)c(n) = c(mn) \text{ if } \gcd(m,n) = 1;
$$

$$
c(p)c(p^n) = c(p^{n+1}) + p^{2k-1}c(p^{n-1}) \text{ if } p \text{ is prime and } n \geq 1.
$$

Just as in the case of $\Delta$, this implies that

$$
L(f,s) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum c(n)n^{-s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1-c(p)p^{-s} + p^{2k-1-2s}}.
$$

Write $1 - c(p)X + p^{2k-1-2s}X^2 = (1-aX)(1-a'X)$. As in (5.2), the following statements are equivalent:

$\diamond$ $|c(p)| \leq 2 \cdot p^{k-1}$;

$\diamond$ $|a| = p^{\frac{k-1}{2}} = |a'|$;

$\diamond$ $a$ and $a'$ are complex conjugates.

These statements are also referred to as the Ramanujan conjecture. As we mentioned earlier (p. 58), they have been proved by Deligne.

EXAMPLE 5.7 Because the space of cusp forms of weight 12 is one-dimensional, $\Delta$ is a simultaneous eigenform for the Hecke operators, and so Ramanujan’s Conjecture (b) for $\tau(n)$ does follow from the existence of Hecke operators with the above properties.

Note the similarity of $L(f,s)$ to the $L$-function of an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$, which is defined to be

$$
L(E,s) = \prod_{p \text{ good}} \frac{1}{1-a(p)p^{-s} + p^{1-2s}} \cdot \prod_{p \text{ bad}} \ldots
$$

Here $1 - a(p) + p = \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for good $p$. The Riemann hypothesis for $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ is that $|a(p)| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$. The number $a(p)$ can also be realized as the trace of the Frobenius map on a certain $\mathbb{Q}_l$-vector space $V_lE$. Since $\tau(p)$ is the trace of $T(p)$ acting on an eigenspace, this suggests that there should be a relation of the form

$$"T(p) = \Pi_p + \Pi_p"$$

where $\Pi_p$ is the Frobenius operator at $p$. We shall see that there do exist relations of this form, and that this is the key to Deligne’s proof that the Weil conjectures imply the (generalized) Ramanujan conjecture.
CONJECTURE 5.8 (TANIYAMA-WEIL) Let E be an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Then \( L(E, s) = L(f, s) \) for some normalized eigenform of weight 2 for \( \Gamma_0(N) \), where \( N \) is the conductor of \( E \).

This conjecture is very important. A vague statement of this form was suggested by Taniyama in the 1950s, was promoted by Shimura in the 1960s, and then in 1967 Weil provided some rather compelling evidence for it. We shall discuss Weil’s work in Section 6. Since it is possible to list the normalized eigenforms of weight 2 for \( \Gamma_0(N) \) for a fixed \( N \), the conjecture predicts how many elliptic curves with conductor \( N \) there are over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Computer searches confirmed the number for small \( N \), and, as noted in 2.26, the conjecture has been proved.

The conjecture is now subsumed by the Langlands program which (roughly speaking) predicts that all Dirichlet series arising from algebraic varieties (more generally, motives) occur among those arising from automorphic forms (better, automorphic representations) for reductive algebraic groups.

Abstract Hecke operators

Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be the set of full lattices in \( \mathbb{C} \). Recall (4.6) that modular forms are related to functions on \( \mathcal{L} \). We first define operators on \( \mathcal{L} \), which define operators on functions on \( \mathcal{L} \), and then operators on modular forms.

Let \( \mathcal{D} \) be the free abelian group generated by the elements of \( \mathcal{L} \); thus an element of \( \mathcal{D} \) is a finite sum
\[
\sum n_i [A_i], \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad A_i \in \mathcal{L}.
\]
For \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) we define a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-linear operator \( T(n): \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D} \) by setting \( T(n) [A] \) equal to the sum of all sublattices of \( A \) of index \( n \):
\[
T(n) [A] = \sum_{A \text{ such that } (A:A')=n} [A'].
\]
The sum is obviously finite because any such sublattice \( A' \) contains \( nA \), and \( A/nA \) is finite. Write \( R(n) \) for the operator
\[
R(n) [A] = [nA].
\]

PROPOSITION 5.9  
(a) If \( m \) and \( n \) are relatively prime, then
\[
T(m) \circ T(n) = T(mn).
\]
(b) If \( p \) is prime and \( n \geq 1 \), then
\[
T(p^n) \circ T(p) = T(p^{n+1}) + pR(p) \circ T(p^{n-1}).
\]

PROOF.  (a) Note that
\[
T(mn) [A] = \sum [A''] \quad \text{(sum over } A'' \text{ with } (A : A'') = mn);\]
\[
T(m) \circ T(n) [A] = \sum [A'] \quad \text{(sum over pairs } (A', A'') \text{ with } (A : A') = n, \ (A' : A'') = m).\]

But, if \( A'' \) is a sublattice of \( A \) of index \( mn \), then there is a unique chain
\[
A \supset A' \supset A''
\]
with $\Lambda'$ of index $n$ in $\Lambda$, because $\Lambda/\Lambda''$ has a unique subgroup of order $m$.

(b) Let $\Lambda$ be a lattice. Note that

$$T(p^n) \circ T(p)[\Lambda] = \sum [\Lambda'']$$ (sum over $(\Lambda', \Lambda'')$ with $(\Lambda : \Lambda') = p$, $(\Lambda' : \Lambda'') = p^n$)

$$T(p^{n+1})[\Lambda] = \sum [\Lambda'']$$ (sum over $\Lambda$ with $(\Lambda : \Lambda'') = p^{n+1}$);

$$pR(p) \circ T(p^{n-1})[\Lambda] = p \cdot \sum R(p)[\Lambda']$$ (sum over $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ with $(\Lambda : \Lambda') = p^{n-1}$).

Hence

$$pR(p) \circ T(p^{n-1})[\Lambda] = p \cdot \sum [\Lambda'']$$ (sum over $\Lambda'' \subset p\Lambda$ with $(p\Lambda : \Lambda'') = p^{n-1}$).

Each of these is a sum of sublattices $\Lambda''$ of index $p^{n+1}$ in $\Lambda$. Fix such a lattice, and let $a$ be the number of times it occurs in the first sum, and $b$ the number of times it occurs in the last sum. It occurs exactly once in the second sum, and so we have to prove:

$$a = 1 + pb.$$

There are two cases to consider.

The lattice $\Lambda''$ is not contained in $p\Lambda$. Then $b = 0$, and $a$ is the number of lattices $\Lambda'$ containing $\Lambda''$ and of index $p$ in $\Lambda$. Such a lattice contains $p\Lambda$, and its image in $\Lambda/p\Lambda$ is of order $p$ and contains the image of $\Lambda''$, which is also of order $p$. Since the subgroups of $\Lambda$ of index $p$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the subgroups of $\Lambda/p\Lambda$ of index $p$, this shows that there is exactly one lattice $\Lambda'$, namely $\Lambda + p\Lambda''$, and so $a = 1$.

The lattice $\Lambda'' \subset p\Lambda$. Here $b = 1$. Every lattice $\Lambda'$ of index $p$ contains $p\Lambda$, and $a$ fortiori $\Lambda$. We have to count the number of subgroups of $\Lambda/p\Lambda$ of index $p$, and this is the number of lines through the origin in the $\mathbb{F}_p$-plane, which is $(p^2 - 1)/(p - 1) = p + 1$. □

**COROLLARY 5.10** For any $m$ and $n$,

$$T(m) \circ T(n) = \sum_{d|\gcd(m,n), d > 0} d \cdot R(d) \circ T(mn/d^2)$$

**PROOF.** Prove by induction on $s$ that

$$T(p^r) \circ T(p^s) = \sum_{i \leq \min(r,s)} p^i \cdot R(p^i) \circ T(p^{r+s-2i}),$$

and then apply (a) of the proposition. □

**COROLLARY 5.11** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of $\text{End}(\mathcal{D})$ generated by the $T(p)$ and $R(p)$ for $p$ prime; then $\mathcal{H}$ is commutative, and it contains $T(n)$ for all $n$.

**PROOF.** Obvious from 5.10. □

Let $F$ be a function $\mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{C}$. We can extend $F$ by linearity to a function $F: \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$F(\sum n_i [\Lambda_i]) = \sum n_i F(\Lambda_i).$$

For any operator $T$ on $\mathcal{D}$, we define $T \cdot F$ to be the function $\mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(T \cdot F)([\Lambda]) = F(T[\Lambda]).$$

For example,

$$(T(n) \cdot F)([\Lambda]) = \sum F([\Lambda'])$$ (sum over sublattices $\Lambda'$ of $\Lambda$ of index $n$$)

and if $F$ is of weight $2k$, i.e., $F(\lambda \Lambda) = \lambda^{-2k} F(\Lambda)$ for all lattices $\Lambda$, then

$$R(n) \cdot F = n^{-2k} \cdot F.$$
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**Proposition 5.12** Let $F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function of weight $2k$. Then $T(n) \cdot F$ is again of weight $2k$, and for any $m$ and $n$,

$$T(m) \cdot T(n) \cdot F = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(m,n), d > 0} d^{1-2k} \cdot T(mn/d^2) \cdot F. \tag{5.12}$$

In particular, if $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime, then

$$T(m) \cdot T(n) \cdot F = T(mn) \cdot F.$$

And if $p$ is prime and $n \geq 1$, then

$$T(p) \cdot T(p^n) \cdot F = T(p^{n+1}) \cdot F + p^{1-2k} \cdot T(p^{n-1}) \cdot F.$$

**Proof.** Immediate from Corollary 5.10 and the definitions. 

**Lemmas on $2 \times 2$ matrices**

Before defining the action of Hecke operators on modular forms, we review some elementary results concerning $2 \times 2$ matrices with integer coefficients.

**Lemma 5.13** Let $A$ be a $2 \times 2$ matrix with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ and determinant $n$. Then there is an invertible matrix $U$ in $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $U \cdot A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with

$$ad = n, \ a \geq 1, \ 0 \leq b < d. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, the integers $a$, $b$, $d$ are uniquely determined.

**Proof.** It is possible to put $A$ into upper triangular form by using elementary operations of the following types: add a multiple of one row to a second; swap two rows (see ANT 2.44). Since these operations are invertible over $\mathbb{Z}$, they amount to multiplying $A$ on the left by an invertible matrix in $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For the uniqueness, note that $a$ is the gcd of the elements in the first column of $A$, $d$ is the unique positive integer such that $ad = n$, and $b$ is obviously uniquely determined modulo $d$.

**Remark 5.14** Let $M(n)$ be the set of $2 \times 2$ matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ and determinant $n$. The group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $M(n)$ by left multiplication, and the lemma provides us with a canonical set of representatives for the orbits:

$$M(n) = \bigcup SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(disjoint union over } a, b, d \text{ as in the lemma).}$$

Now let $\Lambda$ be a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$. Choose a basis $\omega_1$, $\omega_2$ for $\Lambda$, so that $\Lambda = \Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. For any $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M(n)$, define $\alpha \Lambda = \Lambda(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2, c\omega_1 + d\omega_2)$. Then $\alpha \Lambda$ is a sublattice of $\Lambda$ of index $n$, and every such lattice is of this form for some $\alpha \in M(n)$. Clearly $\alpha \Lambda = \beta \Lambda$ if and only if $\beta = u\alpha$ for $u \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus we see that the sublattices of $\Lambda$ of index $n$ are precisely the lattices

$$\Lambda(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2, d\omega_2), \quad a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad ad = n, \ a \geq 1, \ 0 \leq b < d..$$

For example, consider the case $n = p$. Then the sublattices of $\Lambda$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the lines through the origin in the $2$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space $\Lambda/p\Lambda$. Write $\Lambda/p\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p e_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}_p e_2$ with $e_i = \omega_i \pmod{p}$. The lines through the origin are determined...
by their intersections (if any) with the vertical line through \((1, 0)\). Therefore there are \(p + 1\) lines through the origin, namely,

\[
\mathbb{F}_p \cdot e_1, \quad \mathbb{F}_p \cdot (e_1 + e_2), \quad \ldots, \quad \mathbb{F}_p \cdot (e_1 + (p - 1)e_2), \quad \mathbb{F}_p (e_2).
\]

Hence there are exactly \(p + 1\) sublattices of \(\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} \omega_1 + \mathbb{Z} \omega_2\) of index \(p\), namely,

\[
\Lambda(\omega_1, p \omega_2), \quad \Lambda(\omega_1 + \omega_2, p \omega_2), \quad \ldots, \quad \Lambda(p \omega_1, \omega_2),
\]

in agreement with the general result.

REMARK 5.15 Let \(\alpha \in M(n)\), and let \(\Lambda' = \alpha \Lambda\). According to a standard theorem, we can choose bases \(\pi_1, \pi_2\) for \(\Lambda\) and \(\omega_1', \omega_2'\) for \(\Lambda'\) such that

\[
\omega_1' = a \omega_1, \quad \omega_2' = d \omega_2, \quad a, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad ad = n, \quad a \mid d, \quad a \geq 1
\]

and \(a, d\) are uniquely determined. In terms of matrices, this says that

\[
M(n) = \bigcup \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})
\]

—disjoint union over \(a, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad ad = n, \quad a \mid d, \quad a \geq 1\). This decomposition of \(M(n)\) into a union of double cosets can also be proved directly by applying both row and column operations of the type considered in the proof of (5.13) to put the matrix \(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\) in the form \(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}\).

**Hecke operators for \(\Gamma(1)\)**

Recall 4.6 that we have a one-to-one correspondence between functions \(F\) on \(L\) of weight \(2k\) and functions \(f\) on \(H\) that are weakly modular of weight \(2k\), under which

\[
F(\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \omega_2^{-2k} \cdot f(\omega_1/\omega_2),
\]

\[
f(z) = F(\Lambda(z, 1)).
\]

Let \(f(z)\) be a modular form of weight \(2k\), and let \(F\) be the associated function of weight \(2k\) on \(L\). We define \(T(n) \cdot f(z)\) to be the function on \(H\) associated with \(n^{2k-1} \cdot T(n) \cdot F\). The factor \(n^{2k-1}\) is inserted so that some formulas have integer coefficients rather than rational coefficients. Thus

\[
T(n) \cdot f(z) = n^{2k-1} \cdot (T(n) \cdot F)(\Lambda(z, 1)).
\]

More explicitly,

\[
T(n) \cdot f(z) = n^{2k-1} \cdot \sum q^{-2k} f \left( \frac{az+b}{d} \right)
\]

where the sum is over the triples \(a, b, d\) satisfying condition (6) of 5.13.

**Proposition 5.16** (a) If \(f\) is a weakly modular form of weight \(2k\) for \(\Gamma(1)\), then \(T(n) \cdot f\) is also weakly modular of weight \(2k\), and

i) \(T(m) \cdot T(n) \cdot f = T(mn) \cdot f\) if \(m\) and \(n\) are relatively prime;

ii) \(T(p) \cdot T(p^n) \cdot f = T(p^{n+1}) \cdot f + p^{2k-1} \cdot T(p^{n-1}) \cdot f\) if \(p\) is prime and \(n \geq 1\).

(b) Let \(f\) be a modular form of weight \(2k\) for \(\Gamma(1)\), with the Fourier expansion \(f = \sum_{m \geq 0} c(m)q^m, \quad q = e^{2\pi i z}\). Then \(T(n) \cdot f\) is also a modular form, and

\[
T(n) \cdot f(z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \gamma(m) \cdot q^m
\]

with

\[
\gamma(m) = \sum_{a \mid \text{gcd}(m, n), \ a \geq 1} a^{2k-1} \cdot c \left( \frac{mn}{a^2} \right).
\]
I. The Analytic Theory

PROOF. (a) We know that
\[ T(p) \cdot T(p^n) \cdot F(A(z, 1)) = T(p^{n+1}) \cdot F(A(z, 1)) + p^{1-2k} \cdot T(p^{n-1}) \cdot F(A(z, 1)). \]
On multiplying through by \((p^{n+1})^{2k-1}\) we obtain the second equation. The first is obvious.
(b) We know that
\[ T(n) \cdot f(z) = n^{2k-1} \sum_{a, b, d} d^{-2k} f\left(\frac{4z+b}{d}\right) \]
where the sum over \(a, b, d\) satisfying (6), i.e., such that
\[ ad = n, \quad a \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq b < d. \]
Therefore \(T(n) \cdot f(z)\) is holomorphic on \(\mathbb{H}\) because \(f\) is. Moreover
\[ T(n) \cdot f(z) = n^{2k-1} \sum_{a, b, d} d^{-2k} \sum_{m \geq 0} c(m)q^{2\pi i \frac{az+b}{d}m}. \]
But
\[ \sum_{0 \leq b < d} e^{2\pi i \frac{bn}{d}} = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } d|m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]
Set \(m/d = m'\); then
\[ T(n) \cdot f(z) = n^{2k-1} \sum_{a, d, m'} d^{-2k+1} c(m'd)q^{am'}. \]
where the sum is over the integers \(a, d, m'\) such that \(ad = n\) and \(a \geq 1\). The coefficient of \(q^t\) in this is
\[ \sum_{a \mid \gcd(n, t), a \geq 1} a^{2k-1} \cdot c\left(\frac{t}{a}\right). \]
When we substitute \(m\) for \(t\) in this formula, we obtain the required formula. Because \(\gamma(m) = 0\) for \(m < 0\), \(T(n) \cdot f\) is holomorphic at \(i \infty\).

COROLLARY 5.17 Retain the notations of the proposition.
(a) The coefficients \(\gamma(0) = \sigma_{2k-1}(n) \cdot c(0), \gamma(1) = c(n)\).
(b) If \(n = p\) is prime, then
   i) \(\gamma(m) = c(pm)\) if \(p\) does not divide \(m\);
   ii) \(\gamma(m) = c(pm) + p^{2k-1}c(m/p)\) if \(p|m\).
(c) If \(f\) is a cusp form, then so also is \(T(n) \cdot f\).

PROOF. These are all obvious consequences of the proposition.

Thus the \(T(n)\) act on the vector spaces \(M_k(\Gamma(1))\) and \(S_k(\Gamma(1))\), and satisfy the identities
\[ T(m) \circ T(n) = T(mn) \text{ if } m \text{ and } n \text{ relatively prime}; \]
\[ T(p) \circ T(p^n) = T(p^{n+1}) + p^{2k-1} \cdot T(p^{n-1}) \text{ if } p \text{ is prime } n \geq 1. \]
Proposition 5.18 \ Let \( f = \sum c(n)q^n \) be a nonzero modular form of weight \( 2k \). Assume that \( f \) is a simultaneous eigenform for all the \( T(n) \), say,
\[
T(n) \cdot f = \lambda(n) \cdot f, \quad \lambda(n) \in \mathbb{C}.
\]
Then \( c(1) \neq 0 \), and if \( f \) is normalized to make \( c(1) = 1 \), then
\[
c(n) = \lambda(n)
\]
for all \( n \geq 1 \).

Proof. We have seen that the coefficient of \( q \) in \( T(n) \cdot f \) is \( c(n) \). But, it is also \( \lambda(n) \cdot c(1) \), and so \( c(n) = \lambda(n) \cdot c(1) \). If \( c(1) \) were zero, then all \( c(n) \) would be zero, and \( f \) would be constant, which is impossible.

Corollary 5.19 \ Two normalized eigenforms of the same weight with the same eigenvalues are equal.

Proof. The proposition implies that the coefficients of their Fourier expansions are equal.

Corollary 5.20 \ If \( f = \sum c(n)q^n \) is a normalized eigenform for the \( T(n) \), then
\[
c(m) \cdot c(n) = c(mn) \quad \text{if} \quad m \text{ and } n \text{ are relatively prime},
\]
\[
c(p) \cdot c(p^n) = c(p^{n+1}) + p^{2k-1} c(p^{n-1}) \quad \text{if } p \text{ is prime and } n \geq 1.
\]

Proof. We know that these relations hold for the eigenvalues.

With a modular form \( f \), we can associate a Dirichlet series
\[
L(f,s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c(n) \cdot n^{-s}.
\]
The series \( \sum n^{-s} \) converges for \( \Re(s) > 1 \). The bounds on the values \( |c(n)| \) (see p. 58) show that \( L(f,s) \) converges to the right of some vertical line (if one accepts Deligne’s theorem and \( f \) is a cusp form of weight \( 2k \), it converges for \( \Re(s - k + \frac{1}{2}) > 1 \), i.e., for \( s > k + \frac{1}{2} \)).

Proposition 5.21 \ For every normalized eigenform \( f \),
\[
L(f,s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - c(p)p^{-s} + p^{2k-1-2s}}.
\]

Proof. This follows from 5.20, as in the proof of (5.1).

The Hecke operators for \( \Gamma(1) \) are Hermitian

Before proving this, we make a small excursion.

Write \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+ \) for the group of real \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices with positive determinant. Let
\[
\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+,\]
and let \( f \) be a function on \( \mathbb{H} \); we define
\[
f |_k \alpha = (\det \alpha)^k \cdot (cz + d)^{-2k} \cdot f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right).
\]
For example, if \( \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \), then \( f |_k \alpha = a^{2k} \cdot a^{-2k} \cdot f(z) = f(z) \); i.e., the centre of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+ \) acts trivially. Note that \( f \) is weakly modular of weight \( 2k \) for \( \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma(1) \) if and only if \( f |_k \alpha = f \) for all \( \alpha \in \Gamma \).
Recall that
\[ T(n) \cdot f(z) = n^{2k-1} \cdot \sum d^{-2k} \cdot f\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right) \]
—sum over \(a, b, d, ad = n, a \geq 1, 0 \leq b < d\). We can restate this as
\[ T(n) \cdot f = \sum n^{k-1} \cdot f|_k \alpha \]
where the \(\alpha\) run through a particular set of representatives for the orbits of \(\Gamma(1)\) acting on \(M(n)\), i.e., for \(\Gamma(1) \backslash M(n)\). It is clear from the above remarks, that the right hand side is independent of the choice of the set of representatives.

Recall, that the Petersson inner product of two cusp forms \(f\) and \(g\) for \(\Gamma(1)\) is
\[ \langle f, g \rangle = \iint_D f \cdot \overline{g} \cdot y^{2k-2} \cdot dx \cdot dy \]
where \(z = x + iy\) and \(D\) is any fundamental domain for \(\Gamma(1)\).

