## ERRATA IN AND COMMENTS ON MILNE'S NOTES ON ABELIAN VARIETIES

- p.10, $A(\mathbb{C}$.)
- p.11, $Z$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}$
- p.13, It might be helpful to mention the identity $\bigwedge^{r}(V \oplus W)=\bigoplus_{i+j=r} \bigwedge^{i}(V) \otimes \bigwedge^{j}(W)$ either in the proof of Theorem 2.3, where it is used implicitly, or before when you introduce the exterior product
- p.14, but it is says
- p.15, on $E$ on $X$
- p.15, polarizable tori is
- p.15, for a simply polarizable torus $X$
- p.18, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\phi^{*}\right)$, the wrong phi
- p.18, the intersection in the proof of Lemma 3.5 should have a $U$ instead of $C$
- p.18, passing through $P$
- p.19, From the (3.5)
- p.20, the proof of 3.9 doesn't contain the case of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$
- p.22, $m, m, q, \ldots, q, 0$ should have a $p$ in it, too, for clarity
- p.23, proof of 5.4: the use of $n$ is slightly confusing, because you use it both as the induction variable, and in (2), so when you say Take $n=1$, you're proving the statement for $n=2$
- p.26, in the proof of 5.16: see (5.10)
- p.26, later the reference should probably be After (5.13), and later 5.19 instead of 5.18
- p.29, I personally found the proof of 6.1 confusing on a first read, in particular, the existence of $D_{i}$
- p.33, proof of $7.2: \mathcal{L} \otimes(-1)_{A}^{*} \mathcal{L}$ is also very ample
- p.33, it is once remove
- p.35, Because,
- p.35, that that
- p.35, $\lambda_{D}=(\operatorname{deg} D)^{2} \lambda_{D}$
- p.35, $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}=0$. (the dot is missing)
- p.36, in 8.6., $C=A$
- p.36, Picard, variety
- p.37, in line 3 , it would be good to add that $\mathcal{L}$ is defined on $A \times T$
- p.37, there is a regular map $\alpha: T \longrightarrow A^{\vee}$, not $A$
- p.37, (5.37)
- p.39, first line, $U$ should be the complement of $V \cap H$
- p.39, nor the 2 by a 1 is slightly misleading, should be nor by 1
- p.40, $\phi$ should be replaced by $\lambda$
- p. 40 , in the last line of the first paragraph, should $\operatorname{read}\left(\mathcal{L}^{*}\right)_{a} \approx\left(\mathcal{L}^{*}\right)_{b}$
- p.40, it is now subgroup
- p.40, proved very general theorem
- p.43, $\Phi$ instead of $\psi$ at the end of 10.2
- p.44, at the end of the proof of 10.3 , the basis should be indexed by $(1, \ldots, d)$, not $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$, similarly for the $e_{i}^{\prime}$
- p.44, $A\left(k^{\text {sep }}\right)(l)$ has not been defined
- p.46, a field an arbitrary field..
- p.46, so it natural
- p.46, in the second half of the page, the standing hypothesis is that $A$ is defined over $\mathbb{C}$, which should be replaced by $k$
- p.47, in 10.13, function of degree $2 g$ in, should be on.
- p.48, in the proof of 10.13 , need also to show that the polynomial is non-trivial
- p.48, in the proof of 10.9 , I'm not sure how you obtained the summand $\left(\alpha+n_{A}\right)^{*} D$ in your formula for $D_{n}$, and the notation is a bit confusing, because it seems you take $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=\alpha$ in the formula derived in the proof of 10.13
- p.49, The contradicts
- p.50, Faltings proved in, an it is missing
- p.50, a space between group and $\mathrm{NS}(V)$
- p.52, proof of 10.20: $k=k^{\text {sep }}$, not $k_{s}$
- p.52, Trd, not Trd
- p.52, in 10.23 , what's $\alpha$ ?
- p.53, We shall that
- p.53, the characteristic polynomial of $\alpha$, not $V_{l}(\alpha)$
- p.53, in Notes, there shouldn't be an $n$ in $A\left(l^{n}\right)$ (4 times), and it should be isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l} / \mathbb{Z}_{l}\right)^{2 \operatorname{dim} A} \operatorname{not}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l} / \mathbb{Z}_{l}\right)^{\operatorname{dim} A}$
- p.54, the reference should be Theorem 11.1, not 10.1
- p.54, Lefschetz traces formula
- p.54, then $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A)$ a division
- p.54, later need to tensor $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A)$ with $\mathbb{Q}_