**Lemma 5.22** For every \(\alpha \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+\),
\[ \langle f|_k \alpha, g|_k \alpha \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle. \]

**Proof.** Write \(\omega(f, g) = f(z)\overline{g}(z) y^{k-2} \cdot dx \cdot dy\), where \(z = x + iy\). We first prove that
\[ \omega(f|_k \alpha, g|_k \alpha) = \alpha^* \omega(f, g). \tag{7} \]
Since multiplying \(\alpha\) by a scalar changes neither \(\omega(f|_k \alpha, g|_k \alpha)\) nor \(\alpha^* \omega(f, g)\), we can assume that \(\text{det} \alpha = 1\). Then
\[
\begin{align*}
    f|_k \alpha &= (cz+d)^{-2k} \cdot f(\alpha z) \\
    \overline{g}|_k \alpha &= (\overline{cz}+d)^{-2k} \cdot \overline{g(\alpha z)}
\end{align*}
\]
and so
\[
    \omega(f|_k \alpha, g|_k \alpha) = |cz+d|^{-4k} \cdot f(\alpha z) \cdot \overline{g(\alpha z)} \cdot dx \cdot dy.
\]
On the other hand (see the proof of 4.30)
\[
\begin{align*}
    \Im(\alpha z) &= \Im(z)/|cz+d|^2 \\
    \alpha^* (dx \cdot dy) &= dx \cdot dy/|cz+d|^4.
\end{align*}
\]
and so
\[
\begin{align*}
    \alpha^* (\omega(f, g)) &= f(\alpha z) \cdot \overline{g(\alpha z)} \cdot |cz+d|^{-4-4k} \cdot y^{2k-2} \cdot |cz+d|^{-4} \cdot dx \cdot dy \\
    &= \omega(f|_k \alpha, g|_k \alpha).
\end{align*}
\]
From (7),
\[
\iint_D \omega(f|_k \alpha, g|_k \alpha) = \iint_D \alpha^* \omega(f, g) = \iint_{\alpha D} \omega(f, g),
\]
which equals \(\langle f, g \rangle\) if \(\alpha D\) is also a fundamental domain for \(\Gamma(1)\). Unfortunately, this is not always true. Instead one computes the Petersson scalar product with respect to a sufficiently small congruence subgroup \(\Gamma\) such that \(\alpha \Gamma \alpha^{-1} \subseteq \Gamma(1)\) (and normalizes by the quotient of the volumes of the fundamental domains to get the wanted scalar product with respect to \(\Gamma(1)\)). If \(D\) now denotes a fundamental domain for \(\Gamma\), then \(\alpha D\) is a fundamental domain for \(\alpha \Gamma \alpha^{-1}\), and obviously it has the same volume as \(D\), and by the choice of \(\Gamma\), both of \(f\) and \(g\) are still modular with respect to \(\alpha \Gamma \alpha^{-1}\).\]
Note that the lemma implies that
\[ \langle f | k \alpha, g \rangle = \langle f | g | k \alpha^{-1} \rangle, \text{ all } \alpha \in \GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+. \]

**Theorem 5.23** For cusp forms \( f, g \) of weight \( 2k \)
\[ \langle T(n) f, g \rangle = \langle f, T(n) g \rangle, \text{ all } n. \]

Because of 5.10, it suffices to prove the theorem for \( T(p) \), \( p \) prime. Recall that \( M(n) \) is the set of integer matrices with determinant \( n \).

**Lemma 5.24** There exists a common set of representatives \( \{ \alpha_i \} \) for the set of left orbits \( \Gamma(1) \backslash M(p) \) and for the set of right orbits \( M(p)/\Gamma(1) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \alpha, \beta \in M(p) \); then (see 5.15)
\[ \Gamma(1) \cdot \alpha \cdot \Gamma(1) = \Gamma(1) \cdot (\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{smallmatrix}) \cdot \Gamma(1) = \Gamma(1) \cdot \beta \cdot \Gamma(1). \]
Hence there exist elements \( u, v, u', v' \in \Gamma(1) \) such that
\[ u\alpha v = u'\beta v' \]
and so \( u'^{-1}u\alpha = \beta v'v^{-1} = \gamma \) say. Then \( \Gamma(1) \cdot \alpha = \Gamma(1) \cdot \gamma \) and \( \beta \cdot \Gamma(1) = \gamma \cdot \Gamma(1) \).

For \( \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M(p) \), set \( \alpha' = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = p \cdot \alpha^{-1} \in M(p) \). Let \( \alpha_i \) be a set of common representatives for \( \Gamma(1) \backslash M(p) \) and \( M(p)/\Gamma(1) \), so that
\[ M(p) = \bigcup_i \Gamma(1) \cdot \alpha_i = \bigcup_i \alpha_i \cdot \Gamma(1) \text{ (disjoint unions)}. \]
Then
\[ M(p) = p \cdot M(p)^{-1} = \bigcup p \cdot \Gamma(1) \cdot \alpha_i^{-1} = \bigcup \Gamma(1) \cdot \alpha_i'. \]
Therefore,
\[ \langle T(p) f, g \rangle = p^{k-1} \sum_i \langle f | k \alpha_i, g \rangle \]
\[ = p^{k-1} \sum_i \langle f, g | k \alpha_i^{-1} \rangle \]
\[ = p^{k-1} \sum_i \langle f, g | k \alpha_i' \rangle \]
\[ = \langle f, T(p) g \rangle. \]

**The \( \mathbb{Z} \)-structure on the space of modular forms for \( \Gamma(1) \)**
Recall (4.20) that the Eisenstein series
\[ G_k(z) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^{2k}} \]
\[ = 2\xi(2k) + 2 \frac{(2\pi i)^{2k}}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n)q^n, \quad q = e^{2\pi i z}. \]
For \( k \geq 1 \), define the normalized Eisenstein series
\[
E_k(z) = G_k(z)/2\zeta(2k).
\]
Then, using that 
\[
\zeta(2k) = \frac{2^{2k-1}B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \pi^{2k},
\]
one finds that
\[
E_k(z) = 1 + \gamma_k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n)q^n, \quad \gamma_k = (-1)^k \frac{4k}{B_k} \in \mathbb{Q}.
\]
For example,
\[
E_2(z) = 1 + 240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(n)q^n,
\]
\[
E_3(z) = 1 - 504 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_5(n)q^n,
\]
\[
E_6(z) = 1 + \frac{54600}{691} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{11}(n)q^n.
\]
Note that \( E_2(z) \) and \( E_3(z) \) have integer coefficients.

**Lemma 5.25** The Eisenstein series \( G_k, k \geq 2 \), is an eigenform of the \( T(n) \), with eigenvalues \( \sigma_{2k-1}(n) \). The normalized eigenform is \( \gamma_k^{-1} \cdot E_k \). The corresponding Dirichlet series is
\[
\zeta(s) \cdot \zeta(s - 2k + 1).
\]

**Proof.** The short proof that \( G_k \) is an eigenform, is to observe that \( \mathcal{M}_k = S_k \oplus \langle G_k \rangle \), and that \( T(n) \cdot G_k \) is orthogonal to \( S_k \) (because \( G_k \) is, \( T(n) \) is Hermitian, and \( T(n) \) preserves \( S_k \)). Therefore \( T(n) \cdot G_k \) is a multiple of \( G_k \).

The computational proof starts from the definition
\[
G_k(\Lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda', \lambda \neq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2k}}.
\]
Therefore
\[
T(p) \cdot G_k(\Lambda) = \sum_{\Lambda'} \left( \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda', \lambda \neq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2k}} \right)
\]
where the outer sum is over the lattices \( \Lambda' \) of index \( p \) in \( \Lambda \). If \( \lambda \in p\Lambda \), it lies in all \( \Lambda' \), and so contributes \( (p + 1)/\lambda^{2k} \) to the sum. Otherwise, it lies in only one lattice \( \Lambda' \), namely \( p\Lambda + \mathbb{Z}\lambda \), and so it contributes \( 1/\lambda^{2k} \). Hence
\[
(T(p) \cdot G_k)(\Lambda) = G_k(\Lambda) + p \sum_{\lambda \in p\Lambda, \lambda \neq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2k}} = G_k(\Lambda) + p^{1-2k} G_k(\Lambda) = (1 + p^{1-2k}) G_k(\Lambda).
\]
Therefore \( G_k(\Lambda) \), as a function on \( \mathcal{L} \), is an eigenform of \( T(p) \), with eigenvalue \( 1 + p^{1-2k} \).
As a function on \( \mathbb{H} \) it is an eigenform with eigenvalue \( p^{2k-1}(1 + p^{1-2k}) = p^{2k-1} + 1 = \sigma_{2k-1}(p) \).
The normalized eigenform is

\[ \gamma_k^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) q^n, \quad \gamma_k = (-1)^k \cdot \frac{4k}{B_k}, \]

and the associated Dirichlet series is

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) \frac{n^s}{n^d} = \sum_{a,d \geq 1} \frac{a^{2k-1}}{a^s d^s} \]

\[ = \left( \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{1}{d^s} \right) \left( \sum_{a \geq 1} \frac{1}{a^s+1-2k} \right) \]

\[ = \zeta(s) \cdot \zeta(s-2k+1). \]

Let \( V \) be a vector space over \( \mathbb{C} \). By a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-structure on \( V \), I mean a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( V \) which is free of rank equal to the dimension of \( V \). Equivalently, it is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-submodule that is freely generated by a \( \mathbb{C} \)-basis for \( V \), or a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-submodule such that the natural map \( V \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{C} \to V \) is an isomorphism.

Let \( M_k(\mathbb{Z}) \) be the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-submodule of \( M_k(\Gamma(1)) \) consisting of the modular forms \( f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n \) with the \( a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proposition 5.26** The module \( M_k(\mathbb{Z}) \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-structure on \( M_k(\Gamma(1)) \).

**Proof.** Recall that \( \bigoplus_k M_k(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[G_2, G_3] = \mathbb{C}[E_2, E_3] \). It suffices to show that

\[ \bigoplus_k M_k(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[E_2, E_3]. \]

Note that \( E_2(z) \), \( E_3(z) \), and \( \Delta' = q \prod (1-q^n)^{24} \) all have integer coefficients. We prove by induction on \( k \) that \( M_k(\mathbb{Z}) \) is the \( 2k \)th-graded piece of \( \mathbb{Z}[E_2, E_3] \) (here \( E_2 \) has degree 4 and \( E_3 \) has degree 6). Given \( f(z) = \sum a_n q^n, a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \), write

\[ f = a_0 E_2^a \cdot E_3^b + \Delta' \cdot g \]

with \( 4a + 6b = 2k \), and \( g \in M_{k-12}(\mathbb{Z}) \). Then \( a_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \), and one checks by explicit calculation that \( g \in M_{k-12}(\mathbb{Z}) \).

**Proposition 5.27** The eigenvalues of the Hecke operators are algebraic integers.

**Proof.** Let \( M_k(\mathbb{Z}) \) be the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module of modular forms with integer Fourier coefficients. It is stabilized by \( T(n) \), because

\[ T(n) \cdot f(z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \gamma(m) \cdot q^m \]

with

\[ \gamma(m) = \sum a^{2k-1} \cdot c \left( \frac{mn}{a^2} \right) \] \( \text{sum over } a|m, a \geq 1 \). The matrix of \( T(n) \) with respect to a basis for \( M_k(\mathbb{Z}) \) has integer coefficients, and this shows that the eigenvalues of \( T(n) \) are algebraic integers.

**Aside 5.28** The generalization of (5.27) to Siegel modular forms of all levels was only proved in the 1980s (by Chai and Faltings), using difficult algebraic geometry. See Section 7.
Geometric interpretation of Hecke operators

Before discussing Hecke operators for a general group, we explain the geometric significance of Hecke operators. Fix a subgroup $\Gamma$ of finite index in $\Gamma(1)$.

Let $\alpha \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+$. Then $\alpha$ defines a map $x \mapsto \alpha x: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$, and we would like to define a map $\alpha: \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \to \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, $\Gamma z \mapsto \alpha \Gamma z$. Unfortunately, $\Gamma$ is far from being normal in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+$. If we try defining $\alpha(z) = \Gamma \alpha \Gamma z$ we run into the problem that the orbit $\alpha \Gamma z$ depends on the choice of $z$ (because $\alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha \neq \Gamma$ in general, even if $\alpha$ has integer coefficients and $\Gamma = \Gamma(N)$).

In fact, $\alpha \Gamma z$ is not even a $\Gamma$-orbit. Instead, we need to consider the union of the orbits meeting $\alpha \Gamma z$, i.e., we need to look at $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma z$. Every coset (right or left) of $\Gamma$ in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+$ that meets $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma$ is contained in it, and so we can write

\[ \Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma \alpha_i \] (disjoint union),

and then $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma z = \bigcup \Gamma \alpha_i z$ (disjoint union). Thus $\alpha$, or better, the double coset $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma$, defines a “many-valued map”

\[ \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \to \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}, \quad \Gamma z \mapsto \{ \Gamma \alpha_i z \}. \]

Since “many-valued maps” don’t exist in my lexicon, we shall have to see how to write this in terms of honest maps. First we give a condition on $\alpha$ that ensures that the “map” is at least finitely-valued.

**Lemma 5.29** Let $\alpha \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+$. Then $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma$ is a finite union of right (and of left) cosets if and only if $\alpha$ is a scalar multiple of a matrix with integer coefficients.

**Proof.** Omit. [Note that the next lemma shows that this is equivalent to $\alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha$ being commensurable with $\Gamma$.]

**Lemma 5.30** Let $\alpha \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+$. Write

\[ \Gamma = \bigcup (\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha) \beta_i \] (disjoint union);

then

\[ \Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma \alpha_i \] (disjoint union)

with $\alpha_i = \alpha \cdot \beta_i$.

**Proof.** We are given that $\Gamma = \bigcup (\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha) \beta_i$. Therefore

\[ \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigcup_i \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha \cdot (\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha) \cdot \beta_i = \bigcup_i (\alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha) \cdot \beta_i. \]

But $\alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \supset \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha$, and so we can drop it from the right hand term. Therefore

\[ \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigcup_i \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha \beta_i. \]

On multiplying by $\alpha$, we find that $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigcup_i \Gamma \alpha \beta_i$, as claimed.

If $\Gamma \alpha \beta_i = \Gamma \alpha \beta_j$, then $\beta_i \beta_j^{-1} \in \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha$; since it also lies in $\Gamma$, this implies that $i = j$. \qed
Now let $\Gamma_\alpha = \Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha$, and write $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_\alpha \cdot \beta_i$ (disjoint union). Consider

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma_\alpha \backslash \mathbb{H} \\
\alpha \\
\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \quad \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}
\end{array}
$$

The map $\alpha$ sends an orbit $\Gamma_\alpha \cdot x$ to $\Gamma \cdot \alpha x$—this is now well-defined—and the left hand arrow sends an orbit $\Gamma_\alpha \cdot x$ to $\Gamma \cdot x$.

Let $f$ be a modular function, regarded as a function on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Then $f \circ \alpha$ is a function on $\Gamma_\alpha \backslash \mathbb{H}$, and its “trace” $\sum f \circ \alpha \circ \beta_i$ is invariant under $\Gamma$, and is therefore a function on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. This function is $\sum f \circ \alpha_i \circ \beta_i = T(p) \cdot f$. Similarly, a (meromorphic) modular form can be thought of as a $k$-fold differential form on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, and $T(p)$ can be interpreted as the pull-back followed by the trace in the above diagram.

**Remark 5.31** In general a diagram of finite-to-one maps

$$
\begin{array}{c}
Y \\
X \\
Z
\end{array}
$$

is called a *correspondence* on $X \times Z$. The simplest example is obtained by taking $Y$ to be the graph of a map $\varphi: X \to Z$; then the projection $Y \to X$ is a bijection. A correspondence is a “many-valued mapping”, correctly interpreted: an element $x \in X$ is “mapped” to the images in $Z$ of its inverse images in $Y$. The above observation shows the Hecke operator on modular functions and forms is defined by a correspondence, which we call the Hecke correspondence.

**The Hecke algebra**

The above discussion suggests that we should define an action of double cosets $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma$ on modular forms. It is convenient first to define an abstract algebra, $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$, called the Hecke algebra.

Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of $\Gamma(1)$ of finite index, and let $\Delta$ be a set of real matrices with positive determinant, closed under multiplication, and such that for $\alpha \in \Delta$, the double coset $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma$ contains only finitely many left and right cosets for $\Gamma$. Define $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ to be the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by the double cosets $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma$, $\alpha \in \Delta$. Thus an element of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ is a finite sum,

$$
\sum n_\alpha \Gamma \alpha \Gamma, \alpha \in \Delta, n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Write $[\alpha]$ for $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma$ when it is regarded as an element of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$.

We define a multiplication on $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ as follows. Note that if $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma$ meets a right coset $\Gamma \alpha'$, then it contains it. Therefore, we can write $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma \alpha_i$, $\Gamma \beta \Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma \beta_i$ (finite disjoint unions). Then

$$
\Gamma \alpha \Gamma \cdot \Gamma \beta \Gamma = \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \beta \Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \beta_j = \bigcup \Gamma \alpha_i \beta_j;
$$
therefore $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma \beta \Gamma$ is a finite union of double cosets. Define

$$[\alpha] \cdot [\beta] = \sum \{ \gamma \},$$

where the union is over the $\gamma \in \Delta$ such that $\Gamma \gamma \Gamma \subset \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \beta \Gamma$, and $c_{\alpha, \beta}^\gamma$ is the number of pairs $(i, j)$ with $\Gamma \alpha_i \beta_j = \Gamma \gamma$.

**Example 5.32** Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(1)$, and let $\Delta$ be the set of matrices with integer coefficients and positive determinant. Then $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ is the free abelian group on the generators $\Gamma(1) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \Gamma(1)$, where $a | d$, $ad > 0$, $a \geq 1$, $a, d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Write $T(a, d)$ for the element $\Gamma(1) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \Gamma(1)$ of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$. Thus $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ has a quite explicit set of free generators, and it is possible to write down (complicated) formulas for the multiplication.

For a prime $p$, we define $T(p)$ to be the element $T(1, p)$ of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$. We would like to define

$$T(n) = M(n) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ \text{matrices with integer coefficients and determinant } n \}.$$

We can’t do this because $M(n)$ is not a double coset, but it is a finite union of double cosets (see 5.15), namely,

$$M(n) = \bigcup \Gamma(1) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \Gamma(1), \quad a | d, \quad ad = n, \quad a \geq 1, \quad a, d \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This suggests defining

$$T(n) = \sum T(a, d), \quad a | d, \quad ad = n, \quad a \geq 1, \quad a, d \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As before, we let $\mathcal{D}$ be the free abelian group on the set of lattices $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. A double coset $[\alpha]$ acts on $\mathcal{D}$ according to the rule:

$$[\alpha] \cdot \Lambda = \alpha \Lambda.$$

To compute $\alpha \Lambda$, choose a basis $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$ for $\Lambda$, and let $\alpha \Lambda$ be the lattice with basis $\alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$; this is independent of the choice of the basis, and of the choice of a representative for the double coset $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma$. We extend this by linearity to an action of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ on $\mathcal{D}$. It is immediate from the various definitions that $T(n)$ (element of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$) acts on $\mathcal{D}$ as the $T(n)$ defined at the start of this section. The relation in (5.10) implies that the following relation holds in the ring $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$:

$$T(n)T(m) = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(m, n)} d \cdot T(d, d) \cdot T(nm/d^2)$$

(8)

In particular, for relatively prime integers $m$ and $n$,

$$T(n)T(m) = T(nm),$$

and for a prime $p$,

$$T(p) \cdot T(p^n) = T(p^{n+1}) + p \cdot T(p, p) \cdot T(p^{n-1}).$$
The ring $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ acts on the set of functions on $L$:

$$[\alpha] \cdot F = \sum F(\alpha_i \Lambda) \text{ if } \Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma \alpha_i.$$ 

The relation (8) implies that

$$T(n) \cdot T(m) \cdot F = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(m, n)} d^{1-2k} \cdot T(mn/d^2) \cdot F$$

for $F$ a function on $L$ of weight $2k$.

Finally, we make $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ act on $M_k(\Gamma(1))$ by

$$[\alpha] \cdot f = \det(\alpha)^{k-1} \cdot \sum f | \alpha_i$$

if $\Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma \alpha_i$. Recall that

$$f | \alpha = (\det(\alpha))^k \cdot (cz + d)^2 \cdot f \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right)$$

if $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. The element $T(n) \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ acts on $M_k(\Gamma(1))$ as in the old definition, and (8) implies that

$$T(n) \cdot T(m) \cdot f = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(m, n)} d^{2k-1} \cdot T(mn/d^2) \cdot f.$$

We now define a Hecke algebra for $\Gamma(N)$. For this we take $\Delta(N)$ to be the set of integer matrices $\alpha$ such that $n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \det(\alpha)$ is positive and prime to $N$, and $\alpha \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ mod $N$.

**Lemma 5.33** Let $\Delta'(N)$ be the set of integer matrices with positive determinant prime to $N$. Then the map

$$\Gamma(N) \cdot \alpha \cdot \Gamma(N) \mapsto \Gamma(1) \cdot \alpha \cdot \Gamma(1); \mathcal{H}(\Gamma(N), \Delta(N)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma(1), \Delta'(N))$$

is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Elementary. (See Ogg 1969, pIV-10.)

Let $T^N(a, d)$ and $T^N(n)$ be the elements of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma(N), \Delta(N))$ corresponding to $T(a, d)$ and $T(n)$ in $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma(1), \Delta'(N))$ under the isomorphism in the lemma. Note that $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma(1), \Delta'(N))$ is a subring of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma(1), \Delta)$. From the identity (8), we obtain the identity

$$T^N(n)T^N(m) = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(n, m)} d \cdot T^N(d, d) \cdot T^N(mn/d^2) \quad (10)$$

for $(mn, N) = 1$.

When we let $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma(N), \Delta(N))$ act on $M_k(\Gamma(N))$ by the rule (9), the identity (8) translates into a slightly different identity for operators on $M_k(\Gamma(N))$. (The key point is that $\begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta'(N)$ if $\gcd(d, N) = 1$ but not $\Delta(N)$—see Ogg 1969, pIV-12). For $f \in M_k(\Gamma(N))$, we have the identity

$$T^N(n) \cdot T^N(m) \cdot f = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(m, n)} d^{2k-1} \cdot R_d \cdot T^N(mn/d^2) \cdot f$$

for $(mn, N) = 1$. Here $R_d$ is a matrix in $\Gamma(1)$ such that $R_d \equiv \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ mod $N$. 

---
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---
The term \( R_d \) causes problems. Let \( V = \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma(N)) \). If \( d \equiv 1 \mod N \), then \( R_d \in \Gamma(N) \), and so it acts as the identity map on \( V \). Therefore \( d \mapsto R_d \) defines an action of \((\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times\) on \( V \), and so we can decompose \( V \) into a direct sum,

\[
V = \bigoplus V(\varepsilon),
\]

over the characters \( \varepsilon \) of \((\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times\), where

\[
V(\varepsilon) = \{ f \in V \mid f|_k R_d = \varepsilon(d) \cdot f \}.
\]

**Lemma 5.34** The operators \( R_n \) and \( T^N(m) \) on \( V \) commute for \((nm, N) = 1 \). Hence \( V(\varepsilon) \) is invariant under \( T^N(m) \).


Let \( \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma(N), \varepsilon) = V(\varepsilon) \). Then \( T^N(n) \) acts on \( \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma(N), \varepsilon) \) with the basic identity:

\[
T^N(n) \cdot T^N(m) = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(n, m)} d^{2k-1} \cdot \varepsilon(d) \cdot T^N(nm/d^2),
\]

for \((nm, N) = 1 \).

**Proposition 5.35** Let \( f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma(N), \varepsilon) \) have the Fourier expansion \( f = \sum a_n q^n \). Assume that \( f \) is an eigenform for all \( T^N(n) \), and normalize it so that \( a_1 = 1 \). Then

\[
L_N(f, s) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\gcd(n, N) = 1} a_n n^{-s} = \prod_{\gcd(p, N) = 1} \frac{1}{1 - a_p p^{-s} + \varepsilon(p) p^{2k-1-2s}}.
\]

**Proof.** Essentially the same as the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Let \( U = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \) (it would be too confusing to continue denoting it as \( T \)). Then \( U^N \in \Gamma(N) \), and so

\[
f \mapsto f|_k U^m = f(z + m)
\]
defines an action of \( \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \) on \( V \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma(N)) \). We can decompose \( V \) into a direct sum over the characters of \( \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \). But the characters of \( \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \) are parametrized by the \( N \)th roots of one in \( \mathbb{C} \)—the character corresponding to \( \zeta \) is \( m \mod N \mapsto \zeta^m \). Thus

\[
V = \bigoplus_{\zeta} V(\zeta), \quad \zeta \text{ an } N \text{th root of } 1,
\]

where \( V(\zeta) = \{ f \in V \mid U^m \cdot f = \zeta^m f \} \). Alas \( V(\zeta) \) is not invariant under \( T^N(n) \). To remedy this, we have to consider, for each \( t \mid N \),

\[
V(t) = \bigoplus_{\zeta} V(\zeta), \quad \zeta \text{ a primitive } (N/t) \text{th root of } 1.
\]

Let \( m \) be an integer divisible only by the primes dividing \( N \); we define

\[
T^t(m) = m^{k-1} \cdot \sum_{0 \leq b < m} f|_k \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ bN/t \\ 0 \\ m \end{array} \right).
\]

For a general \( n > 1 \), we write \( n = mn_0 \) with \( \gcd(n_0, N) = 1 \), and set

\[
T^t(n) = T(n_0) \cdot T^t(m).
\]

We then have the relation:

\[
T^t(n) \cdot T^t(m) \cdot f = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(n, m)} \varepsilon(d) d^{2k-1} T^t(nm/d^2) \cdot f
\]

for \( f \in V(\varepsilon, t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} V(\varepsilon) \cap V(t) \).
Theorem 5.36  Let \( f \in V(\tau, \varepsilon) \) have the Fourier expansion \( f(z) = \sum a_n q^n \). If \( a_1 = 1 \) and \( f \) is an eigenform for all the \( T^t(n) \) with \( \gcd(n, N) = 1 \), then the associated Dirichlet series has the Euler product expansion

\[
\sum a_n n^{-s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1-a_p p^{-s} + \varepsilon(p) p^{2k-1-2s}}.
\]


In the statement of the theorem, we have extended \( \varepsilon \) from \( (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \) to \( \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \) by setting \( \varepsilon(p) = 0 \) for \( p | N \). Thus \( \varepsilon(p) = 0 \) if \( p | N \) and \( a_p = 0 \) if \( p \nmid N \). This should be compared with the \( L \)-series of an elliptic curve \( E \) with conductor \( N \), where the \( p \)-factor of the \( L \)-series is \((1 \pm p^{-s})^{-1}\) if \( p | N \) but \( p^2 \) does not divide \( N \), and is 1 if \( p^2 | N \).

Proposition 5.37  Let \( f \) and \( g \) be cusp forms for \( \Gamma(N) \) of weight \( 2k \) and character \( \varepsilon \). Then

\[
(T(n) \cdot f, g) = \varepsilon(n)(f, T(n) \cdot g)
\]


Unlike the case of forms for \( \Gamma(1) \), this does not imply that the eigenvalues are real. It does imply that \( M_k(\Gamma(N), \varepsilon, t) \) has a basis of eigenforms for the \( T(n) \) with \( \gcd(n, N) = 1 \) (but not for all \( T(n) \)).

For a summary of the theory of Hecke operators for \( \Gamma_0(N) \), see Milne 2006, V 4.
CHAPTER II

The Algebro-Geometric Theory

In this part we apply the preceding theory, first to obtain elliptic modular curves defined over number fields, and then to study the zeta functions of modular curves and of elliptic curves. There is considerable overlap between this part and my book on elliptic curves.

6 The Modular Equation for \( \Gamma_0(N) \)

For every congruence subgroup \( \Gamma \) of \( \Gamma(1) \), the algebraic curve \( \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \) is defined over a specific number field. As a first step toward proving this general statement, we find in this section a canonical polynomial \( F(X,Y) \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Q} \) such that the curve \( F(X,Y) = 0 \) is birationally equivalent to \( X_0(N) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}^* \).

Recall that

\[
\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \left| c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right. \right\}.
\]

If \( \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \), then

\[
\begin{pmatrix} N^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & N^{-1}b \\ Nc & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1),
\]

and so

\[
\Gamma_0(N) = \Gamma(1) \cap \gamma^{-1} \Gamma(1) \gamma.
\]

Note that \(-I \in \Gamma_0(N)\). In the map

\[
\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})
\]

the image of \( \Gamma_0(N) \) is the group of all matrices of the form \( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \) in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \). This group obviously has order \( N \cdot \varphi(N) \), and so (cf. 2.23),

\[
\mu \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\bar{\Gamma}(1) : \bar{\Gamma}_0(N)) = (\Gamma(1) : \Gamma_0(N)) = N \cdot \prod_{p \mid N} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right).
\]

(Henceforth, \( \bar{\Gamma} \) denotes the image of \( \Gamma \) in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{ \pm I \} \).) Consider the set of pairs \((c,d)\) of positive integers satisfying:

\[
gcd(c,d) = 1, \quad d \mid N, \quad 0 \leq c < N/d. \quad (11)
\]
For each such pair, we choose a pair \((a, b)\) of integers such that \(ad - bc = 1\). Then the matrices \(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\) form a set of representatives for \(\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \Gamma(1)\). (Check that they are not equivalent under left multiplication by elements of \(\Gamma_0(N)\), and that there is the correct number.)

If \(4|N\), then \(\Gamma_0(N)\) contains no elliptic elements of order 2, and if \(9|N\) then it contains no elliptic elements of order 3. The cusps for \(\Gamma_0(N)\) are represented by the pairs \((c, d)\) satisfying (11), modulo the equivalence relation:

\[(c, d) \sim (c', d') \text{ if } d = d' \text{ and } c' = c + m, \text{ some } m \in \mathbb{Z}.\]

For each \(d\), there are exactly \(\varphi(\gcd(d, N/d))\) inequivalent pairs, and so the number of cusps is

\[
\sum_{d|N, d > 0} \varphi(\gcd(d, N/d)).
\]

It is now possible to use Theorem 2.22 to compute the genus of \(X_0(N)\). (See Shimura 1971, p. 25, for more details on the above material.)

**Theorem 6.1** The field \(\mathbb{C}(X_0(N))\) of modular functions for \(\Gamma_0(N)\) is generated (over \(\mathbb{C}\)) by \(j(z)\) and \(j(Nz)\). The minimum polynomial \(F(j, Y) \in \mathbb{C}(j)[Y]\) of \(j(Nz)\) over \(\mathbb{C}(j)\) has degree \(\mu\). Moreover, \(F(j, Y)\) is a polynomial in \(j\) and has coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}\), i.e., \(F(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]\). When \(N > 1\), \(F(X, Y)\) is symmetric in \(X\) and \(Y\), and when \(N = p\) is prime,

\[F(X, Y) = X^{p+1} + Y^{p+1} - X^pY^p - XY \quad \text{mod } p.\]

**Proof.** Let \(\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)\) be an element of \(\Gamma_0(N)\) with \(c = Nc', c' \in \mathbb{Z}\). Then

\[j(N\gamma z) = j\left(\frac{Na z + Nb}{cz + d}\right) = j\left(\frac{Na z + N b}{N c' z + d}\right) = j\left(\frac{a(Nz) + N b}{c'(Nz) + d}\right) = j(Nz)\]

because \(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & N b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma(1)\). Therefore \(\mathbb{C}(j(z), j(Nz))\) is contained in the field of modular functions for \(\Gamma_0(N)\).

The curve \(X_0(N)\) is a covering of \(X(1)\) of degree \(\mu = (\Gamma(1) : \Gamma_0(N))\). From Proposition 1.16 we know that the field of meromorphic functions \(\mathbb{C}(X_0(N))\) on \(X_0(N)\) has degree \(\mu\) over \(\mathbb{C}(X(1)) = \mathbb{C}(j)\), but we shall prove this again. Let \(\{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{\mu}\}\) be a set of representatives for the right cosets of \(\Gamma_0(N)\) in \(\Gamma(1)\), so that

\[\Gamma(1) = \bigcup \Gamma_0(N)\gamma_i \quad \text{(disjoint union)}.\]

For every \(\gamma \in \Gamma(1)\), \(\{\gamma_1 \gamma, ..., \gamma_{\mu} \gamma\}\) is also a set of representatives for the right cosets of \(\Gamma_0(N)\) in \(\Gamma(1)\)—the set \(\{\Gamma_0(N)\gamma_i\gamma\}\) is just a permutation of the set \(\{\Gamma_0(N)\gamma_i\}\).

If \(f(z)\) is a modular function for \(\Gamma_0(N)\), then \(f(\gamma_1 z)\) depends only on the coset \(\Gamma_0(N)\gamma_1\). Hence the functions \(\{f(\gamma_1 z)\}\) are a permutation of the functions \(\{f(\gamma_1 z)\}\), and every symmetric polynomial in the \(f(\gamma_1 z)\) is invariant under \(\Gamma(1)\); since such a polynomial obviously satisfies the other conditions, it is a modular function for \(\Gamma(1)\), and hence a rational function of \(j\). We have shown that \(f(z)\) satisfies a polynomial of degree \(\mu\) with coefficients in \(\mathbb{C}(j)\), namely, \(\prod(Y - f(\gamma_1 z))\). Since this holds for every \(f \in \mathbb{C}(X_0(N))\), we see that \(\mathbb{C}(X_0(N))\) has degree at most \(\mu\) over \(\mathbb{C}(j)\).

Next I claim that all the \(f(\gamma_1 z)\) are conjugate to \(f(z)\) over \(\mathbb{C}(j)\): for let \(F(j, Y)\) be the minimum polynomial of \(f(z)\) over \(\mathbb{C}(j)\); in particular, \(F(j, Y)\) is monic and irreducible when regarded as a polynomial in \(Y\) with coefficients in \(\mathbb{C}(j)\); on replacing \(z\) with \(\gamma_1 z\) and remembering that \(j(\gamma_1 z) = j(z)\), we find that \(F(j(z), f(\gamma_1 z)) = 0\), which proves the claim.
If we can show that the functions \( j(N \gamma z) \) are distinct, then it will follow that the minimum polynomial of \( j(Nz) \) over \( \mathbb{C}(j) \) has degree \( \mu \); hence \([ \mathbb{C}(X_0(N)) : \mathbb{C}(j)] = \mu \) and \( \mathbb{C}(X_0(N)) = \mathbb{C}(j(z))[j(Nz)] \).

Suppose \( j(N \gamma z) = j(N \gamma_{i^j} z) \) for some \( i \neq i' \). Recall that \( j \) defines an isomorphism \( \Gamma(1) \setminus \mathbb{H}^* \to \) (Riemann sphere), and so, if \( j(N \gamma z) = j(N \gamma_{i^j} z) \) for all \( z \), then there exists a \( \gamma \in \Gamma(1) \) such that \( N \gamma z = \gamma(N \gamma_{i^j} z) \) for all \( z \), which implies that

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} N \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \gamma_i = \pm \gamma \left( \begin{array}{c} N \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \gamma_{i'}.
\]

Hence \( \gamma_i \gamma_{i'}^{-1} \in \Gamma(1) \cap \left( \begin{array}{c} N \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(1) \left( \begin{array}{c} N \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \Gamma_0(N) \), and this contradicts the fact that \( \gamma_i \) and \( \gamma_{i'} \) lie in different cosets.

The minimum polynomial of \( j(Nz) \) over \( \mathbb{C}(j) \) is \( F(j, Y) = \prod (Y - j(N \gamma z)) \). The symmetric polynomials in the \( j(N \gamma z) \) are holomorphic on \( \mathbb{H} \). As they are rational functions of \( j(z) \), they must in fact be polynomials in \( j(z) \), and so \( F(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y] \) (rather than \( \mathbb{C}(X)[Y] \)).

But we know (4.22) that

\[
j(z) = q^{-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n q^n \tag{12}
\]

with the \( c_n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Consider \( j(N \gamma z) \) for some \( \gamma = \left( \begin{array}{c} a' \\ b' \\ \frac{c'}{d'} \end{array} \right) \in \Gamma(1) \). Then \( N \gamma z = \left( \begin{array}{c} Na' \\ Nb' \\ \frac{c'}{d'} \end{array} \right) z \), and \( j(N \gamma z) \) is unchanged when we act on the matrix on the left by an element of \( \Gamma^1(1) \). Therefore (see 5.15)

\[
j(N \gamma z) = j\left( \frac{az + b}{d} \right)
\]

for some integers \( a, b, d \) with \( ad = N \). On substituting \( \frac{az + b}{d} \) for \( z \) in (12) and noting that \( e^{2\pi i (az+b)/d} = e^{2\pi i b/d} \cdot e^{2\pi i az/d} \), we find that \( j(N \gamma z) \) has a Fourier expansion in powers of \( q^{1/N} \) whose coefficients are in \( \mathbb{Z}[e^{2\pi i/N}] \), and hence are algebraic integers. The same is then true of the symmetric polynomials in the \( j(N \gamma z) \). We know that these symmetric polynomials lie in \( \mathbb{C}[j(z)] \), and I claim that in fact they are polynomials in \( j \) with coefficients that are algebraic integers.

Consider a polynomial \( P = \sum c_n j^n \in \mathbb{C}[j] \) whose coefficients are not all algebraic integers. If \( c_m \) is the coefficient having the smallest subscript among those that are not algebraic integers, then the coefficient of \( q^{-m} \) in the \( q \)-expansion of \( P \) is not an algebraic integer, and so \( P \) cannot be equal to a symmetric polynomial in the \( j(N \gamma z) \).

Thus \( F(X, Y) = \sum c_{m,n} X^{m} Y^{n} \) with the \( c_{m,n} \) algebraic integers (and \( c_{0,\mu} = 1 \)).

When we substitute (12) into the equation

\[
F(j(z), j(Nz)) = 0,
\]

and equate coefficients of powers of \( q \), we obtain a set of linear equations for the \( c_{m,n} \) with rational coefficients. When we adjoin the equation

\[
c_{0,\mu} = 1,
\]

then the equations determine the \( c_{m,n} \) uniquely (because there is only one monic minimum equation for \( j(Nz) \) over \( \mathbb{C}(j) \)). Because the system of linear equations has a solution in \( \mathbb{C} \), it also has a solution in \( \mathbb{Q} \); because the solution is unique, the solution in \( \mathbb{C} \) must in fact lie in \( \mathbb{Q} \). Thus the \( c_{m,n} \in \mathbb{Q} \), but we know that they are algebraic integers, and so they lie in \( \mathbb{Z} \).
Now assume $N > 1$. On replacing $z$ with $-1/Nz$ in the equation $F(j(z), j(Nz)) = 0$, we obtain

$$F(j(-1/Nz), j(-1/z)) = 0,$$

which, because of the invariance of $j$, is just the equation

$$F(j(Nz), j(z)) = 0.$$

This shows that $F(Y, X)$ is a multiple of $F(X, Y)$ (recall that $F(X, Y)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}[X][Y]$, and hence in $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$), say, $F(Y, X) = cF(X, Y)$. On equating coefficients, one sees that $c^2 = 1$, and so $c = \pm 1$. But $c = -1$ would imply that $F(X, X) = 0$, and so $X - Y$ would be a factor of $F(X, Y)$, which contradicts the irreducibility. Hence $c = 1$, and $F(X, Y)$ is symmetric.

Finally, suppose $N = p$, a prime. The argument following (12) shows in this case that the functions $j(p^{-i}z)$ for $i \neq 1$ are exactly the functions:

$$j\left(\frac{z+m}{p}\right), \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, p-1.$$

Let $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$, and let $p$ denote the prime ideal $(1 - \zeta_p)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Then $p^{p-1} = (p)$. When we regard the functions $j(z+mp)$ as power series in $q$, then we see that they are all congruent modulo $p$ (meaning that their coefficients are congruent modulo $p$), and so

$$F(j(z), Y) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (Y - j(pz)) \prod_{m=0}^{p-1} (Y - j(\frac{z+m}{p}))$$

$$\overset{\text{mod } p}{=} (Y - j(pz))(Y - j(z/p))^p$$

$$\overset{\text{mod } p}{=} (Y - j(z))^p(Y^p - j(z)).$$

This implies the last equation in the theorem. $\square$

**Example 6.2** For $N = 2$, the equation is

$$X^3 + Y^3 - X^2Y^2 + 1488XY(X + Y) - 162000(X^2 + Y^2) + 40773375XY + 8748000000(X + Y) - 157464000000000 = 0.$$

Rather a lot of effort (for over a century) has been put into computing $F(X, Y)$ for small values of $N$. For a discussion of how to do it (complete with dirty tricks), see Birch’s article in Modular Functions of One Variable, Vol I, SLN 320 (Ed. W. Kuyk).

The modular equation $F_N(X, Y) = 0$ was introduced by Kronecker, and used by Kronecker and Weber in the theory of complex multiplication. For $N = 3$, it was computed by Smith in 1878; for $N = 5$ it was computed by Berwick in 1916; for $N = 7$ it was computed by Herrmann in 1974; for $N = 11$ it was computed by MACSYMA in 1984. This last computation took 20 hours on a VAX-780; the result is a polynomial of degree 21 with coefficients up to $10^{60}$ which takes 5 pages to write out. See Kaltofen and Yui, On the modular equation of order 11, Proc. of the Third MACSYMA’s user’s Conference, 1984, pp. 472–485.

Clearly one gets nowhere with brute force methods in this subject.
7 The Canonical Model of $X_0(N)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$

After reviewing some algebraic geometry, we define the canonical model of $X_0(N)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

**Brief review of algebraic geometry**

Theorem 6.1 will allow us to define a model of $X_0(N)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, but before explaining this I need to review some basic definitions from algebraic geometry. First we need a slightly more abstract notion of sheaf than that on p. 16.

**Definition 7.1** A presheaf $F$ on a topological space $X$ is a map assigning to each open subset $U$ of $X$ a set $F(U)$ and to each inclusion $U \supset U'$ a “restriction” map

$$a \mapsto a|U' : F(U) \to F(U')$$

The restriction map corresponding to $U \supset U$ is required to be the identity map, and if $U \supset U' \supset U''$, then the restriction map $F(U) \to F(U')$ and $F(U') \to F(U'')$.

If the sets $F(U)$ are abelian groups and the restriction maps are homomorphisms, then $F$ is called a presheaf of abelian groups (similarly for a sheaf of rings, modules, etc.).

A presheaf $F$ is a sheaf if for every open covering $\{U_i\}$ of $U \subset X$ and family of elements $a_i \in F(U_i)$ agreeing on overlaps (that is, such that $a_i|U_i \cap U_j = a_j|U_i \cap U_j$ for all $i, j$), there is a unique element $a \in F(U)$ such that $a_i = a|U_i$ for all $i$.

A ringed space is a pair $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ consisting of a topological space $X$ and a sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_X$ on $X$. With the obvious notion of morphism, the ringed spaces form a category.

Let $k_0$ be a field, and let $k$ be an algebraic closure of $k_0$. An affine $k_0$-algebra $A$ is a finitely generated $k_0$-algebra such that $k \otimes_{k_0} A$ is reduced, i.e., has no nonzero nilpotent elements. This is stronger than saying that $A$ itself is reduced: for example,

$$A \overset{\text{def}}{=} k_0[X, Y]/(X^p + Y^p + a)$$

is an integral domain when $p = \text{char}(k_0)$ and $a \notin k_0^p$, because $X^p + Y^p + a$ is then irreducible, but obviously

$$k \otimes_{k_0} A = k[X, Y]/(X^p + Y^p + a)$$

$$= k[X, Y]/((X + Y + a^{\frac{1}{p}})^p)$$

is not reduced. This problem arises only because of inseparability—if $k_0$ is perfect, then every reduced finitely generated $k_0$-algebra is an affine $k_0$-algebra.

Let $A$ be a finitely generated $k_0$-algebra. We can write

$$A = k_0[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = k_0[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(f_1, \ldots, f_m),$$

and then

$$k \otimes_{k_0} A = k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(f_1, \ldots, f_m).$$

Thus $A$ is an affine algebra if and only if the elements $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ of $k_0[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ generate a radical ideal$^1$ in $k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$.

$^1$An ideal $a$ is radical if

$$f^n \in a, \quad n \geq 1 \implies f \in a.$$
Let \( A \) be an affine \( k_0 \)-algebra. Define \( \text{specm}(A) \) to be the set of maximal ideals in \( A \), and endow it with the topology for which the sets

\[ D(f) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ m \mid f \notin m \}, \quad f \in A, \]

form a base for the open sets. There is a unique sheaf of \( k_0 \)-algebras \( \mathcal{O} \) on \( \text{specm}(A) \) such that \( \mathcal{O}(D(f)) = A_f \overset{\text{def}}{=} A[f^{-1}] \) for all \( f \). Here \( \mathcal{O} \) is a sheaf in the abstract sense—the elements of \( \mathcal{O}(U) \) are not functions on \( U \) with values in \( k_0 \), although we may wish to think of them as if they were. For \( f \in A \) and \( m_0 \in \text{specm} A \), we define \( f(v) \) to be the image of \( f \) in the \( \kappa(v) \overset{\text{def}}{=} A/m_0 \). Then \( v \mapsto f(v) \) is not a function on \( \text{specm}(A) \) in the conventional sense because, unless \( k_0 = k \), the fields \( \kappa(v) \) vary with \( v \), but it does make sense to speak of the set \( V(f) \) of zeros of \( f \) in \( X \), and this zero set is the complement of \( D(f) \).

The ringed space

\[ \text{Specm}(A) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\text{specm}(A), \mathcal{O}), \]

as well as every ringed space isomorphic to such a space, is called an affine variety over \( k_0 \). A ringed space \( (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \) is a prevariety over \( k_0 \) if there exists a finite covering \( (U_i) \) of \( X \) by open subsets such that \( (U_i, \mathcal{O}_X|U_i) \) is an affine variety over \( k_0 \) for all \( i \).

A morphism, or regular map, of prevarieties over \( k_0 \) is a morphism of ringed spaces. For example, when \( k_0 = k \), we can regard \( \mathcal{O}_X \) as a sheaf of \( k \)-valued functions on \( X \), and a regular map of prevarieties \( (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \) is a continuous map \( \varphi : X \rightarrow Y \) such that

\[ f \in \mathcal{O}_Y(U), \ U \text{ open in } Y \implies f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}U). \]

When \( k_0 \neq k \), it is necessary to specify also the map on sheaves. A prevariety \( X \) over \( k \) is separated if for all pairs of regular maps \( Z \rightarrow X \), the set where the maps agree is closed in \( Z \). A variety is a separated prevariety.

When \( V = \text{Specm} A \) and \( W = \text{Specm} B \), there is a one-to-one correspondence between the regular maps \( W \rightarrow V \) and the homomorphisms of \( k_0 \)-algebras \( A \rightarrow B \). For example, if

\[
A = \frac{k_0[X_1, \ldots, X_m]}{a} = k[x_1, \ldots, x_m] \\
B = \frac{k_0[Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]}{b} = k[y_1, \ldots, y_n],
\]

then a homomorphism \( A \rightarrow B \) is determined by a family of polynomials

\[(P_i)_{i=1, \ldots, m}, \quad P_i \in k_0[Y_1, \ldots, Y_n].\]

(representatives for the images of \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \)). The corresponding regular map \( W \rightarrow V \) sends \((b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in W(k)\) to \((\ldots, P_i(b_1, \ldots, b_n), \ldots) \in V(k)\). In order to define a homomorphism, the \( P_i \) must be such that

\[ F \in a \Rightarrow F(P_1, \ldots, P_m) \in b; \tag{13} \]

in particular, if \((b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in W(k), \) then (13) implies that \( F(\ldots, P_i(b_1, \ldots, b_n), \ldots) = 0, \) and so \( W(k) \) does map into \( V(k) \). Two families \( P_1, \ldots, P_m \) and \( Q_1, \ldots, Q_m \) determine the same map if and only if \( P_i \equiv Q_i \mod b \) for all \( i \).

There is a canonical way of attaching a variety \( X \) over \( k \) to a variety \( X_0 \) over \( k_0 \); for example, if \( X_0 = \text{Specm}(A) \), then \( X = \text{Specm}(k \otimes_{k_0} A) \). We then call \( X_0 \) a model for \( X \) over \( k_0 \). When \( X \subset k^n \), to give a model for \( X \) over \( k_0 \) is the same as giving an ideal \( \alpha_0 \subset k_0[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \) such that \( \alpha_0 \) generates the ideal of \( X \),

\[ I(X) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ f \in k[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \mid f = 0 \text{ on } X \}. \]
Of course, $X$ need not have a model over $k_0$—for example, an elliptic curve $E$ over $k$ will have a model over $k_0 \subset k$ if and only if its $j$-invariant $j(E)$ lies in $k_0$. Moreover, when $X$ has a model over $k_0$, it will usually have a large number of them, no two of which are isomorphic over $k_0$. For example, let $X$ be a nondegenerate quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ over $k$. Such a surface is isomorphic to the surface

$$X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + W^2 = 0.$$  

The models of $X$ over $k_0$ are defined by equations

$$aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 + dW^2 = 0, \quad a, b, c, d \in k_0, \quad abc \neq 0.$$  

Thus classifying the models of $X$ over $k_0$ amounts to classifying the equivalence classes of quadratic forms over $k_0$ in 4 variables. If $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}$, there are infinitely many.

Let $X$ be a variety over $k_0$. A point of $X$ with coordinates in $k_0$, or a $k_0$-point of $X$, is a morphism $\text{Spec} m \to X$. For example, if $X$ is affine, say $X = \text{Spec} A$, then a point of $X$ with coordinates in $k_0$ is a $k_0$-homomorphism $A \to k_0$. If $A = k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(f_1, \ldots, f_m)$, then to give a $k_0$-homomorphism $A \to k_0$ is the same as giving an $n$-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ such that

$$f_i(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0 \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.$$  

In other words, a point of $X$ with coordinates in $k_0$ is exactly what you expect it to be. Similar remarks apply to projective varieties. We write $X(k_0)$ for the points of $X$ with coordinates in $k_0$.

Similarly, it is possible to define the notion of a point of $X$ with coordinates in any $k_0$-algebra $R$, and we write $X(R)$ for the set of such points. For example, when $X = \text{Spec} A$,

$$X(R) = \text{Hom}_{k_0\text{-algebra}}(A, R).$$  

When $k = k_0$, $X(k_0) \simeq X$. How is $X(k_0)$ related to $X$ when $k \neq k_0$? Let $v \in X$. Then $v$ corresponds to a maximal ideal $m_v$ (actually, it is a maximal ideal), and we write $\kappa(v)$ for the residue field $\mathcal{O}_v/m_v$. This is a finite extension of $k_0$, and we call the degree of $\kappa(v)$ over $k_0$ the degree of $v$. The set $X(k_0)$ can be identified with the set of points $v$ of $X$ of degree 1. (Suppose for example that $X$ is affine, say $X = \text{Spec} A$. Then a point $v$ of $X$ is a maximal ideal $m_v$ in $A$. Obviously, $m_v$ is the kernel of a $k_0$-homomorphism $A \to k_0$ if and only if $\kappa(v) \cong A/m_v = k_0$, in which case it is the kernel of exactly one such homomorphism.)

The set $X(k)$ can be identified with the set of points on $X_k$, where $X_k$ is the variety over $k$ defined by $X$. When $k_0$ is perfect, there is an action of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$ on $X(k)$, and one can show that there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the orbits of the action and the points of $X$. (Again suppose $X = \text{Spec} A$, and let $v \in X$; then $v$ corresponds to the set of $k_0$-homomorphisms $A \to k$ with kernel $m_v$, which is a single orbit for the action of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$.)

Assume $k_0$ is perfect. As we just noted, if $X_0$ is a variety over $k_0$, then there is an action of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$ on $X_0(k)$. The variety $X \overset{\text{def}}{=} (X_0)_k$ together with the action of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$ on $X(k)$ determine $X_0$. For example, if $X_0 = \text{Spec} A_0$, so $X = \text{Spec} A$ with $A = k \otimes_{k_0} A_0$, then the action of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$ on $X(k)$ determines an action of $\text{Gal}(k/k_0)$ on $A$ and $A_0 = A^{\text{Gal}(k/k_0)}$.

For more details on this material, see my notes on Algebraic Geometry (AG), especially Chapters 2, 3, and 11.
Curves and Riemann surfaces

Fix a field $k_0$, and let $X$ be a connected algebraic variety over $k_0$. The function field $k_0(X)$ of $X$ is the field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ for any open affine subset $U$ of $X$; for example, if $X = \text{Spec } A$, then $k_0(X)$ is the field of fractions of $A$. The dimension of $X$ is defined to be the transcendence degree of $k_0(X)$ over $k_0$. An algebraic curve is an algebraic variety of dimension 1.

To each point $v$ of $X$ there is attached a local ring $\mathcal{O}_v$. For example, if $X = \text{Spec } A$, then a point $v$ of $X$ is a maximal ideal $m$ in $A$, and the local ring attached to $v$ is $A_m$. An algebraic variety is said to be regular if all the local rings $A_m$ are regular (“regular” is a weaker condition than “nonsingular”; nonsingular implies regular, and the two are equivalent when the ground field $k_0$ is algebraically closed).

Consider an algebraic curve $X$. Then $X$ is regular if and only if the local rings attached to it are discrete valuation rings. For example, $\text{Spec } A$ is a regular curve if and only if $A$ is a Dedekind domain. A regular curve $X$ defines a set of discrete valuation rings in $k_0(X)$, each of which contains $k_0$, and $X$ is complete if and only if this set includes all the discrete valuation rings in $k_0(X)$ having $k_0(X)$ as field of fractions and containing $k_0$.

A field $K$ containing $k_0$ is said to be a function field in $n$ variables over $k_0$ if it is finitely generated and has transcendence degree $n$ over $k_0$. The field of constants of $K$ is the algebraic closure of $k_0$ in $K$. Thus the function field of an algebraic variety over $k_0$ of dimension $n$ is a function field in $n$ variables over $k_0$ (whence the terminology).

**Theorem 7.2** The map $X \rightarrow k_0(X)$ defines an equivalence from the category of complete regular irreducible algebraic curves over $k_0$ to the category of function fields in one variable over $k_0$; the curve $X$ is geometrically irreducible if and only if $k_0$ is the field of constants of $k_0(X)$.

**Proof.** The curve corresponding to the field $K$ can be constructed as follows: take $X$ to be the set of discrete valuation rings in $K$ containing $k_0$ and having $K$ as their field of fractions; define a subset $U$ of $X$ to be open if it omits only finitely many elements of $X$; for such a $U$, define $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ to be the intersection of the discrete valuation rings in $U$.

**Corollary 7.3** A regular curve $U$ can be embedded into a complete regular curve $\bar{U}$; the map $U \rightarrow \bar{U}$ is universal among maps from $U$ into complete regular curves.

**Proof.** Take $\bar{U}$ to be the complete regular algebraic curve attached to $k_0(U)$. There is an obvious identification of $U$ with an open subset of $\bar{U}$.

**Example 7.4** Let $F(X,Y)$ be an absolutely irreducible polynomial in $k_0[X,Y]$, and let $A = k_0[X,Y]/(F(X,Y))$. Thus $A$ is an affine $k_0$-algebra, and $C \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Specm } A$ is the curve $F(X,Y) = 0$. Let $C^{\text{ns}}$ be the complement in $C$ of the set of maximal ideals of $A$ containing the ideal $(\partial F/\partial X, \partial F/\partial Y) \mod F(X,Y)$. Then $C^{\text{ns}}$ is a nonsingular curve, and hence can be embedded into a complete regular curve $\bar{C}$.

There is a geometric way of constructing $\bar{C}$, at least in the case that $k_0 = k$ is algebraically closed. First consider the plane projective curve $C'$ defined by the homogeneous equation

$$Z^{\deg(F)} F(X/Z, Y/Z) = 0.$$ 

This is a projective (hence complete) algebraic curve which, in general, will have singular points. It is possible to resolve these singularities geometrically, and so obtain a nonsingular projective curve (see W. Fulton 1969, p. 179).

---
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Remark 7.6 Theorems 7.2 and 7.5 depend crucially on the hypothesis that the variety has
dimension 1.

In general, many different complete nonsingular algebraic varieties can have the same
function field. A nonsingular variety \(U\) over a field of characteristic zero can be embedded
in a complete nonsingular variety \(\widetilde{U}\), but this is a very difficult theorem (proved by Hironaka
in 1964), and \(\widetilde{U}\) is very definitely not unique. For a variety of dimension \(>3\) over a field of
characteristic \(p>0\), even the existence of \(\widetilde{U}\) is not known.

For a curve, “complete” is equivalent to “projective”; for smooth surfaces they are
also equivalent, but in higher dimensions there are many complete nonprojective varieties
(although Chow’s lemma says that a complete variety is not too far away from a projective
variety).

Many compact complex manifolds of dimension \(>1\) have no algebraic structure.

The curve \(X_0(N)\) over \(Q\)

According to Theorem 7.5, there is a unique structure of a complete nonsingular curve on
\(X_0(N)\) compatible with its structure as a Riemann surface. We write \(X_0(N)_{C}\) for \(X_0(N)\)
regarded as an algebraic curve over \(C\). Note that \(X_0(N)_{C}\) is the unique complete nonsingular
curve over \(C\) having the field \(C(j(z), j(Nz))\) of modular functions for \(Gamma_0(N)\) as its field of
rational functions.

Now write \(F_N(X, Y)\) for the polynomial constructed in Theorem 6.1, and let \(C\) be the
curve over \(Q\) defined by the equation:

\[F_N(X, Y) = 0.\]

As is explained above, we can remove the singular points of \(C\) to obtain a nonsingular curve
\(C^{ns}\) over \(Q\), and then we can embed \(C^{ns}\) into a complete regular curve \(\bar{C}\). The coordinate
functions \(x\) and \(y\) are rational functions on \(\bar{C}\), they generate the field of rational functions
on \(\bar{C}\), and they satisfy the relation \(F_N(x, y) = 0\); these statements characterize \(\bar{C}\) and the
pair of functions \(x, y\) on it.

Let \(\bar{C}_C\) be the curve defined by \(\bar{C}\) over \(C\). It can also be obtained in the same way as \(\bar{C}\)
starting from the curve \(F_N(X, Y) = 0\), now thought of as a curve over \(C\). There is a unique
isomorphism \(\bar{C}_C \rightarrow X_0(N)_{C}\) making the rational functions \(x\) and \(y\) on \(\bar{C}_C\) correspond to
the functions \(j(z)\) and \(j(Nz)\) on \(X_0(N)\). We can use this isomorphism to identify the two
curves, and so we can regard \(\bar{C}\) as being a model of \(X_0(N)_{C}\) over \(Q\). We denote it by
\(X_0(N)_{Q}\). (In fact, we often omit the subscripts from \(X_0(N)_{C}\) and \(X_0(N)_{Q}\).)

We can be a little more explicit: on an open subset, the isomorphism \(\hat{\Omega}x\)
\(C\) to \(\bar{C}_C\) is simply the map \([z] \mapsto (j(z), j(Nz))\) (regarding this pair as a point on the affine curve
\(F_N(X, Y) = 0\)).

The action of \(Aut(C)\) on \(X_0(N)\) corresponding to the model \(X_0(N)_{Q}\) has the following
description: for \(r \in Aut(C)\), \(\tau[z] = [z']\) if \(\tau j(z) = j(z')\) and \(\tau j(Nz) = j(Nz')\).

The curve \(X_0(N)_{Q}\) is called the canonical model of \(X_0(N)\) over \(Q\). The canonical
model \(X(1)_{Q}\) of \(X(1)\) is just the projective line \(\mathbb{P}^1\) over \(Q\). If the field of rational functions on
\( \mathbb{P}^1 \) is \( \mathbb{Q}(T) \), then the identification of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) with \( X(1) \) is made in such a way that \( T \) corresponds to \( j \).

The quotient map \( X_0(N) \to X(1) \) corresponds to the map of algebraic curves \( X_0(N)_\mathbb{Q} \to X(1)_\mathbb{Q} \) defined by the inclusion of function fields \( \mathbb{Q}(T) \to \mathbb{Q}(x, y) \), \( T \mapsto x \). On an open subset of \( X_0(N)_\mathbb{Q} \), it is the projection map \( (a, b) \mapsto a \).
8 Modular Curves as Moduli Varieties

Algebraic geometers and analysts worked with “moduli varieties” that classify isomorphism classes of certain objects for a hundred years before Mumford gave a precise definition of a moduli variety in the 1960s. In this section I explain the general notion of a moduli variety, and then I explain how to realize the modular curves as moduli varieties for elliptic curves with additional structure.

The general notion of a moduli variety

Fix a field \( k \) which initially we assume to be algebraically closed. A moduli problem over \( k \) is a contravariant functor \( F \) from the category of algebraic varieties over \( k \) to the category of sets. In particular, for each variety \( V \) over \( k \) we are given a set \( F(V) \), and for each regular map \( \varphi: W \to V \), we are given a map \( F(\varphi): F(V) \to F(W) \). Typically, \( F(V) \) will be the set of isomorphism classes of certain objects over \( V \).

A solution to the moduli problem is a variety \( V \) over \( k \) together with an identification \( V(k) = F(k) \) and certain additional data sufficient to determine \( V \) uniquely. More precisely:

Definition 8.1 A pair \((V, \alpha)\) consisting of a variety \( V \) over \( k \) together with a bijection \( \alpha: F(k) \to V(k) \) is called a solution to the moduli problem \( F \) if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a) Let \( T \) be a variety over \( k \) and let \( f \in F(T) \); a point \( t \in T(k) \) can be regarded as a map \( \text{Spec} m_k \to T \), and so (by the functoriality of \( F \)) \( f \) defines an element \( f_t \) of \( F(k) \); we therefore have a map \( t \mapsto \alpha(f_t): T(k) \to V(k) \), and this map is required to be regular (i.e., defined by a morphism of algebraic varieties),

\[
\begin{align*}
T(k) & \to V(k) \\
\alpha(f_t) & \quad f \in F(T) \\
t & \quad t \mapsto f_t = F(t)(f) \in F(k).
\end{align*}
\]

(b) (Universality) Let \( Z \) be a variety over \( k \) and let \( \beta: F(k) \to Z(k) \) be a map such that, for every pair \((T, f)\) as in (a), the map \( t \mapsto \beta(\alpha(f_t)): T(k) \to Z(k) \) is regular; then the map \( \beta \circ \alpha^{-1}: V(k) \to Z(k) \) is regular,

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(k) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & V(k) \\
\beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \circ \alpha^{-1} \\
& Z(k) & \end{array}
\]

A variety \( V \) that occurs as the solution of a moduli problem is called a moduli variety.

Proposition 8.2 Up to a unique isomorphism, there exists at most one solution to a moduli problem.

Proof. Suppose there are two solutions \((V, \alpha)\) and \((V', \alpha')\). Then because of the universality of \((V, \alpha), \alpha' \circ \alpha^{-1}: V \to V'\) is a regular map, and because of the universality of \((V', \alpha')\), its inverse is also a regular map.

Of course, in general there may exist no solution to a moduli problem, and when there does exist a solution, it may be very difficult to prove it. Mumford was given the Fields medal mainly because of his construction of the moduli varieties of curves and abelian varieties.
We show that $A_E$.

The moduli variety for elliptic curves

We show that $\mathcal{A}^1$ is the moduli variety for elliptic curves over a perfect field $k_0$.

An elliptic curve $E$ over a field $k'$ is a curve given by an equation of the form,

$$Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3$$

for which the discriminant $\Delta(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6) \neq 0$. It has a distinguished point $(0:1:0)$, and an isomorphism of elliptic curves over $k'$ is an isomorphism of varieties carrying the distinguished point on one curve to the distinguished point on the second. (There is a unique group law on $E$ having the distinguished element as zero, and a morphism of elliptic curves is automatically a homomorphism of groups.)

Let $V$ be a variety over a field $k'$. An elliptic curve (better, family of elliptic curves) over $V$ is a map of algebraic varieties $E \to V$ where $E$ is the subvariety of $V \times \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by an equation of the form (14) with the $a_i$ regular functions on $V$; $\Delta(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6)$ is now a regular function on $V$ which is required to have no zeros.

For a variety $V$, let $\mathcal{E}(V)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $V$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is a contravariant functor, and so can be regarded as a moduli problem over $k_0$.

For any field $k'$ containing $k_0$, the $j$-invariant defines a map

$$E \mapsto j(E): \mathcal{E}(k') \to \mathcal{A}^1(k') = k',$$

and the theory of elliptic curves (Milne 2006, II 2.1) shows that this map is an isomorphism if $k'$ is algebraically closed (but not in general otherwise).

Theorem 8.4 The pair $(\mathcal{A}^1, j)$ is a solution to the moduli problem $\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. For any $k_0$-homomorphism $\sigma: k' \to k''$, $j(\sigma E) = \sigma j(E)$, and so it remains to show that $(\mathcal{A}^1, j)$ satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) over $k$.

Let $E \to T$ be a family of elliptic curves over $T$, where $T$ is a variety over $k$. The map $t \mapsto j(E_t): T(k) \to \mathcal{A}^1(k)$ is regular because $j(E_t) = c_4^3/\Delta$ where $c_4$ is a polynomial in the $a_i$ and $\Delta$ is a nowhere zero polynomial in the $a_i$.

Now let $(Z, \beta)$ be a pair as in (b). We have to show that $j \mapsto \beta(E_j): \mathcal{A}^1(k) \to Z(k)$, where $E_j$ is an elliptic curve over $k$ with $j$-invariant $j$, is regular. Let $U$ be the open subset of $\mathcal{A}^1$ obtained by removing the points 0 and 1728. Then

$$E: Y^2Z + XYZ = X^3 - \frac{36}{u - 1728}XZ^2 - \frac{1}{u - 1728}Z^3, \quad u \in U.$$
is an elliptic curve over $U$ with the property that $j(E_U) = u$ (Milne 2006, II 2.3). Because of the property possessed by $(Z, \beta)$, $E/U$ defines a regular map $u \mapsto \beta(E_U): U \to Z$. But this is just the restriction of the map $j \mapsto \beta(E_j)$ to $U(k)$, which is therefore regular, and it follows that $j$ itself is regular. 

\[ \square \]

**The curve $Y_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a moduli variety**

Let $k$ be a perfect field, and let $N$ be a positive integer not divisible by the characteristic of $k$ (so there is no restriction on $N$ when $k$ has characteristic zero). Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $k$. When $k$ is an algebraically closed field, a **cyclic subgroup of $E$ of order $N$** is simply a cyclic subgroup of $E(k)$ of order $N$ in the sense of abstract groups. When $k$ is not algebraically closed, a **cyclic subgroup** of $E$ is a Zariski-closed subset $S$ such that $S(k_{\text{alg}})$ is cyclic subgroup of $E(k_{\text{alg}})$ of order $N$. Thus $S(k_{\text{alg}})$ is a cyclic subgroup of order $N$ of $E(k_{\text{alg}})$ that is stable (as a set—not elementwise) under the action of $\text{Gal}(k_{\text{alg}}/k)$, and every such group arises from a (unique) $S$.

An **isomorphism** from one pair $(E, S)$ to a second $(E', S')$ is an isomorphism $E \to E'$ mapping $S$ onto $S'$.

These definitions can be extended in a natural way to families of elliptic curves over varieties.

For a variety $V$ over $k$, define $\mathcal{E}_{0,N}(V)$ to be the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(E, S)$ where $E$ is an elliptic curve over $V$, and $S$ is a cyclic subgroup of $E$ of order $N$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{0,N}$ is a contravariant functor, and hence is a moduli problem.

Recall that $\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is the lattice generated by a pair $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ with $\Im(\omega_1/\omega_2) > 0$. Note that $\Lambda(\omega_1, N^{-1}\omega_2)/\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ is a cyclic subgroup of order $N$ of the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)$.

**Lemma 8.5** The map

$$ \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{E}_{0,N}(\mathbb{C}), \quad z \mapsto (\mathbb{C}/\Lambda(z, 1), \Lambda(z, N^{-1})/\Lambda(z, 1)) $$

induces a bijection $\Gamma_0(N) \setminus \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{E}_{0,N}(\mathbb{C})$.

**Proof.** Easy—see Milne 2006, V 2.7. 

Let $\mathcal{E}_{0,N}'(k)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of homomorphisms of elliptic curves $\alpha: E \to E'$ over $k$ whose kernel is a cyclic subgroup of $E$ of order $N$. The map

$$ \alpha \mapsto (E, \text{Ker}(\alpha)): \mathcal{E}_{0,N}'(k) \to \mathcal{E}_{0,N}(k) $$

is a bijection; its inverse is $(E, S) \mapsto (E \to E/S)$. For example, the element

$$ (\mathbb{C}/\Lambda(z, 1), \Lambda(z, N^{-1})/\Lambda(z, 1)) $$

of $\mathcal{E}_{0,N}(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the element $(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda(z, 1) \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda(Nz, 1))$ of $\mathcal{E}_{0,N}'(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $F_N(X, Y)$ be the polynomial defined in Theorem 6.1 and let $C$ be the (singular) curve $F_N(X, Y) = 0$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. For any field $k \supset \mathbb{Q}$, consider the map

$$ \mathcal{E}_{0,N}'(k) \to \mathbb{A}^2(k), \quad (E, E') \mapsto (j(E), j(E')). $$

When $k = \mathbb{C}$, the above discussion shows that the image of this map is contained in $C(\mathbb{C})$, and this implies that the same is true for all $k$.

Recall that $Y_0(N) = \Gamma_0(N) \setminus \mathbb{H}$. There is an affine curve $Y_0(N)_\mathbb{Q} \subset X_0(N)_\mathbb{Q}$ which is a model of $Y_0(N) \subset X_0(N)$. (This just says that the set of cusps on $X_0(N)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.)
Theorem 8.6 Let \( k \) be a field, and let \( N \) be an integer not divisible by the characteristic of \( k \). The moduli problem \( \mathcal{E}_{0,N} \) has a solution \((M, \alpha)\) over \( k \). When \( k = \mathbb{Q} \), \( M \) is canonically isomorphic to \( Y_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}} \), and the map
\[
\mathcal{E}_{0,N}(k) \xrightarrow{\alpha} M(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}(k) \xrightarrow{(j,N)} C(k)
\]
is \((E,S) \mapsto (j(E), j(E/S))\).

Proof. When \( k = \mathbb{Q} \), it is possible to prove that \( Y_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}} \) is a solution to the moduli problem in much the same way as for \( \mathbb{A}^1 \) above. If \( p \nmid N \), then it is possible to show that \( Y_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}} \) has good reduction at \( p \), and the curve \( Y_0(N)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \) over \( \mathbb{F}_p \) it reduces to is a solution to the moduli problem over \( \mathbb{F}_p \).

The curve \( Y(N) \) as a moduli variety

Let \( N \) be a positive integer, and let \( \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \) be a primitive \( N \)th root of 1. A level-\( N \) structure on an elliptic curve \( E \) is a pair of points \( t = (t_1, t_2) \) in \( E(k) \) such that the map
\[
(m,m') \mapsto (mt_1, mt_2) : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \to E(k)
\]
is injective. This means that \( E(k)_N \) has order \( N^2 \), and \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) form a basis for \( E(k)_N \) as a \( \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \)-module. For any variety \( V \) over a field \( k \supset \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \), define \( \mathcal{E}_N(V) \) to be the set of isomorphism classes of pairs \((E,t)\) where \( E \) is an elliptic curve over \( V \) and \( t = (t_1, t_2) \) is a level-\( N \) structure on \( E \) such that \( e_N(t_1, t_2) = \zeta \) (here \( e_N \) is the Weil pairing—see Silverman III.8). Then \( \mathcal{E}_N \) is a contravariant functor, and hence is a moduli problem.

Lemma 8.7 The map
\[
\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{E}_N(\mathbb{C}), \quad z \mapsto (\mathbb{C}/\Lambda(z,1), (z/N,1/N) \mod \Lambda(z,1))
\]
duces a bijection \( \Gamma(N)\backslash \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{E}_N(\mathbb{C}) \).

Proof. Easy.

Theorem 8.8 Let \( k \) be a field containing \( \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \), where \( \zeta \) is a primitive \( N \)th root of 1. The moduli problem \( \mathcal{E}_N \) has a solution \((M, \alpha)\) over \( k \). When \( k = \mathbb{C} \), \( M \) is canonically isomorphic to \( Y(N)_{\mathbb{C}} \) (= \( X(N)_{\mathbb{C}} \) with the cusps removed). Let \( M \) be the solution to the moduli problem \( \mathcal{E}_N \) over \( \mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \); then \( M \) has good reduction at the prime ideals not dividing \( N \).

Proof. Omit.

Example 8.9 For \( N = 2 \), the solution to the moduli problem is \( \mathbb{A}^1 \). In this case, there is a universal elliptic curve with level-2 structure over \( \mathbb{A}^1 \), namely, the curve
\[
E: Y^2Z = X(X - Z)(X - \lambda Z).
\]
Here \( \lambda \) is the coordinate on \( \mathbb{A}^1 \), and the map \( E \to \mathbb{A}^1 \) is \((x : y : z, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda \). The level-2 structure is the pair of points \((0 : 0 : 1), (1 : 0 : 1) \). The curve \( E \) is universal in the following sense: for every family of elliptic curves \( E' \to V \) with level-2 structure over a variety \( V \) (with the same base field \( k \)), there is a unique morphism \( V \to \mathbb{A}^1 \) such that \( E' \) is the pull-back of \( E \). In this case the map \( \mathcal{E}(k) \to \mathbb{A}^1(k) \) is an isomorphism for all fields \( k \supset \mathbb{Q} \), and \( \mathbb{A}^1 \) is a fine moduli variety.
9 Modular Forms, Dirichlet Series, and Functional Equations

The most famous Dirichlet series, $\zeta(s) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$, was shown by Riemann (in 1859) to have an analytic continuation to the whole complex plane except for a simple pole at $s = 1$, and to satisfy a functional equation

$$Z(s) = Z(1-s)$$

where $Z(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)$. The Hasse-Weil conjecture states that all Dirichlet series arising as the zeta functions of algebraic varieties over number fields should have meromorphic continuations to the whole complex plane and satisfy functional equations. In this section we investigate the relation between Dirichlet series with functional equations and modular forms.

We saw in (2.12) that the modular group $\Gamma(1)$ is generated by the matrices $T = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ and $S = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Therefore a modular function $f(z)$ of weight $2k$ satisfies the following two conditions:

$$f(z + 1) = f(z), \quad f(-1/z) = (-z)^{2k} f(z).$$

The first condition implies that $f(z)$ has a Fourier expansion $f(z) = \sum a_n q^n$, and so defines a Dirichlet series $\varphi(s) = \sum a_n n^{-s}$. Hecke showed that the second condition implies that the Dirichlet series satisfies a functional equation, and conversely every Dirichlet series satisfying a functional equation of the correct form (and certain holomorphicity conditions) arises from a modular form. Weil extended this result to the subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$ of $\Gamma(1)$, which needs more than two generators (and so we need more than one functional equation for the Dirichlet series). In this section we explain Hecke’s and Weil’s results, and in later sections we explain the implications of Weil’s results for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$.

The Mellin transform

Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $a_n = O(n^M)$ for some $M$. This can be regarded as the sequence of coefficients of either the power series $f(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$, which is absolutely convergent for $|q| < 1$ at least, or for the Dirichlet series $\varphi(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$, which is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) > M + 1$ at least. In this subsection, we give explicit formulae that realize the formal correspondence between $f(y)$ and $\varphi(s)$.

Recall that the gamma function $\Gamma(s)$ is defined by the formula,

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{s-1} dx, \quad \Re(s) > 0.$$ 

It has the following properties: $\Gamma(s+1) = s \Gamma(s)$, $\Gamma(1) = 1$, and $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$; $\Gamma(s)$ extends to a function that is holomorphic on the whole complex plane, except for simple poles at $s = -n$, where it has a residue $\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

**Proposition 9.1 (Mellin Inversion Formula)** For every real $c > 0$,

$$e^{-x} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma(s) x^{-s} ds, \quad x > 0.$$ 

(The integral is taken upwards on a vertical line.)
II. The Algebro-Geometric Theory

PROOF. Regard the integral as taking place on a vertical circumference on the Riemann sphere. The calculus of residues shows that the integral is equal to

\[ 2\pi i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{res}_{s=-n} x^{-s} \Gamma(s) = 2\pi i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} = 2\pi i \cdot e^{-x}. \]

Alternatively, note that the Mellin inversion formula is just the Fourier inversion formula for the group \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) with invariant measure \( dx/x \).

**Theorem 9.2** Let \( a_1, a_2, \ldots \) be a sequence of complex numbers such that \( a_n = O(n^M) \) for some \( M \). Write \( f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-nx} \) and \( \phi(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \). Then

\[
\Gamma(s)\phi(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1}dx \quad \text{for} \quad \Re(s) > \max(0, M+1), \quad (*)
\]

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \phi(s)\Gamma(s)x^{-s}ds \quad \text{for} \quad c > \max(0, M+1) \quad \text{and} \quad \Re(s) > 0. \quad (**)\]

**Proof.** First consider (\(*\)). Formally we have

\[
\int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1}dx = \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-nx} x^{s-1}dx
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty a_n e^{-nx} x^{s-1}dx
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Gamma(s)n^{-s}
\]

\[
= \Gamma(s)\phi(s)
\]

on writing \( x \) for \( nx \) in the last integral and using the definition of \( \Gamma(s) \). The only problem is in justifying the interchange of the integral with the summation sign.

The equation (\(**\)) follows from Proposition 9.1.

The functions \( f(x) \) and \( \phi(s) \) are called the *Mellin transforms* of each other.

The equation (\(*\)) provides a means of analytically continuing \( \phi(s) \) provided \( f(x) \) tends to zero sufficiently rapidly at \( x = 0 \). In particular, if \( f(x) = O(x^A) \) for every \( A > 0 \) as \( x \to 0 \) through real positive values, then \( \Gamma(s)\phi(s) \) can be extended to a holomorphic function over the entire complex plane. Of course, this condition on \( f(x) \) implies that \( x = 0 \) is an essential singularity.

We say that a function \( \varphi(s) \) on the complex plane is *bounded on vertical strips*, if for all real numbers \( a < b \), \( \varphi(s) \) is bounded on the strip \( a \leq \Re(s) \leq b \) as \( \Im(s) \to \pm \infty \).

**Theorem 9.3** (Hecke 1936) Let \( a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots \) be a sequence of complex numbers such that \( a_n = O(n^M) \) for some \( M \). Given \( \lambda > 0, k > 0, C = \pm 1 \), write

(a) \( \varphi(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} ; \quad (\varphi(s) \text{ converges for } \Re(s) > M+1) \)

(b) \( \Phi(s) = \left( \frac{2\pi i}{\lambda} \right)^{-s} \Gamma(s)\varphi(s) ; \quad \)

(c) \( f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi inz/\lambda} ; \quad (\text{converges for } \Im(z) > 0) . \)

Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) The function \( \Phi(s) + \frac{a_0}{s} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k s^{-k} \) can be analytically continued to a holomorphic function on the entire complex plane which is bounded on vertical strips, and it satisfies the functional equation

\[ \Phi(k-s) = C \Phi(s). \]

(ii) In the upper half plane, \( f \) satisfies the functional equation

\[ f(-1/z) = C(z/i)^k f(z). \]

**Proof.** Given (ii), apply \((*)\) to obtain (i); given (i), apply \((***)\) to obtain (ii).

**Remark 9.4** Let \( \Gamma' (\lambda) \) be the subgroup of \( \Gamma (1) \) generated by the maps \( z \mapsto z + \lambda \) and \( z \mapsto -1/z \). A modular form of weight \( k \) and multiplier \( C \) for \( \Gamma' (\lambda) \) is a holomorphic function \( f(z) \) on \( \mathbb{H} \) such that

\[ f(z + \lambda) = f(z), \quad f(-1/z) = C(z/i)^k f(z), \]

and \( f \) is holomorphic at \( i \infty \). This is a slightly more general notion than in Section 4—if \( k \) is an even integer and \( C = 1 \) then it agrees with it.

The theorem says that there is a one-to-one correspondence between modular forms of weight \( k \) and multiplier \( C \) for \( \Gamma' (\lambda) \) whose Fourier coefficients satisfy \( a_n = O(n^M) \) for some \( M \), and Dirichlet series satisfying (i). Note that \( \Phi(s) \) is holomorphic if \( f \) is a cusp form.

For example \( \zeta(s) \) corresponds to a modular form of weight \( 1/2 \) and multiplier 1 for \( \Gamma' (2) \).

**Weil’s theorem**

Given a sequence of complex numbers \( a_1, a_2, \ldots \) such that \( a_n = O(n^M) \) for some \( M \), write

\[ L(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}, \quad \Lambda(s) = (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s)L(s), \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}. \quad (15) \]

More generally, let \( m > 0 \) be an integer, and let \( \chi \) be a primitive character on \( (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \) (primitive means that it is not a character on \( (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times \) for any proper divisor \( d \) of \( m \)). As usual, we extend \( \chi(s) \) to the whole of \( \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \) by setting \( \chi(n) = 0 \) if \( n \) is not relatively prime to \( m \). We write

\[ L_{\chi}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \chi(n) n^{-s}, \quad \Lambda_{\chi}(s) = (\frac{m}{2\pi})^{s/2} \Gamma(s)L_{\chi}(s), \quad f_{\chi}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \chi(n) e^{2\pi i n z}. \]

Note that \( L_{\chi} \) and \( f_{\chi} \) are the Mellin transforms of each other.

For any \( \chi \), the associated Gauss sum is

\[ g(\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \chi(n) e^{-2\pi i n/m}. \]

Obviously \( \overline{\chi}(a) g(\chi) = \sum \chi(n) e^{-2\pi i an/m}, \) and hence

\[ \chi(n) = m^{-1} g(\chi) \sum \overline{\chi}(a) e^{2\pi i an/m}. \]

It follows from this last equation that

\[ f_{\chi} = m^{-1} g(\chi) \sum_{a \pmod{m}} \overline{\chi}(a) f \left( \frac{m}{a} \right) \left( \frac{m}{a} \right). \]
Theorem 9.5 Let $f(z)$ be a modular form of weight $2k$ for $\Gamma_0(N)$, and suppose that $f|_k \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = C(-1)^k f$ for some $C = \pm 1$. Define

$$C_X = Cg(\chi)(-N)/g(\bar{\chi}).$$

Then $\Lambda_X(s)$ satisfies the functional equation:

$$\Lambda_X(s) = C x N^{k-s} \Lambda(2k-s)$$

whenever $\text{gcd}(m,N) = 1$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 9.3.

The most interesting result is the converse to this theorem.

Theorem 9.6 (Weil 1967) Fix a $C = \pm 1$, and suppose that for all but finitely many primes $p$ not dividing $N$ the following condition holds: for every primitive character $\chi$ of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, the functions $\Lambda(s)$ and $\Lambda_X(s)$ can be analytically continued to holomorphic functions in the entire complex plane and that each of them is bounded on vertical strips; suppose also that they satisfy the functional equations:

$$\Lambda(s) = C N^{k-s} \Lambda(2k-s)$$

$$\Lambda_X(s) = C x N^{k-s} \Lambda(2k-s)$$

where $C_X$ is defined above; suppose further that the Dirichlet series $L(s)$ is absolutely convergent for $s = k - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then $f(z)$ is a cusp form of weight $2k$ for $\Gamma_0(N)$.

Proof. Several pages of manipulation of $2 \times 2$ matrices.

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $L(E,s)$ be the associated $L$-series. As we shall see shortly, it is generally conjectured that $L(s)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem, and hence is attached to a modular form $f(z)$ of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(N)$. Granted this, one can show that there is nonconstant map $\alpha: X_0(N) \to E$ (defined over $\mathbb{Q}$) such that the pull-back of the canonical differential on $E$ is the differential on $X_0(N)$ attached to $f(z)$.

Remark 9.7 Complete proofs of the statements in this section can be found in Ogg 1969, especially Chapter V. They are not particularly difficult—it would only add about 5 pages to the notes to include them.
10 Correspondences on Curves; the Theorem of Eichler-Shimura

In this section we sketch a proof of the key theorem of Eichler and Shimura relating the Hecke correspondence $T_p$ to the Frobenius map. In the next section we explain how this enables us to realize certain zeta functions as the Mellin transforms of modular forms.

The ring of correspondences of a curve

Let $X$ and $X'$ be projective nonsingular curves over a field $k$ which, for simplicity, we take to be algebraically closed.

A correspondence $T$ between $X$ and $X'$ is a pair of finite surjective morphisms

$$X \xleftarrow{\alpha} Y \xrightarrow{\beta} X'.$$

It can be thought of as a many-valued map $X \to X'$ sending a point $P \in X(k)$ to the set $\{\beta(Q_i)\}$ where the $Q_i$ run through the elements of $\alpha^{-1}(P)$ (the $Q_i$ need not be distinct). Better, define $\text{Div}(X)$ to be the free abelian group on the set of points of $X$; thus an element of $\text{Div}(X)$ is a finite formal sum

$$D = \sum n_P P, \quad n_P \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad P \in C.$$  

A correspondence $T$ then defines a map

$$\text{Div}(X) \to \text{Div}(X'), \quad P \mapsto \sum \beta(Q_i).$$

(notations as above). This map multiplies the degree of a divisor by $\text{deg}(\alpha)$. It therefore sends the divisors of degree zero on $X$ into the divisors of degree zero on $X'$, and one can show that it sends principal divisors to principal divisors. It therefore defines a map $T: J(X) \to J(X')$ where

$$J(X) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Div}^0(X)/\{\text{principal divisors}\}.$$  

We define the ring of correspondences $\mathcal{A}(X)$ on $X$ to be the subring of $\text{End}(J(X))$ generated by the maps defined by correspondences.

If $T$ is the correspondence

$$X \xleftarrow{\beta} Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} X',$$

then the transpose $T'$ of $T$ is the correspondence

$$X' \xleftarrow{\alpha} Y \xrightarrow{\beta} X.$$  

A morphism $\alpha: X \to X'$ can be thought of as a correspondence

$$X \xleftarrow{\Gamma} \to X'$$

where $\Gamma \subset X \times X'$ is the graph of $\alpha$ and the maps are the projections.

Aside 10.1 Attached to any complete nonsingular curve $X$ there is an abelian variety $\text{Jac}(X)$ whose set of points is $J(X)$. The ring of correspondences is the endomorphism ring of $\text{Jac}(X)$—see the next section.
The Hecke correspondence

Let \( \Gamma \) be a subgroup of \( \Gamma(1) \) of finite index, and let \( \alpha \) be a matrix with integer coefficients and determinant \( > 0 \). Write \( \Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma \alpha_i \Gamma \) (disjoint union). Then we get a map

\[
T(\alpha) : J(X(\Gamma)) \to J(X(\Gamma)), \quad [z] \mapsto \sum [\alpha_i z].
\]

As was explained in Section 5, this is the map defined by the correspondence:

\[
X(\Gamma) \leftarrow X(\Gamma \alpha) \xrightarrow{\alpha} X(\Gamma)
\]

where \( \Gamma_\alpha = \Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha \). In this way, we get a homomorphism \( H \to A \) from the ring of Hecke operators into the ring of correspondences.

Consider the case \( \Gamma = \Gamma_0(N) \) and \( T = T(p) \) the Hecke correspondence defined by the double coset \( \Gamma_0(N)/(J_0(p) \Gamma_0(N)) \). Assume that \( p \nmid N \). We give two further descriptions of \( T(p) \).

First, identify a point of \( Y_0(N) \) (over \( \mathbb{C} \)) with an isomorphism class of homomorphisms \( E \to E' \) of elliptic curves with kernel a cyclic group of order \( N \). The subgroup \( E_p \) of \( E \) of points of order dividing \( p \) is isomorphic to \((\mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z})\). Hence there are \( p + 1 \) cyclic subgroups of \( E_p \) of order \( p \), say \( S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_p \) (they correspond to the lines through the origin in \( \mathbb{F}_p^2 \)). Then (as a many-valued map), \( T(p) \) sends \( \alpha : E \to E' \) to

\[
\{E_i \to E'_i \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, p\}
\]

where \( E_i = E/S_i \) and \( E'_i = E'/\alpha(S_i) \).

Second, regard \( Y_0(N) \) as the curve \( C \) defined by the polynomial \( F_N(X,Y) \) constructed in Theorem 6.1 (of course, this isn’t quite correct—there is a map \( Y_0(N) \to C \). \( \{z \mapsto (j(z), j(Nz))\) which is an isomorphism over the nonsingular part of \( C \). Let \( (j, j') \) be a point on \( C \); then there are elliptic curves \( E \) and \( E' \) (well-defined up to isomorphism) such that \( j = j(E) \) and \( j' = j(E') \). The condition \( F_N(j, j') = 0 \) implies that there is a homomorphism \( \alpha : E \to E' \) with kernel a cyclic subgroup of order \( N \). Then \( T(p) \) maps \( (j, j') \) to \( \{(j_i, j'_i) \mid i = 0, \ldots, p\} \) where \( j_i = j(E/S_i) \) and \( j'_i = j(E'/\alpha(S_i)) \).

These last two descriptions of the action of \( T(p) \) are valid over any field of characteristic 0.

The Frobenius map

Let \( C \) be a curve defined over a field \( k \) of characteristic \( p \neq 0 \). Assume (for simplicity) that \( k \) is algebraically closed. If \( C \) is defined by equations \( \sum c_{i_0 \cdots i_l} X_{i_0} X_{i_1} \cdots = 0 \) and \( q \) is a power of \( p \), then \( C^{(q)} \) is the curve defined by the equations \( \sum c_{i_0 \cdots i_l} X_{i_0}^q X_{i_1}^q \cdots = 0 \), and the Frobenius map \( \Pi_q : C \to C^{(q)} \) sends the point \((a_0 : a_1 : \cdots)\) to \((a_0^q : a_1^q : \cdots)\). Note that if \( C \) is defined over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), so that the equations can be chosen to have coefficients \( c_{i_0 \cdots i_l} \) in \( \mathbb{F}_q \), then \( C = C^{(q)} \) and the Frobenius map is a map from \( C \) to itself.

Recall that a nonconstant morphism \( \alpha : C \to C' \) of curves defines an inclusion \( \alpha^* : k(C') \hookrightarrow k(C) \) of function fields, and that the degree of \( \alpha \) is defined to be \([k(C) : \alpha^* k(C')]\). The map \( \alpha \) is said to be separable or purely inseparable according as \( k(C) \) is a separable or purely inseparable extension of \( \alpha^* k(C') \). If the separable degree of \( k(C) \) over \( \alpha^* k(C') \) is \( m \), then the map \( C(k) \to C'(k) \) is \( m : 1 \) except on a finite set (assuming \( k \) to be algebraically closed).

**Proposition 10.2** The Frobenius map \( \Pi_q : C \to C^{(q)} \) is purely inseparable of degree \( q \), and every purely inseparable map \( \varphi : C \to C' \) of degree \( q \) (of complete nonsingular curves) factors as

\[
C \xrightarrow{\Pi_q} C^{(q)} \cong C'
\]
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PROOF. Note that

\[ \Pi_q k(C) = k(C^{(q)}) = k(C)^q \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ a^q \mid a \in k(C) \} \]

It follows that \( k(C) \) is purely inseparable of degree \( q \) over \( k(C)^q \), and that this statement uniquely determines \( k(C)^q \). The last sentence is obvious when \( k(C) = k(T) \) (field of rational functions in \( T \)), and the general case follows because \( k(C) \) is a separable extension of such a field \( k(T) \).

Brief review of the points of order \( p \) on elliptic curves

Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over an algebraically closed field \( k \). The map \( p: E \to E \) (multiplication by \( p \)) is of degree \( p^2 \). If \( k \) has characteristic zero, then the map is separable, which implies that its kernel has order \( p^2 \). If \( k \) has characteristic \( p \), the map is never separable: either it is purely inseparable (and so \( E \) has no points of order \( p \)) or its separable and inseparable degrees are \( p \) (and so \( E \) has \( p \) points of order dividing \( p \)). In the first case, (10.2) tells us that multiplication by \( p \) factors as

\[ E \to E^{(p^2)} \overset{\approx}{\to} E. \]

Hence this case occurs only when \( E \approx E^{(p^2)} \), i.e., when \( j(E) = j(E^{(p^2)}) = j(E)p^2 \). Thus if \( E \) has no points of order \( p \), then \( j(E) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \).

The Eichler-Shimura theorem

The curve \( X_0(N) \) is defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and the Hecke correspondence \( T(p) \) is defined over some number field \( K \). For almost all primes \( p \nmid N \), \( X_0(N) \) will reduce to a nonsingular curve \( \tilde{X}_0(N) \). For such a prime \( p \), the correspondence \( T(p) \) defines a correspondence \( \tilde{T}(p) \) on \( \tilde{X}_0(N) \).

THEOREM 10.3 For a prime \( p \) where \( X_0(N) \) has good reduction,

\[ \tilde{T}_p = \Pi_p + \Pi'_p \]

(equality in the ring \( \mathcal{A}(\tilde{X}_0(N)) \) of correspondences on \( \tilde{X}_0(N) \) over the algebraic closure \( \overline{\mathbb{F}} \) of \( \mathbb{F}_p \); here \( \Pi'_p \) is the transpose of \( \Pi_p \)).

PROOF. We show that they agree as many-valued maps on an open subset of \( \tilde{X}_0(N) \).

Over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), we have the following description of \( T_p \) (see above): a homomorphism of elliptic curves \( \alpha: E \to E' \) with cyclic kernel of order \( N \) defines a point \((j(E), j(E'))\) on \( X_0(N) \); let \( S_0, \ldots, S_p \) be the subgroups of order \( p \) in \( E \); then

\[ T_p(j(E), j(E')) = \{(j(E_i), j(E'_i))\} \]

where \( E_i = E/S_i \) and \( E'_i = E'/\alpha(S_i) \).

Consider a point \( \tilde{E} \) on \( \tilde{X}_0(N) \) with coordinates in \( \overline{\mathbb{F}} \). Ignoring a finite number of points of \( \tilde{X}_0(N) \), we can suppose \( \tilde{E} \in \tilde{Y}_0(N) \) and hence is of the form \((j(\tilde{E}), j(\tilde{E}'))\) for some map \( \tilde{\alpha}: \tilde{E} \to \tilde{E}' \). Moreover, we can suppose that \( \tilde{E} \) has \( p \) points of order dividing \( p \).

3In fact, it is known that \( X_0(N) \) has good reduction for all primes \( p \nmid N \), but this is hard to prove. It is easy to see that \( X_0(N) \) does not have good reduction at primes dividing \( N \).
Let $\alpha: E \to E'$ be a lifting of $\tilde{\alpha}$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p^{al}$. The reduction map $E_p(\mathbb{Q}_p^{al}) \to \tilde{E}_p(\mathbb{F}_p^{al})$ has a kernel of order $p$. Number the subgroups of order $p$ in $E$ so that $S_0$ is the kernel of this map. Then each $S_i, i \neq 0$, maps to a subgroup of order $p$ in $\tilde{E}$.

The map $p: \tilde{E} \to \tilde{E}$ factors as

$$\tilde{E} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \tilde{E}/S_i \xrightarrow{\psi} \tilde{E}.$$ 

When $i = 0$, $\varphi$ is a purely inseparable map of degree $p$ (it is the reduction of the map $E \to E/S_0$—it therefore has degree $p$ and has zero (visible) kernel), and so $\psi$ must be separable of degree $p$ (we are assuming $\tilde{E}$ has $p$ points of order dividing $p$). Proposition 10.2 shows that there is an isomorphism $\tilde{E}^{(p)} \to \tilde{E}/S_0$. Similarly $\tilde{E}'^{(p)} \approx \tilde{E}'/S_0$. Therefore

$$(j(\tilde{E}_0), j(\tilde{E}_0')) = (j(\tilde{E}^{(p)}), j(\tilde{E}'^{(p)})) = (j(\tilde{E})^p, j(\tilde{E}')^p) = \Pi_p(j(\tilde{E}), j(\tilde{E}')).$$

When $i \neq 0$, $\varphi$ is separable (its kernel is the reduction of $S_i$), and so $\psi$ is purely inseparable. Therefore $\tilde{E} \approx \tilde{E}_i^{(p)}$, and similarly $\tilde{E}' \approx \tilde{E}_i'^{(p)}$. Therefore

$$(j(\tilde{E}_i)^{(p)}, j(\tilde{E}_i')^{(p)}) = (j(\tilde{E}), j(\tilde{E}')).$$

Hence

$$\{(j(\tilde{E}_i), j(\tilde{E}_i')) | i = 1, 2, \ldots, p\}$$

is the inverse image of $\Pi_p$, i.e., it is $\Pi_p'(j(\tilde{E}), j(\tilde{E}'))$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \qed
11 Curves and their Zeta Functions

We begin by reviewing the theory of the zeta functions of curves over \(\mathbb{Q}\); then we explain the relation between the various representations of the ring of correspondences; finally we explain the implications of the Eichler-Shimura theorem for the zeta functions of the curves \(X_0(N)\) and elliptic curves; in particular, we state the conjecture of Taniyama-Weil, and briefly indicate how it implies Fermat’s last theorem.

Two elementary results

We begin with two results from linear algebra that will be needed later.

**Proposition 11.1** Let \(\Lambda\) be a free \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module of finite rank, and let \(\alpha: \Lambda \to \Lambda\) be a \(\mathbb{Z}\)-linear map with nonzero determinant. Then the kernel of the map

\[
\tilde{\alpha}: (\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q})/\Lambda \to (\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q})/\Lambda
\]

defined by \(\alpha\) has order \(|\det(\alpha)|\).

**Proof.** Consider the commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & (\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q})/\Lambda & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\alpha} & & \downarrow{\alpha \otimes 1} & & \downarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & (\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q})/\Lambda & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

Because \(\det(\alpha) \neq 0\), the middle vertical map is an isomorphism. Therefore the snake lemma gives an isomorphism

\[
\text{Ker}(\tilde{\alpha}) \cong \text{Coker}(\alpha).
\]

There exist bases \(e = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}\) and \(f = \{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}\) for \(\Lambda\) such that \(\alpha(e_i) = c_if_i\), \(c_i \in \mathbb{Z}\), for all \(i\) (apply ANT 2.44 to both the rows and columns of some matrix for \(\alpha\)). Now \#Coker(\(\alpha\)) = \(|c_1 \cdots c_m| = |\det(\alpha)|\). \(\square\)

Let \(V\) be a real vector space. To give the structure of a complex vector space on \(V\) compatible with its real structure amounts to giving an \(\mathbb{R}\)-linear map \(J: V \to V\) such that \(J^2 = -1\). Such a map extends by linearity to \(\mathbb{C} \otimes _\mathbb{R} V\), and \(\mathbb{C} \otimes _\mathbb{R} V\) splits into a direct sum

\[
\mathbb{C} \otimes _\mathbb{R} V = V^+ \oplus V^-
\]

with \(V^+\) (resp. \(V^-\)) the +1 (resp. -1) eigenspace of \(J\).

**Proposition 11.2** (a) The map

\[
(V, J) \overset{v \mapsto 1 \otimes v}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C} \otimes _\mathbb{R} V \overset{\text{project}}{\longrightarrow} V^+
\]

is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces.

(b) The map

\[
z \otimes v \mapsto \overline{z} \otimes v: V \otimes _\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C} \to V \otimes _\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C}
\]

is an \(\mathbb{R}\)-linear involution of \(V \otimes _\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C}\) interchanging \(V^+\) and \(V^-\).

**Proof.** Left as an easy exercise. \(\square\)
**Corollary 11.3** Let $\alpha$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-linear endomorphism of $V$. Write $A$ for the matrix of $\alpha$ regarded as an $\mathbb{R}$-linear endomorphism of $V$, and $A_1$ for the matrix of $\alpha$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-linear endomorphism of $V$. Then

$$A \sim A_1 \oplus \bar{A}_1.$$  

(By this I mean that the matrix $A$ is similar to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A}_1 \end{pmatrix}$)

**Proof.** Follows immediately from the above Proposition. \hfill $\square$

For $V = \mathbb{C}$ and the map “multiplication by $a = a + ib$”, the statement becomes,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} a + ib & 0 \\ 0 & a - ib \end{pmatrix},$$

which is obviously true because the two matrices are semisimple and have the same trace and determinant.

**The zeta function of a curve over a finite field**

The next theorem summarizes what is known.

**Theorem 11.4** Let $C$ be a complete nonsingular curve of genus $g$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $N_n$ be the number of points of $C$ with coordinates in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Then there exist algebraic integers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{2g}$ (independent of $n$) such that

$$N_n = 1 + q^n - \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \alpha_i^n;$$

moreover, the numbers $q/\alpha_i$ are a permutation of the $\alpha_i$, and for each $i$, $|\alpha_i| = q^{1/2}$.

All but the last of these assertions follow in a straightforward way from the Riemann-Roch theorem (see M. Eichler, Introduction to the Theory of Algebraic Numbers and Function, Academic Press, 1966, V 5.1). The last is the famous “Riemann hypothesis” for curves, proved in this case by Weil in the 1940s.

Define $Z(C, t)$ to be the power series with rational coefficients such that

$$\log Z(C, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n t^n / n.$$  

Then (16) is equivalent to the formula

$$Z(C, t) = \frac{(1 - \alpha_1 t) \cdots (1 - \alpha_n t)}{(1 - t)(1 - qt)}$$

(because $-\log(1 - at) = \sum a^n t^n / n$).

Define $\xi(C, s) = Z(C, q^{-s})$. Then the “Riemann hypothesis” is equivalent to $\xi(C, s)$ having all its zeros on the line $\Re(s) = 1/2$, whence its name. One can show that

$$\xi(C, s) = \prod_{x \in C} \frac{1}{1 - (N_x)^{-s}}$$

where $N_x$ is the number of elements in the residue field at $x$, and so the definition of $\xi(C, s)$ is quite similar to that of $\xi(\mathbb{Q}, s)$.  

The zeta function of a curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \)

Let \( C \) be a complete nonsingular curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \). For all but finitely many primes \( p \), the reduction \( C(p) \) of \( C \) modulo \( p \) will be a complete nonsingular curve over \( \mathbb{F}_p \). We call the primes for which this is true the “good primes” for \( C \) and the remainder the “bad primes”. We set

\[
\zeta(C,s) = \prod_p \zeta_p(C,s)
\]

where \( \zeta_p(C,s) \) is the zeta function of \( C(p) \) when \( p \) is a good prime and is as defined in (Serre, Seminaire DPP 1969/70; Oeuvres, Vol II, pp 581–592) when \( p \) is a bad prime.

On comparing the expansion of \( \zeta(C,s) \) as a Dirichlet series with \( \sum n^{-s} \) and using the Riemann hypothesis (11.4), one finds that \( \zeta(C,s) \) converges for \( \Re(s) > 3/2 \). It is conjectured that it can be analytically continued to the entire complex plane except for simple poles at the negative integers, and that it satisfies a functional equation relating \( \zeta(s) \) to \( \zeta(2-s) \). Note that we can write

\[
\zeta(C,s) = \frac{\zeta(s)\zeta(s-1)}{L(C,s)}
\]

where

\[
L(C,s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{(1-\alpha_1(p)p^{-s}) \cdots (1-\alpha_{2g}(p)p^{-s})}.
\]

For an elliptic curve \( E \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \), there is a pleasant geometric definition of the factors of \( L(E,s) \) at the bad primes. Choose a Weierstrass minimal model for \( E \), and reduce it mod \( p \). If \( E(p) \) has a node at which each of the two tangents are rational over \( \mathbb{F}_p \), then the factor is \( 1 \); if \( E(p) \) has a node at which the tangents are not separately rational over \( \mathbb{F}_p \) (this means that the tangent cone is a homogeneous polynomial of degree two variables with coefficients in \( \mathbb{F}_p \) that does not factor over \( \mathbb{F}_p \)), then the factor is \( (1 + p^{-s})^{-1} \); if \( E(p) \) has a cusp, then the factor is 1.

The geometric conductor of \( E \) is defined to be

\[
N = \prod_p f_p
\]

where \( f_p = 0 \) if \( E \) has good reduction at \( p \), \( f_p = 1 \) if \( E(p) \) has a node as its only singularity, and \( f_p \geq 2 \) if \( E(p) \) has a cusp (with equality unless \( p = 2, 3 \)). Write

\[
\Lambda(s) = (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s)L(E,s).
\]

Then it is conjectured that \( \Lambda(s) \) can be analytically continued to the entire complex plane as a holomorphic function, and satisfies the functional equation:

\[
\Lambda(s) = \pm N^{1-s} \Lambda(2-s).
\]

More generally, let \( m > 0 \) be a prime not dividing \( N \) and let \( \chi \) be primitive character of \( (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \). If

\[
L(E,s) = \sum c_n n^{-s},
\]

we define

\[
L_\chi(E,s) = \sum c_n \chi(n)n^{-s},
\]

and

\[
\Lambda_\chi(E,s) = (m/2\pi)^s \Gamma(s)L_\chi(E,s).
\]
It is conjectured that $A_{\chi}(E,s)$ can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane as a holomorphic function, and that it satisfies the functional equation

$$A_{\chi}(E,s) = \pm (g(\chi)\bar{\chi}(-N)/g(\bar{\chi}))N^{1-s}A_{\bar{\chi}}(E,2-s)$$

where

$$g(\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \chi(n)e^{2\pi in/m}.$$ 

**Review of elliptic curves**

(See also Milne 2006.) Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over an algebraically closed field $k$, and let $\mathcal{A} = \text{End}(E)$. Then $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$, an imaginary quadratic field, or a quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ (the last case only occurs when $k$ has characteristic $p \neq 0$, and then only for supersingular elliptic curves).

Because $E$ has genus 1, the map $\sum n_i[P_i] \mapsto \sum n_i P_i : \text{Div}^0(E) \to E(k)$ defines an isomorphism $J(k) \to E(k)$.

Here $\mathcal{A}$ is the full ring of correspondences of $E$. Certainly, any element of $\mathcal{A}$ can be regarded as a correspondence on $E$. Conversely a correspondence

$$E \leftarrow Y \to E$$

defines a map $E(k) \to E(k)$, and it is easy to see that this is regular.

There are three natural representations of $\mathcal{A}$.

- Let $W = \text{Tgt}_0(E)$, the tangent space to $E$ at 0. This is a one-dimensional vector space over $k$. Since every element $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{A}$ fixes 0, $\alpha$ defines an endomorphism $d\alpha$ of $W$. We therefore obtain a homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{A} \to \text{End}(W)$.

- For any prime $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$, the Tate module $T_\ell E$ of $E$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module of rank 2. We obtain a homomorphism $\rho_\ell : \mathcal{A} \to \text{End}(T_\ell E)$.

- When $k = \mathbb{C}$, $H_1(E,\mathbb{Z})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 2, and we obtain a homomorphism $\rho_B : \mathcal{A} \to \text{End}(H_1(E,\mathbb{Z}))$.

**Proposition 11.5** When $k = \mathbb{C}$,

$$\rho_B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \sim \rho_\ell, \quad \rho_B \otimes \mathbb{C} \sim \rho \otimes \overline{\rho}.$$

(By this I mean that they are isomorphic as representations; from a more down-to-earth point of view, this means that if we choose bases for the various modules, then the matrix $(\rho_B(\alpha))$ is similar to $(\rho_\ell(\alpha))$ and to $\left( \begin{array}{cc} \rho(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(\alpha) \end{array} \right)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.)

**Proof.** Write $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$. Then $\mathbb{C}$ is the universal covering space of $E$ and $\Lambda$ is the group of covering transformations. Therefore $\Lambda = \pi_1(E,0)$. From algebraic topology, we know that $H_1$ is the maximal abelian quotient of $\pi_1$, and so (in this case),

$$H_1(E,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \pi_1(E,0) \simeq \Lambda \quad \text{(canonical isomorphisms)}.$$

The map $\mathbb{C} \to E$ defines an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \to \text{Tgt}_0(E)$. But $\Lambda$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ (regarded as a real vector space), which means that the canonical map $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism. Now the relation $\rho_B \sim \rho \oplus \overline{\rho}$ follows from (11.3).
Next, note that the group of points of order $\ell^N$ on $E, E_{\ell^N}$, is equal to $\ell^{-N} \Lambda / \Lambda$. There are canonical isomorphisms

$$\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^N \mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda / \ell^N \Lambda \xrightarrow{\ell^{-N}} \ell^{-N} \Lambda / \Lambda = E_{\ell^N}.$$ 

When we pass to the inverse limit, these isomorphisms give an isomorphism $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\ell} \cong T_\ell E.\mathcal{O}$

**Remark 11.6** There is yet another representation of $A$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} [1]$. Let $E/\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ be the space of holomorphic differentials on $E$. It is a one-dimensional space over $k$. Moreover, there is a canonical pairing $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} [1].E/ \times T_{\text{tg}}^0 E/ \to k$.

This is nondegenerate. Therefore the representation of $A$ on $\Omega^1(E)$ is the transpose of the representation on $T_{\text{tg}}^0 E$. Since both representations are one-dimensional, this means that they are equal.

**Proposition 11.7** For every nonzero endomorphism $\alpha$ of $E$, the degree of $\alpha$ is equal to $\det(\rho(\alpha))$.

**Proof.** Suppose first that $k = \mathbb{C}$, so that we can identify $E(\mathbb{C})$ with $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$. Then $E(\mathbb{C})_{\text{tors}} = (\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q})/\Lambda$, and (11.1) shows that the kernel of the map $E(\mathbb{C})_{\text{tors}} \to E(\mathbb{C})_{\text{tors}}$ defined by $\alpha$ is finite and has order equal to $\det(\rho_B(\alpha))$. But the order of the kernel is $\deg(\alpha)$ and (11.5) shows that $\det(\rho_B(\alpha)) = \det(\rho(\alpha))$.

For $k$ of characteristic zero, the statement follows from the case $k = \mathbb{C}$. For a proof for an arbitrary $k$ in a more general setting, see my notes on Abelian Varieties, I, 10.20.

**Corollary 11.8** Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$; then the numbers $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ occurring in (11.4) are the eigenvalues of $\Pi_\ell$ acting on $T_{\text{tg}}^0 E$ for all $\ell \neq p$.

**Proof.** The elements of $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are exactly the elements of $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ that are fixed by $\Pi_\ell \overset{\text{def}}{=} \Pi_\ell^n$, i.e., $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the kernel of the endomorphism $\Pi_\ell^n - 1$. This endomorphism is separable ($\Pi_\ell$ obviously acts as zero on the tangent space), and so

$$N_n = \deg(\Pi_\ell^n - 1) = \det(\rho_\ell(\Pi_\ell^n - 1)) = (\alpha_1^n - 1)(\alpha_2^n - 1) = q - \alpha_1^n - \alpha_2^n + 1.$$ 

We need one last fact.

**Proposition 11.9** Let $\alpha'$ be the transpose of the endomorphism $\alpha$ of $E$; then $\rho_\ell(\alpha')$ is the transpose of $\rho_\ell(\alpha)$.

**The zeta function of $X_0(N)$: case of genus 1**

When $N$ is one of the integers 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24, 27, 32, 36, or, 49, the curve $X_0(N)$ has genus 1. Recall (p. 88) that the number of cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$ is

$$\sum_{d | N, d > 0} \varphi(\gcd(d, N/d)).$$

\footnote{For a description of the cusps on $X_0(N)$ and their fields of rationality, see Ogg. Rational points on certain elliptic modular curves, Proc. Symp. P. Math, 24. AMS, 1973, 221-231.}
If $N$ is prime, then there are two cusps, 0 and $i\infty$, and they are both rational over $\mathbb{Q}$. If $N$ is one of the above values, and we take $i\infty$ to be the zero element of $X_0(N)$, then it becomes an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$.

**Lemma 11.10** There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the cusp forms of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(N)$ and the holomorphic differential forms $X_0(N)$ (over $\mathbb{C}$).

**Proof.** We know that $f \mapsto f dz$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between the meromorphic modular forms of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(N)$ and the meromorphic differentials on $X_0(N)$, but Lemma 4.11 shows that the cusp forms correspond to the holomorphic differential forms.

Assume that $X_0(N)$ has genus one. Let $\omega$ be a holomorphic differential on $X_0(N)$; when we pull it back to $\mathbb{H}$ and write it $f(z) dz$, we obtain a cusp form $f(z)$ for $\Gamma_0(N)$ of weight 2. It is automatically an eigenform for $T(p)$ all $p \nmid N$, and we assume that it is normalized so that $f(z) = \sum a_n q^n$ with $a_1 = 1$. Then $T(p) \cdot f = a_p f$. One can show that $a_p$ is real.

Now consider $\tilde{X}_0(N)$, the reduction of $X_0(N)$ modulo $p$. Here we have endomorphisms $\Pi_p$ and $\Pi'_p$, and $\Pi_p \circ \Pi'_p = \deg(\Pi_p) = p$. Therefore

$$(I_2 - \rho_\ell(\Pi_p) T)(I_2 - \rho_\ell(\Pi'_p) T) = I_2 - (\rho_\ell(\Pi_p + \Pi'_p)) T + p T^2.$$

According to the Eichler-Shimura theorem, we can replace $\Pi_p + \Pi'_p$ by $\tilde{T}(p)$, and since the $\ell$-adic representation doesn’t change when we reduce modulo $p$, we can replace $\tilde{T}(p)$ by $T(p)$. The right hand side becomes

$$I_2 - \left( \begin{array}{cc} a_p & 0 \\ 0 & a_p \end{array} \right) T + p T^2.$$

Now take determinants, noting that $\Pi_p$ and $\Pi'_p$, being transposes, have the same characteristic polynomial. We get that

$$(1 - a_p T + p T^2)^2 = \det(1 - \Pi_p T)^2.$$

On taking square roots, we conclude that

$$1 - a_p T + p T^2 = \det(1 - \Pi_p T) = (1 - \alpha_p T)(1 - \beta_p T).$$

On replacing $T$ with $p^{-s}$ in this equation, we obtain the equality of the $p$-factors of the Euler products for the Mellin transform of $f(z)$ and of $L(X_0(N), s)$. We have therefore proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 11.11** The zeta function of $X_0(N)$ (as a curve over $\mathbb{Q}$) is, up to a finite number of factors, the Mellin transform of $f(z)$.

**Corollary 11.12** The strong Hasse-Weil conjecture (see below) is true for $X_0(N)$.

**Proof.** Apply Theorem 9.5. \qed
Review of the theory of curves

We repeat the above discussion with $E$ replaced by a general (projective nonsingular) curve $C$. Proofs can be found (at least when the ground field is $\mathbb{C}$) in Griffiths 1989. Let $C$ be a complete nonsingular curve over an algebraically closed field $k$. Attached to $C$ there is an abelian variety $J$, called the Jacobian variety of $C$ such that

$$J(k) = \text{Div}^0(C)/\{\text{principal divisors}\}.$$ 

In the case that $C$ is an elliptic curve, $J = C$, i.e., an elliptic curve is its own Jacobian.

When $k = \mathbb{C}$ it is easy to define $J$, at least as a complex torus. As we have already mentioned, the Riemann-Roch theorem shows that the holomorphic differentials $\Omega^1(C)$ on $C$ form a vector space over $k$ of dimension $g = \text{genus of } C$.

Now assume $k = \mathbb{C}$. The map

$$H^1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \to \Omega^1(C)^\vee, \quad \gamma \mapsto (\omega \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega),$$

identifies $H^1(C, \mathbb{Z})$ with a lattice in $\Omega^1(C)^\vee$ (linear dual to the vector space $\Omega^1(C)$). Therefore we have a $g$-dimensional complex torus $\Omega^1(C)^\vee/H^1(C, \mathbb{Z})$. One proves that there is a unique abelian variety $J$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $J(C) = \Omega^1(C)^\vee/H^1(C, \mathbb{Z})$. (Recall that not every compact complex manifold of dimension $> 1$ arises from an algebraic variety.)

We next recall two very famous theorems. Fix a point $P \in C$.

**Abel’s Theorem:** Let $P_1, \ldots, P_r$ and $Q_1, \ldots, Q_r$ be elements of $C(\mathbb{C})$; then there is a meromorphic function on $C(\mathbb{C})$ with its poles at the $P_i$ and its zeros at the $Q_i$ if and only if, for any paths $\gamma_i$ from $P$ to $P_i$ and paths $\gamma'_i$ from $P$ to $Q_i$, there exists a $\gamma$ in $H^1(C(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \int_{\gamma_i} \omega - \sum_{i=1}^r \int_{\gamma'_i} \omega = \int_{\gamma} \omega \quad \text{all } \omega.$$ 

**Jacobi Inversion Formula:** For any linear mapping $l: \Omega^1(C) \to \mathbb{C}$, there exist $g$ points $P_1, \ldots, P_g$ in $C(\mathbb{C})$ and paths $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_g$ from $P$ to $P_i$ such that $l(\omega) = \sum \int_{\gamma_i} \omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega^1(C)$.

These two statements combine to show that there is an isomorphism:

$$\sum n_i P_i \mapsto \left(\omega \mapsto \sum n_i \int_{\gamma_i} \omega\right): \text{Div}^0(C)/\{\text{principal divisors}\} \to J(\mathbb{C}).$$

(The $\gamma_i$ are paths from $P$ to $P_i$.) The construction of $J$ is much more difficult over a general field $k$. (See my second article in: *Arithmetic Geometry*, eds. G. Cornell and Silverman, Springer, 1986.)

The ring of correspondences $A$ of $C$ can be identified with the endomorphism ring of $J$, i.e., with the ring of regular maps $\alpha: J \to J$ such that $\alpha(0) = 0$.

Again, there are three representations of $A$.

- There is a representation $\rho$ of $A$ on $\text{Tgt}_0(J) = \Omega^1(C)^\vee$. This is a vector space of dimension $g$ over the ground field $k$.
- For every $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$, there is a representation on the Tate module $T_{\ell}(J) = \varprojlim J_{\ell^n}(k)$. This is a free $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module of rank $2g$. 
When \( k = \mathbb{C} \), there is a representation on \( H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \). This is a free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module of rank 2.

**Proposition 11.13** When \( k = \mathbb{C} \),

\[
\rho_B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \sim \rho_\ell, \quad \rho_B \otimes \mathbb{C} \sim \rho \oplus \bar{\rho}.
\]

**Proof.** This can be proved exactly as in the case of an elliptic curve. \( \square \)

The rest of the results for elliptic curves extend in an obvious way to a curve \( C \) of genus \( g \) and its Jacobian variety \( J(C) \).

**The Zeta Function of** \( X_0(N) \): General Case

Exactly as in the case of genus 1, the Eichler-Shimura theorem implies the following result.

**Theorem 11.14** Let \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_g \) be a basis for the cusp forms of degree 2 for \( \Gamma_0(N) \), chosen to be normalized eigenforms for the Hecke operators \( T(p) \) for \( p \) prime to \( N \). Then, apart from the factors corresponding to a finite number of primes, the zeta function of \( X_0(N) \) is equal to the product of the Mellin transforms of the \( f_i \).

**Theorem 11.15** Let \( f \) be a cusp form of weight 2, which is a normalized eigenform for the Hecke operators, and write \( f = \sum a_n q^n \). Then for all primes \( p \mid N \), \( |a_p| \leq 2p^{1/2} \).

**Proof.** In the course of the proof of the theorem, one finds that \( a_p = \alpha + \bar{\alpha} \) where \( \alpha \) occurs in the zeta function of the reduction of \( X_0(N) \) at \( p \). Thus this follows from the Riemann hypothesis. \( \square \)

**Remark 11.16** As mentioned earlier (p. 58), Deligne has proved the analogue of Theorem 11.15 for all weights: let \( f \) be a cusp form of weight \( 2k \) for \( \Gamma_0(N) \) and assume \( f \) is an eigenform for all the \( T(p) \) with \( p \) a prime not dividing \( N \) and that \( f \) is “new” (see below); write \( f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n \) with \( a_1 = 1 \); then

\[
|a_p| \leq 2p^{2k-1/2},
\]

for all \( p \) not dividing \( N \). The proof identifies the eigenvalues of the Hecke operator with sums of eigenvalues of Frobenius endomorphisms acting on the étale cohomology of a power of the universal elliptic curve; thus the inequality follows from the Riemann hypothesis for such varieties. See Deligne, Sém. Bourbaki, Fév. 1969. In fact, Deligne’s paper Weil II simplifies the proof (for a few hints concerning this, see E. Freitag and R. Kiehl, Étale Cohomology and the Weil Conjecture, p. 278).

**The Conjecture of Taniyama and Weil**

Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( N \) be its geometric conductor. It has an L-series

\[
L(E, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}.
\]

For any prime \( m \) not dividing \( N \), and primitive character \( \chi : (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times \), let

\[
A_\chi(E, s) = N^{s/2} \left( \frac{m}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \chi(n) n^{-s}.
\]
Conjecture 11.17 (Strong Hasse-Weil Conjecture) For all \( m \) prime to \( N \), and all primitive Dirichlet characters \( \chi \), \( \Lambda_\chi(E, s) \) has an analytic continuation of \( \mathbb{C} \), bounded in vertical strips, satisfying the functional equation

\[
\Lambda_\chi(E, s) = \pm (g(\chi)\chi(-N)/g(\overline{\chi}))N^{1-s}\Lambda_\chi(E, 2-s)
\]

where

\[
g(\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)e^{2\pi in/m}.
\]

An elliptic curve \( E \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is said to be modular if there is a nonconstant map \( X_0(N) \to E \) (defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \)).

Remark 11.18 Let \( C \) be a complete nonsingular curve, and fix a rational point \( P \) on \( C \) (assumed to exist). Then there is a canonical map \( \varphi_P : C \to J(C) \) sending \( P \) to 0, and the map is universal: for every abelian variety \( A \) and regular map \( \varphi : C \to A \) sending \( P \) to 0, there is a unique map \( \varphi' : C \to J(C) \) such that \( \varphi' \circ \varphi_P = \varphi \). Thus to say that \( E \) is modular means that there is a surjective homomorphism \( J_0(N) \to E \).

Theorem 11.19 An elliptic curve \( E \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is modular if and only if it satisfies the strong Hasse-Weil conjecture (and in fact, there is a map \( X_0(N) \to E \) with \( N \) equal to the geometric conductor of \( E \)).

Proof. Suppose \( E \) is modular, and let \( \omega \) be the Néron differential on \( E \). The pull-back of \( \omega \) to \( X_0(N) \) can be written \( f(z)dz \) with \( f(z) \) a cusp form of weight 2 for \( \Gamma_0(N) \), and the Eichler-Shimura theorem shows that \( \Lambda(E, s) \) is the Mellin transform of \( f \). (Actually, it is not quite this simple...)

Conversely, suppose \( E \) satisfies the strong Hasse-Weil conjecture. Then according to Weil’s theorem, \( \Lambda(E, s) \) is the Mellin transform of a cusp form \( f \). The cusp form has rational Fourier coefficients, and the next proposition shows that there is a quotient \( E' \) of \( J_0(N) \) whose \( L \)-series is the Mellin transform of \( f \); thus we have found a modular elliptic curve having the same zeta function as \( E \), and a theorem of Faltings then shows that there is an isogeny \( E' \to E \).

Theorem 11.20 (Faltings 1983) Let \( E \) and \( E' \) be elliptic curves over \( \mathbb{Q} \). If \( \zeta(E, s) = \zeta(E', s) \) then \( E \) is isogenous to \( E' \).


For any \( M \) dividing \( N \), we have a canonical map \( X_0(N) \to X_0(M) \), and hence a canonical map \( J_0(N) \to J_0(M) \). The intersection of the kernels of these maps is the “new” part of \( J_0(N) \), which is denoted \( J_0^{\text{new}}(N) \).

Similarly, it is possible to define a subspace \( S_0^{\text{new}}(N) \) of new cusp forms of weight 2.

Proposition 11.21 There is a one-to-one correspondence between the elliptic curves \( E \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) that admit a surjective map \( X_0(N) \to E \), but no surjective map \( X_0(M) \to E \) with \( M < N \), and newforms for \( \Gamma_0(N) \) that are eigenforms with rational eigenvalues.

Proof. Given a “new” form \( f(z) = \sum a_nq^n \) as in the Proposition, we define an elliptic curve \( E \) equal to the intersection of the kernels of the endomorphisms \( T(p) - a_p \) acting on \( J(X_0(N)) \). Some quotient of \( E \) by a finite subgroup will be the modular elliptic curve sought.
**Conjecture 11.22 (Taniyama-Weil)** Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with geometric conductor $N$. Then there is a nonconstant map $X_0(N) \to E$; in particular, every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ is a modular elliptic curve.

We have proved the following.

**Theorem 11.23** The strong Hasse-Weil conjecture for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ is equivalent to the Taniyama-Weil conjecture.

Conjecture 11.22 was suggested (a little vaguely) by Taniyama in 1955, and promoted by Shimura. Weil proved Theorem 11.23, which gave the first compelling evidence for the conjecture, and he added the condition that the $N$ in $X_0(N)$ be equal to the geometric conductor of $E$, which allowed the conjecture to be tested numerically.

**Notes**


**Fermat’s last theorem**

**Theorem 11.24** The Taniyama conjecture implies Fermat’s last theorem.

Idea: It is clear that the Taniyama conjecture restricts the number of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ that can be with small conductor. For example, $X_0(N)$ has genus zero for $N = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 25$ and so for these values, the Taniyama-Weil conjecture implies that there can be no elliptic curve with this conductor. (Tate showed a long time ago that there is no elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with conductor 1, that is, with good reduction at every prime.)

More precisely, one proves the following:

**Theorem 11.25** Let $p$ be a prime $> 2$, and suppose that $a^p - b^p = c^p$

with $a, b, c$ all nonzero integers and $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$. Then the elliptic curve

$$E : Y^2 = X(X - a^p)(X + b^p)$$

is not a modular elliptic curve.

**Proof.** We can assume that $p > 163$; moreover that $2|b$ and $a \equiv 3 \mod 3$. An easy calculation shows that the curve has bad reduction exactly at the primes $p$ dividing $abc$, and at each such prime the reduced curve has a node. Thus the geometric conductor is a product of the primes dividing $abc$.

Suppose that $E$ is a Weil curve. There is a weight 2 cusp form for $\Gamma_0(N)$ with integral $q$-expansion, and Ribet proves that there is a cusp form of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(2)$ such that $f \equiv f'$ modulo $\ell$. But $X_0(2)$ has genus zero, and so there are no cusp forms of weight 2.

---

Taniyama was a very brilliant Japanese mathematician who was one of the main founders of the theory of complex multiplication of abelian varieties of dimension $> 1$. He killed himself in late 1958, shortly after his 31st birthday.
Remark 11.26 Ribet’s proof is very intricate; it involves a delicate interplay between three primes \( t, p, \) and \( q \), which is one more than most of us can keep track of (Ribet, On modular representations of \( \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \) arising from modular forms, Invent. Math 100 (1990), 431–476). As far as I know, the idea of using the elliptic curve in (11.25) to attempt to prove Fermat’s last theorem is due to G. Frey. He has published many talks about it, see for example, Frey, Links between solutions of \( A^r - B^r = C \) and elliptic curves, in Number Theory, Ulm 1987, (ed. H. Schlickewei and Wirsing), SLN 1380.

Application to the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer

Recall (Milne 2006, IV 10) that, for an elliptic curve \( E \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \), the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer predicts that

\[
\lim_{s \to 1} (s-1)^{-r} L(E, s) = \frac{\Omega \prod_p c_p [\text{TS}(E/\mathbb{Q})] R(E/\mathbb{Q})}{[E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}]^2}
\]

where \( r = \text{rank}(E(\mathbb{Q})) \), \( \Omega = \int_{E(\mathbb{R})} |\omega| \) where \( \omega \) is the Néron differential on \( E \), the product of the \( c_p \) is over the bad primes, \( \text{TS} \) is the Tate-Shafarevich group of \( E \), and \( R(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is the discriminant of the height pairing.

Now suppose \( E \) is a modular elliptic curve. Put the equation for \( E \) in Weierstrass minimal form, and let \( \omega = dx/(2y + a_1 x + a_3) \) be the Néron differential. Assume \( \alpha^* \omega = fdz \), for \( f(z) \) a newform for \( \Gamma_0(N) \). Then \( L(E, s) \) is the Mellin transform of \( f(z) \). Write \( f(z) = c(q + a_2 q^2 + ...)q^{-1}dq \), where \( c \) is a positive rational number. Conjecturally \( c = 1 \), and so I drop it.

Assume that \( i \infty \) maps to \( 0 \in E \). Then \( q \) is real for \( z \) on the imaginary axis between 0 and \( i \infty \). Therefore \( j(z) \) and \( j(Nz) \) are real, and, as we explained (end of Section 8) this means that the image of the imaginary axis in \( X_0(N)(\mathbb{C}) \) is in \( X_0(N)(\mathbb{R}) \), i.e., the points in the image of the imaginary axis have real coordinates.

The Mellin transform formula (cf. 9.2) implies that

\[
L(E, 1) = \Gamma(1)L(E, 1) = \int_0^{i \infty} f(z)dz.
\]

Define \( M \) by the equation

\[
\int_0^{i \infty} f(z)dz = M \int_{E(\mathbb{R})} \omega.
\]

Intuitively at least, \( M \) is the winding number of the map from the imaginary axis from 0 to \( i \infty \) onto \( E(\mathbb{R}) \). The image of the point 0 in \( X_0(N) \) is known to be a point of finite order, and this implies that the winding number is a rational number. Thus, for a modular curve (suitably normalized), the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer can be restated as follows.

**Conjecture 11.27 (Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer)** (a) The group \( E(\mathbb{Q}) \) is infinite if and only if \( M = 0 \).

(b) If \( M \neq 0 \), then \( M[E(\mathbb{Q})]^2 = [\text{TS}(E/\mathbb{Q})] \prod_p c_p \).

Remark 11.28 Some remarkable results have been obtained in this context by Kolyvagin and others. (See: Rubin, The work of Kolyvagin on the arithmetic of elliptic curves, SLN 1399, MR 90h:14001), and the papers of Kolyvagin.)
More details can be found in the article of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer mentioned above. Winding numbers and the mysterious $c$ are discussed in Mazur and Swinnerton-Dyer, Inventiones math., 25, 1-61, 1974. See also the article of Manin mentioned above and Milne 2006.
12 Complex Multiplication for Elliptic Curves \( \mathbb{Q} \)

The theory of complex multiplication is not only the most beautiful part of mathematics but also of the whole of science.

D. Hilbert.

It was known to Gauss that \( \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_n] \) is an abelian extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \). Towards the end of the 1840’s Kronecker had the idea that cyclotomic fields, and their subfields, exhaust the abelian extensions of \( \mathbb{Q} \), and furthermore, that every abelian extension of a quadratic imaginary number field \( E = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-d}] \) is contained in the extension given by adjoining to \( E \) roots of 1 and certain special values of the modular function \( j \). Many years later, he was to refer to this idea as the most cherished dream of his youth (mein liebster Jugendtraum) (Kronecker, Werke, V, p. 435).

Abelian extensions of \( \mathbb{Q} \)

Let \( \mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}} = \bigcup \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_n] \); it is a subfield of the maximal abelian extension \( \mathbb{Q}^{ab} \) of \( \mathbb{Q} \).

**Theorem 12.1 (Kronecker-Weber)** The field \( \mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}} = \mathbb{Q}^{ab} \).

The proof has two steps.

**Elementary part.** Note that there is a homomorphism

\[
\chi : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_n]/\mathbb{Q}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times, \quad \sigma \zeta = \zeta^{\chi(\sigma)},
\]

which is obviously injective. Proving that it is surjective is equivalent to proving that the cyclotomic polynomial

\[
\Phi_n(X) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{(m,n)=1} (X - \zeta^m)
\]

is irreducible in \( \mathbb{Q}[X] \), or that \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_n]/\mathbb{Q}) \) acts transitively on the primitive \( n \)th roots of 1. One way of doing this is to look modulo \( p \), and exploit the Frobenius map (see FT 5.10).

**Application of class field theory.** For every abelian extension \( F \) of \( \mathbb{Q} \), class field theory provides us with a surjective homomorphism (the Artin map)

\[
\phi : \mathbb{I} \to \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})
\]

where \( \mathbb{I} \) is the group of idèles of \( \mathbb{Q} \) (see CFT V, 4). When we pass to the inverse limit over all \( F \), we obtain an exact sequence

\[
1 \to (\mathbb{Q}^\times \cdot \mathbb{R}^+) \to \mathbb{I} \to \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{ab}/\mathbb{Q}) \to 1
\]

where \( \mathbb{R}^+ = \{ r \in \mathbb{R} \mid r > 0 \} \), and the bar denotes the closure.

Consider the homomorphisms

\[
\mathbb{I} \to \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{ab}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}}/\mathbb{Q}) \overset{\chi}{\leftrightarrow} \lim (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times.
\]

All maps are surjective. In order to show that the middle map is an isomorphism, we have to prove that the kernel of \( \mathbb{I} \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \) is \( (\mathbb{Q}^\times \cdot \mathbb{R}^+) \)-; it clearly contains \( (\mathbb{Q}^\times \cdot \mathbb{R}^+) \). -

Note that \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod \mathbb{Z}_L \), and that \( \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times = \prod \mathbb{Z}_L^\times \). There is therefore a canonical embedding \( i : \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{I} \), and to complete the proof of the theorem, it suffices to show:

---

4.16. (ring of integers in \(K\) usual class group. \(R\) the subgroup of principal ideals. When to multiplication, with \(R\) the subgroup of finite index contains such a subgroup. Conversely, if \(\mathbb{Z}\) be a quadratic imaginary number field. An order \(K\) is defined to be the quotient of the group of proper \(R\)-ideals by \(\mathbb{Z}\). Clearly every element of \(R\) is integral over \(\mathbb{Z}\), and so \(R \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K\) (ring of integers in \(K\)). Thus \(\mathcal{O}_K\) is the unique maximal order.

**Proposition 12.2** Let \(R\) be an order in \(K\). Then there is a unique integer \(f > 0\) such that \(R = \mathbb{Z} + f \cdot \mathcal{O}_K\). Conversely, for every integer \(f > 0\), \(\mathbb{Z} + f \cdot \mathcal{O}_K\) is an order in \(K\).

**Proof.** Let \(\{1, \alpha\}\) be a \(\mathbb{Z}\)-basis for \(\mathcal{O}_K\), so that \(\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha\). Then \(R \cap \mathbb{Z}\alpha\) is a subgroup of \(\mathbb{Z}\alpha\), and hence equals \(\mathbb{Z}\alpha f\) for some positive integer \(f\). Now \(\mathbb{Z} + f \cdot \mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha f \subseteq R\). Conversely, if \(m + n\alpha \in R\), \(m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\), then \(n\alpha \in R\), and so \(n \in f \mathbb{Z}\). Thus, \(m + n\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} + f\alpha \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + f \cdot \mathcal{O}_K\).

The number \(f\) is called the **conductor** of \(R\). We often write \(R_f\) for \(\mathbb{Z} + f \cdot \mathcal{O}_K\).

**Proposition 12.3** Let \(R\) be an order in \(K\). The following conditions on an \(R\)-submodule \(\alpha\) of \(K\) are equivalent:

1. \(\alpha\) is a projective \(R\)-module;
2. \(R = \{a \in K \mid a \cdot \alpha \subseteq \alpha\}\);
3. \(\alpha = x \cdot \mathcal{O}_K\) for some \(x \in \mathbb{Z}\) (this means that for all primes \(v\) of \(\mathcal{O}_K\), \(a \cdot \mathcal{O}_v = x_v \cdot \mathcal{O}_v\)).

**Proof.** For (b) \(\Rightarrow\) (c), see Shimura 1971, (5.4.2), p 122. □

Such an \(R\)-submodule of \(K\) is called a **proper** \(R\)-ideal. A proper \(R\)-ideal of the form \(\alpha R\), \(\alpha \in K^\times\), is said to be **principal**. If \(a\) and \(b\) are two proper \(R\)-ideals, then

\[
\alpha \cdot b \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ \sum a_i b_i \mid a_i \in a, \ b_i \in b \}
\]

is again a proper \(R\)-ideal.

**Proposition 12.4** For every order \(R\) in \(K\), the proper \(R\)-ideals form a group with respect to multiplication, with \(R\) as the identity element.

**Proof.** Shimura 1971, Proposition 4.11, p. 105. □

The **class group** \(Cl(R)\) is defined to be the quotient of the group of proper \(R\)-ideals by the subgroup of principal ideals. When \(R\) is the full ring of integers in \(E\), then \(Cl(R)\) is the usual class group.
REMARK 12.5 The class number of $R$ is

$$h(R) = h \cdot f \cdot (\mathcal{O}_K^\times : R^\times)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{p \mid f} \left(1 - \left(\frac{K}{p}\right) p^{-1}\right)$$

where $h$ is the class number of $\mathcal{O}_K$, and $\left(\frac{K}{p}\right) = 1, -1, 0$ according as $p$ splits in $K$, stays prime, or ramifies. (If we write $\{\pm 1\}$ for the Galois group of $K$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, then $p \mapsto \left(\frac{K}{p}\right)$ is the reciprocity map.) See Shimura 1971, Exercise 4.12.

Elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$

For every lattice $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{C}$, the Weierstrass $\wp$ and $\wp'$ functions realize $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ as an elliptic curve $E(\Lambda)$, and every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ arises in this way. If $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$ are two lattices, and $\alpha$ is an element of $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\alpha \Lambda \subset \Lambda'$, then $[z] \mapsto [\alpha z]$ is a homomorphism $E(\Lambda) \to E(\Lambda')$, and every endomorphism is of this form; thus

$$\text{Hom}(E(\Lambda), E(\Lambda')) = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha \Lambda \subset \Lambda' \}.$$  

In particular, $E(\Lambda) \approx E(\Lambda')$ if and only if $\Lambda' = \alpha \Lambda$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

These statements reduce much of the theory of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ to linear algebra. For example, $\text{End}(E)$ is either $\mathbb{Z}$ or an order $R$ in a quadratic imaginary field $K$. Consider $E = E(\Lambda)$; if $\text{End}(E) \not= \mathbb{Z}$, then there is an $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \not\in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $\alpha \Lambda \subset \Lambda$, and

$$\text{End}(E) = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha \Lambda \subset \Lambda \},$$

which is an order in $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ having $\Lambda$ as a proper ideal.

When $\text{End}(E) = R \not= \mathbb{Z}$, we say $E$ has complex multiplication by $R$.

Write $E = E(\Lambda)$, so that $E(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$. Clearly $E_n(\mathbb{C})$, the set of points of order dividing $n$ on $E$, is equal to $n^{-1} \Lambda/\Lambda$, and so it is a free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 2. The inverse limit, $T_\ell E = \lim \downarrow E_{\ell m} = \lim \downarrow \ell^{-m} \Lambda/\Lambda = \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, and so $V_\ell E = \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

Algebraicity of $j$

When $R$ is an order in a quadratic imaginary field $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, we write $\text{Ell}(R)$ for the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ with complex multiplication by $R$.

PROPOSITION 12.6 For each proper $R$-ideal $a$, $E(a) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}/a$ is an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by $R$, and the map $a \mapsto \mathbb{C}/a$ induces a bijection

$$\text{Cl}(R) \to \text{Ell}(R).$$

PROOF. If $a$ is a proper $R$-ideal, then

$$\text{End}(E(a)) = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha a \subset a \} \quad \text{(see above)}$$

$$= \{ \alpha \in K \mid \alpha a \subset a \} \quad \text{(easy)}$$

$$= R \quad \text{(definition of proper $R$-ideal)}.$$ 

Since $E(\alpha \cdot a) \approx E(b)$ we get a well-defined map $\text{Cl}(R) \to \text{Ell}(R)$. Similar arguments show that it is bijective.

COROLLARY 12.7 Up to isomorphism, there are only finitely many elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ with complex multiplication by $R$; in fact there are exactly $h(R)$.  

With an elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{C}$, we can associate its $j$-invariant $j(E) \in \mathbb{C}$, and $E \cong E'$ if and only if $j(E) = j(E')$. For an automorphism $\sigma$ of $\mathbb{C}$, we define $\sigma E$ to be the curve obtained by applying $\sigma$ to the coefficients of the equation defining $E$. Clearly $j(\sigma E) = \sigma j(E)$.

**Theorem 12.8** If $E$ has complex multiplication then $j(E)$ is algebraic.

**Proof.** Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. If $z$ is algebraic (meaning algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$), then $z$ has only finitely many conjugates, i.e., as $\sigma$ ranges over the automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$, $\sigma z$ ranges over a finite set. The converse of this is also true: if $z$ is transcendental, then $\sigma z$ takes on uncountably many different values (if $z'$ is any other transcendental number, there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}[z] \to \mathbb{Q}[z']$ which can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}$).

Now consider $j(E)$. As $\sigma$ ranges over $\mathbb{C}$, $E$ ranges over finitely many isomorphism classes, and so $\sigma j(E)$ ranges over a finite set. This shows that $j(E)$ is algebraic.

**Corollary 12.9** Let $j$ be the (usual) modular function for $\Gamma(1)$, and let $z \in \mathbb{H}$ be such that $\mathbb{Q}[z]$ is a quadratic imaginary number field. Then $j(z)$ is algebraic.

**Proof.** The function $j$ is defined so that $j(z) = j(E(\Lambda))$, where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} z$. Suppose $\mathbb{Q}[z]$ is a quadratic imaginary number field. Then $$\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} z) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} z\}$$ is an order $R$ in $\mathbb{Q}[z]$, and $E(\Lambda)$ has complex multiplication by $R$, from which the statement follows.

**The integrality of $j$**

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over a field $k$ and let $R$ be an order in a quadratic imaginary number field $K$. When we are given an isomorphism $i : R \to \text{End}(E)$, we say that $E$ has complex multiplication by $R$ (defined over $k$). Then $R$ and $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ act on $T_\ell E$, and therefore $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ acts on $T_\ell E$; moreover, $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ acts on $V_\ell E \overset{\text{def}}{=} T_\ell E \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. These actions commute with the actions of $\text{Gal}(k^{al}/k)$ on the modules.

Let $\alpha$ be an endomorphism of an elliptic curve $E$ over a field $k$. Define,

\[ \text{Tr}(\alpha) = 1 + \deg(\alpha) - \deg(1 - \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}, \]

and define the characteristic polynomial of $\alpha$ to be

\[ f_\alpha(X) = X^2 - \text{Tr}(\alpha)X + \deg(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]. \]

**Proposition 12.10** (a) The endomorphism $f_\alpha(\alpha)$ of $E$ is zero.

(b) For all $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$, $f_\alpha(X)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\alpha$ acting on $V_\ell E$.

**Proof.** Part (b) is proved in Silverman 1986, 2.3, p. 134. Part (a) follows from (b), the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, and the fact that the $\text{End}(E)$ acts faithfully on $V_\ell E$ (Silverman 1986, 7.4, p. 92).

**Corollary 12.11** If $E$ has complex multiplication by $R \subset K$, then $V_\ell E$ is a free $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$-module.
Proof. When the ground field $k = \mathbb{C}$, this is obvious because $V_1 E = A \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, and $A \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}_\ell = (A \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell = K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. When $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ is a field, it is again obvious (every module over a field is free). Otherwise $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell = K_v \oplus K_w$ where $v$ and $w$ are the primes of $K$ lying over $p$, and we have to see that $V_1 E$ is isomorphic to the $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$-module $K_v \oplus K_w$ (rather than $K_v \oplus K_v$ for example). But for $\alpha \in K$, $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the proposition shows that characteristic polynomial of $\alpha$ acting on $V_1 E$ is the minimum polynomial of $\alpha$ over $K$, and this implies what we want.

Remark 12.12 In fact $T_1 E$ is a free $R \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module (see J-P. Serre and J. Tate, Good reduction of abelian varieties, Ann. of Math. 88, 1968, pp 492-517, p. 502).

Proposition 12.13 The action of $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{ab}}/k)$ on $V_1 E$ factors through $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, i.e., there is a homomorphism $\rho_1: \text{Gal}(k^{\text{ab}}/k) \to (K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^\times$ such that

$$\rho_1(\sigma) \cdot x = \sigma x, \quad \text{all } \sigma \in \text{Gal}(k^{\text{ab}}/k), \quad x \in V_1 A.$$

Proof. The action of $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{ab}}/k)$ on $V_1 E$ commutes with the action $R$ (because we are assuming that the action of $R$ is defined over $k$). Therefore the image of $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{ab}}/k)$ lies in $\text{End}_{K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell}(V_1 E)$, which equals $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, because $V_1 E$ is free $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$-module of rank 1. □

In particular, we see that the image of $\rho_1$ is abelian, and so the action of $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{ab}}/k)$ factors through $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{ab}}/k)$—all the $\ell^m$-torsion points of $E$ are rational over $k^{\text{ab}}$ for all $m$. As $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{ab}}/k)$ is compact, $1_m(\rho_1) \subset O_v^\times$, where $O_v$ is the ring of integers in $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ ($O_\ell$ is either a complete discrete valuation ring or the product of two such rings).

Theorem 12.14 Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over a number field $k$ having complex multiplication by $R$ over $k$. Then $E$ has potential good reduction at every prime $v$ of $k$ (i.e., $E$ acquires good reduction after a finite extension of $k$).

Proof. Let $\ell$ be a prime number not divisible by $v$. According to Silverman 1986, VII.7.3, p. 186, we have to show that the action of the inertia group $I_v$ at $v$ on $T_1 A$ factors through a finite quotient. But we know that it factors through the inertia subgroup $J_v$ of $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{ab}}/k)$, and class field theory tells us that there is a surjective map

$$O_v^\times \to J_v$$

where $O_v$ is the ring of integers in $k_v$. Thus we obtain a homomorphism

$$O_v^\times \to J_v \to O_\ell^\times \subset \text{Aut}(T_1 E),$$

where $O_\ell$ is the ring of integers in $K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. I claim that every homomorphism $O_v^\times \to O_\ell^\times$ automatically factors through a finite quotient. In fact algebraic number theory shows that $O_v^\times$ has a subgroup $U^1$ of finite index which is a pro-$p$-group, where $p$ is the prime lying under $v$. Similary, $O_\ell^\times$ has a subgroup of finite index $V$ which is a pro-$\ell$-group. Any map from a pro-$p$ group to a pro-$\ell$-group is zero, and so $\text{Ker}(U^1 \to O^\times) = \text{Ker}(U^1 \to O^\times/V)$, which shows that the homomorphism is zero on a subgroup of finite index of $U^1$. □

Corollary 12.15 If $E$ is an elliptic curve over a number field $k$ with complex multiplication, then $j(E) \in O_K$.

Proof. An elementary argument shows that, if $E$ has good reduction at $v$, then $j(E) \in O_v$ (cf. Silverman 1986, VII.5.5, p. 181). □

Corollary 12.16 Let $j$ be the (usual) modular function for $\Gamma(1)$, and let $z \in \mathbb{H}$ be such that $\mathbb{Q}[z]$ is a quadratic imaginary number field. Then $j(z)$ is an algebraic integer.

Remark 12.17 There are analytic proofs of the integrality of $j(E)$, but they are less illuminating.
Statement of the main theorem (first form)

Let $K$ be a quadratic imaginary number field, with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$, and let $\text{Ell}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ with complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_K$. For any fractional $\mathcal{O}_K$-ideal $\Lambda$ in $K$, we write $j(\Lambda)$ for $j(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$. (Thus if $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ where $z = \omega_1/\omega_2$ lies in the upper half plane, then $j(\Lambda) = j(z)$, where $j(z)$ is the standard function occurring in the theory of elliptic modular functions.)

**Theorem 12.18**

(a) For any elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_K$, $K[j(E)]$ is the Hilbert class field $K^{\text{hcf}}$ of $K$.

(b) The group $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{hcf}}/K)$ permutes the set $\{j(E) \mid E \in \text{Ell}(\mathcal{O}_K)\}$ transitively.

(c) For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $K$, $\text{Frob}(\mathfrak{p})(j(\Lambda)) = j(\Lambda \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{-1})$.

The proof will occupy the next few subsections.

The theory of $\alpha$-isogenies

Let $R$ be an order in $K$, and let $\alpha$ be a proper ideal in $R$. For an elliptic curve $E$ over a field $k$ with complex multiplication by $R$, we define

$$\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \bigcap_{a \in \alpha} \text{Ker}(a: E \to E).$$

Note that if $\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, then $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \bigcap \text{Ker}(a_i: E \to E)$. Let $\Lambda$ be a proper $R$-ideal, and consider the elliptic curve $E(\Lambda)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\Lambda \cdot \alpha^{-1}$ is also a proper ideal.

**Lemma 12.19**

There is a canonical map $E(\Lambda) \to E(\Lambda \cdot \alpha^{-1})$ with kernel $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$.

**Proof.** Since $\Lambda \subset \Lambda \cdot \alpha^{-1}$, we can take the map to be $z + \Lambda \mapsto z + \Lambda \cdot \alpha^{-1}$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 12.20**

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $k$ with complex multiplication by $R$, and let $\alpha$ be a proper ideal in $R$. Assume $k$ has characteristic zero. Then there is an elliptic curve $\alpha \cdot E$ and a homomorphism map $\varphi_\alpha: E \to \alpha \cdot E$ whose kernel is $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$. The pair $(\alpha \cdot E, \varphi_\alpha)$ has the following universal property: for any homomorphism $\psi: E \to E'$ with $\text{Ker}(\psi) \supset \text{Ker}(\alpha)$, there is a unique homomorphism $\psi': \alpha \cdot E \to E'$ such that $\psi \circ \varphi_\alpha = \varphi.$

**Proof.** When $k = \mathbb{C}$, we write $E = E(\Lambda)$ and take $\alpha \cdot E = E(\Lambda \cdot \alpha^{-1})$. If $k$ is a field of characteristic zero, we define $\alpha \cdot E = E(\Lambda \cdot \alpha^{-1})$ (see Silverman 1986, 4.12, 4.13.2, p. 78).

We want to extend the definition of $\alpha \cdot E$ to the case where $k$ need not have characteristic zero. For this, we define $\alpha \cdot E$ to be the image of the map

$$x \mapsto (a_1x, \ldots, a_nx): E \to E^n, \quad \alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_n),$$

and $\varphi_\alpha$ to be this map. We call the isogeny $\varphi_\alpha: E \to \alpha \cdot E$ (or any isogeny that differs from it by an isomorphism) an $\alpha$-isogeny. The degree of an $\alpha$-isogeny is $\text{deg}(\alpha) = (\mathcal{O}_K : \alpha)$.

We obtain an action, $(\alpha, E) \mapsto \alpha \cdot E$, of $\text{Cl}(R)$ on $\text{Ell}_k(R)$, the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $k$ having complex multiplication by $R$.

**Proposition 12.21**

The action of $\text{Cl}(R)$ on $\text{Ell}_k(R)$ makes $\text{Ell}_k(R)$ into a principal homogeneous space for $\text{Cl}(R)$, i.e., for any $x_0 \in \text{Ell}(R)$, the map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \cdot x_0: \text{Cl}(R) \to \text{Ell}(R)$ is a bijection.

**Proof.** When $k = \mathbb{C}$, this is a restatement of an earlier result (before we implicitly took $x_0$ to be the isomorphism of class of $\mathbb{C}/R$, and considered the map $\text{Cl}(R) \to \text{Ell}(R), \alpha \mapsto \alpha^{-1} \cdot x_0$). We omit the proof of the general case, although this is a key point. \hfill \Box
Reduction of elliptic curves

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over a number field $k$ with good reduction at a prime $v$ of $k$. For simplicity, assume that $v$ does not divide 2 or 3. Then $E$ has an equation

$$Y^2Z = X^3 + aXZ^2 + bZ^3$$

with coefficients in $O_v$ whose discriminant $\Delta$ is not divisible by $p_v$.

Reduction of the tangent space

Recall that for a curve $C$ defined by an equation $F(X, Y) = 0$, the tangent space at $(a, b)$ on the curve is defined by the equation:

$$\left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial X} \right|_{(a, b)} (X - a) + \left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y} \right|_{(a, b)} (Y - b) = 0.$$ 

For example, for

$$Z = X^3 + aXZ^2 + bZ^3$$

we find that the tangent space to $E$ at $(0, 0)$ is given by the equation

$$Z = 0.$$ 

Now take a Weierstrass minimal equation for $E$ over $O_v$—we can think of the equation as defining a curve $E$ over $O_v$, and use the same procedure to define the tangent space $T_{gt_0}(E)$ at 0 on $E$—it is an $O_v$-module.

**Proposition 12.22** The tangent space $T_{gt_0}(E)$ at 0 to $E$ is a free $O_v$-module of rank one such that

$$T_{gt_0}(E) \otimes_{O_v} K_v = T_{gt_0}(E/K_v), \quad T_{gt_0}(E) \otimes_{O_v} \kappa(v) = T_{gt_0}(E(v))$$

where $\kappa(v) = O_v/p_v$ and $E(v)$ is the reduced curve.

**Proof.** Obvious.

Thus we can identify $T_{gt_0}(E)$ (in a natural way) with a submodule of $T_{gt_0}(E)$, and $T_{gt_0}(E(v)) = T_{gt_0}(E)/m_v \cdot T_{gt_0}(E)$, where $m_v$ is the maximal ideal of $O_v$.

Reduction of endomorphisms

Let $\alpha: E \to E'$ be a homomorphism of elliptic curves over $k$, and assume that both $E$ and $E'$ have good reduction at a prime $v$ of $k$. Then $\alpha$ defines a homomorphism $\alpha(v): E(v) \to E'(v)$ of the reduced curves. Moreover, $\alpha$ acts as expected on the tangent spaces and the points of finite order. In more detail:

(a) the map $T_{gt_0}(\alpha): T_{gt_0}(E) \to T_{gt_0}(E')$ maps $T_{gt_0}(E)$ into $T_{gt_0}(E')$, and induces the map $T_{gt_0}(\alpha(v))$ on the quotient modules;

(b) recall (Silverman 1986) that for $\ell \neq \text{char}(\kappa(v))$ the reduction map defines an isomorphism $T_\ell(E) \to T_\ell(E_0)$; there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{align*}
T_\ell E & \xrightarrow{\alpha} T_\ell E' \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
T_\ell E(v) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} T_\ell E'(v).
\end{align*}$$
It follows from (b) and Proposition 12.10 that $\alpha$ and $\alpha_0$ have the same characteristic polynomial (hence the same degree).

Also, we shall need to know that the reduction of an $\alpha$-isogeny is an $\alpha$-isogeny (this is almost obvious from the definition of an $\alpha$-isogeny).

Finally, consider an $\alpha$-isogeny $\varphi: E \to E'$; it gives rise to a homomorphism

$$\text{Tgt}_0(E) \to \text{Tgt}_0(E')$$

whose kernel is $\bigcap \text{Tgt}_0(\alpha)$, $\alpha$ running through the elements of $\alpha$ (this again is almost obvious from the definition of $\alpha$-isogeny).

**The Frobenius map**

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over the finite field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$. If $E$ is defined by

$$Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b,$$

then write $E^{(q)}$ for the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 + a^qX + b^q.$$

Then the Frobenius map $\text{Frob}_q$ is defined to be

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x^q, y^q): E \to E^{(q)}.$$

**Proposition 12.23** The Frobenius map $\text{Frob}_p$ is a purely inseparable isogeny of degree $p$; if $\varphi: E \to E'$ is a second purely inseparable isogeny of degree $p$, then there is an isomorphism $\alpha: E^{(p)} \to E'$ such that $\alpha \circ \text{Frob}_p = \varphi$.

**Proof.** This is similar to Silverman 1986, 2.11, p. 30. We have $(\text{Frob}_p)^*(k(E^{(p)})) = k(E)^p$, which the unique subfield of $k(E)$ such that $k(E) \supset k(E)^p$ is a purely inseparable extension of degree $p$.

**Remark 12.24** There is the following criterion: A homomorphism $\alpha: E \to E'$ is separable if and only the map it defines on the tangent spaces $\text{Tgt}_0(E) \to \text{Tgt}_0(E')$ is an isomorphism.

**Proof of the main theorem**

The group $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{al}/K)$ acts on $\text{Ell}(R)$, and commutes with the action of $\text{Cl}(R)$. Fix an $x_0 \in \text{Ell}(R)$, and for $\sigma \in G$, define $\varphi(\sigma) \in \text{Cl}(R)$ by:

$$\sigma x_0 = x_0 \cdot \varphi(\sigma).$$

One checks directly that $\varphi(\sigma)$ is independent of the choice of $x_0$, and that $\varphi$ is a homomorphism. Let $L$ be a finite extension of $K$ such that

(a) $\varphi$ factors through $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$;

(b) there is an elliptic curve $E$ defined over $L$ with $j$-invariant $j(\alpha)$, some proper $R$-ideal $\alpha$.

**Lemma 12.25** There is a set $S$ of prime ideals of $K$ of density one excluding those that ramify in $L$, such that

$$\varphi(\varphi_p) = \text{Cl}(p)$$

for all $p \in S$; here $\varphi_p \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is a Frobenius element.
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The set of such \( p \) has density one (conditions (i) and (ii) exclude only finitely many primes, and it is a standard result (CFT, VI 3.2) that the primes satisfying (iii) have density one).

To prove the equation, we have to show that

\[ \varphi_p(E) \approx p \cdot E. \]

We can verify this after reducing mod \( \mathfrak{p} \).

We have a \( p \)-isogeny \( E \to p \cdot E \). When we reduce modulo \( p \), this remains a \( p \)-isogeny. It is of degree \( \mathbb{N}(p) = p \), and by looking at the tangent space, one sees that it is purely inseparable. Now \( \varphi_p(E) \) reduces to \( E^{(p)} \), and we can apply Proposition 12.23 to see that \( E^{(p)} \) is isomorphic to \( p \cdot E \).

We now prove the theorem. Since the Frobenius elements \( \text{Frob}_p \) generate \( \text{Gal}(L/K) \), we see that \( \varphi \) is surjective; whence (a) of the theorem. Part (b) is just what we proved.

**The main theorem for orders**

(Outline) Let \( R_f \) be an order in \( K \). Just as for the maximal order \( \mathcal{O}_K \), the ideal class group \( \text{Cl}(R) \) can be identified with a quotient of the idèle class group of \( K \), and so class field theory shows that there is an abelian extension \( K_{f} \) of \( K \) such that the Artin reciprocity map defines an isomorphism

\[ \phi: \text{Cl}(R_f) \to \text{Gal}(K_{f}/K). \]

Of course, when \( f = 1 \), \( K_{f} \) is the Hilbert class field. The field \( K_{f} \) is called the **ring class field**. Note that in general \( \text{Cl}(R_f) \) is much bigger than \( \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K) \).

The same argument as before shows that if \( E_f \) has complex multiplication by \( R_f \), then \( K[j(E_f)] \) is the ring class field for \( K \). Kronecker predicted (I think)\(^7\) that \( K_{ab} \) should equal \( K^* \), where \( K' = \bigcup K(j(E_f)) \) (union over positive integers). Note that

\[ K' = \bigcup K(j(\tau)) \quad (\text{union over } \tau \in K, \ \tau \in \mathbb{H}), \]

and so \( K^* \) is obtained from \( K \) by adjoining the special values \( j(z) \) of \( j \) and the special values \( e^{2\pi i m/n} \) of \( e^z \).

**Theorem 12.26** The Galois group \( \text{Gal}(K_{ab}/K^*) \) is a product of groups of order 2.

**Proof.** Examine the kernel of the map \( \mathbb{I}_K \to \text{Gal}(K^*/K). \)

---

\(^7\) Actually, it is not too clear exactly what Kronecker predicted—see the articles of Schappacher.
Points of order $m$

(Outline) We strengthen the main theorem to take account of the points of finite order. Fix an $m$, and let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ with complex multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_K$. For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ fixing $K$, there is an isogeny $\alpha: E \to \sigma E$, which we may suppose to be of degree prime to $m$. Then $\alpha$ maps $E_m$ into $\sigma E_m$, and we can choose $\alpha$ so that $\alpha(x) \equiv \sigma x \pmod{m}$ for all $x \in T_f E$. We know that $\alpha$ will be an $a$-isogeny for some $a$, and under our assumptions $a$ is relatively prime to $m$.

Write $\text{Id}(m)$ for the set of ideals in $K$ relatively prime to $m$, and $\text{Cl}(m)$ for the corresponding ideal class group. The above construction gives a homomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/K) \to \text{Cl}(m).$$

Let $K_m$ be the abelian extension of $K$ (given by class field theory) with Galois group $\text{Cl}(m)$.

**Theorem 12.27** The homomorphism factors through $\text{Gal}(K_m/K)$, and is the reciprocal of the isomorphism given by the Artin reciprocity map.

**Proof.** For $m = 1$, this is the original form of the main theorem. A similar argument works in the more general case. \qed

**Adelic version of the main theorem**

Omitted.
affine algebra, 91
affine variety, 92
algebraic variety, 94
arithmetic subgroup, 29
automorphy factor, 59
bounded on vertical strips, 102
bounded symmetric domain, 39
canonical model, 95
class group, 122
commensurable, 29
compact space, 13
compatible, 15
complex multiplication, 123
complex structure, 15
conductor, 122
congruence subgroup, 28
continuous, 13
doctrine covering, 15
doctrine neighbourhood, 15
discontinuous, 26
differential form, 17, 18, 51
dimension, 94
discontinuous, 26
divisor of a function, 20
divisors, 20
doubly periodic, 41
degree, 21
degree of a point, 93
differential form, 17, 18, 51
dimension, 94
discontinuous, 26
divisor of a function, 20
divisors, 20
doubly periodic, 41
Eisenstein series, 45, 49
Eisenstein series, general, 66
Eisenstein series, normalized, 78
Eisenstein series, restricted, 66
elliptic, 30
elliptic curve, 46, 98
elliptic modular curve, 39
elliptic point, 31
equivalent, 33
field of constants, 94
fine moduli variety, 98, 100
first kind, 18
freely, act, 27
Frobenius map, 106
Fuchsian group, 28
function
   even, 44
function field, 94
fundamental domain, 32
fundamental parallelogram, 41
geometric conductor, 111
Hecke algebra, 81
Hecke correspondence, 81
hermitian symmetric domain, 39
holomorphic, 15, 16
hyperbolic, 30
ideal
   radical, 91
integral, 34
isogeny, 126
isomorphism, 16
isotropy group, 13
Jacobian variety, 115
level-N structure, 100
linear fractional transformation, 30
linearly equivalent, 21
loxodromic, 30
map
   regular, 92
Mellin transform, 102
meromorphic, 15
meromorphic modular form, 49
model, 92
modular elliptic curve, 39, 117
modular form, 49
modular form and multiplier, 103
modular function, 48
moduli problem, 97
moduli variety, 97
morphism of prevarieties, 92

orbit, 13
order, 29, 122

parabolic, 30
Petersson inner product, 64
Poincaré series, 61
point, 93
positive divisor, 20
presheaf, 91
prevariety, 92
principal divisor, 21
principal ideal, 122
proper ideal, 122
properly discontinuous, 26
purely inseparable, 106

Ramanujan function, 58, 67
ramification points, 19
reduced, 34
regular, 94
Riemann sphere, 16
Riemann surface, 15
ring class field, 129
ring of correspondences, 105
ringed space, 16, 91

second kind, 18
separable, 106
separated, 92
sheaf, 91
sheaf of algebras, 16
Shimura variety, 40
solution to a moduli problem, 97
special orthogonal group, 25
special unitary group, 26
stabilizer, 13
standard ringed space, 16

topological group, 13

valence, 19
variety, 92

width of a cusp, 48

Z-structure, 79
zero, 51
## List of Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{C}$</td>
<td>the complex numbers, p5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{H}$</td>
<td>the complex upper half plane, p6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{H}^*$</td>
<td>extended upper half plane, p36.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{P}^n$</td>
<td>projective $n$ space, p7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{R}$</td>
<td>the real numbers, p6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{A}(X)$</td>
<td>ring of correspondences, p108.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$</td>
<td>Hecke algebra, p83.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}$</td>
<td>set of lattices in $\mathbb{C}$, p71.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{M}(X)$</td>
<td>field of meromorphic functions on $X$, p20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$</td>
<td>modular forms of weight $2k$ for $\Gamma$, p51.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{O}_K$</td>
<td>ring of integers in a number field $K$, p43.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{S}_0^{\text{new}}(N)$</td>
<td>new cusp forms, p120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma)$</td>
<td>cusp forms of weight $2k$ for $\Gamma$, p51.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>the open unit disk, p5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle f, g \rangle$</td>
<td>Petersson inner product, p64.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma(N)$</td>
<td>matrices congruent to $I \mod N$, p6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_0(N)$</td>
<td>$2 \times 2$ matrices with $c \equiv 0 \mod N$, p28.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_k(z)$</td>
<td>Eisenstein series, p45.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{N}(z)$</td>
<td>the imaginary part of $z$, p6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k[C]$</td>
<td>ring of regular functions on $C$, p8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k(C)$</td>
<td>field of regular functions on $C$, p8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_2(A)$</td>
<td>ring of $2 \times 2$ matrices with entries in $A$, p28.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O(\varphi(z))$</td>
<td>$f(z) = O(\varphi(z))$ means $\ldots$, p44.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\wp$</td>
<td>Weierstrass $\wp$ function, p10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q = e^{2\pi i/h}$</td>
<td>some $h$, p7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SL}_2(A)$</td>
<td>group of elements of $M_2(A)$ with determinant 1, p28.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([x])</td>
<td>equivalence class containing (x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X(N))</td>
<td>(Y(N)) compactified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Y(N))</td>
<td>(\Gamma(N) \setminus \mathbb{H})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Y(\Gamma))</td>
<td>(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>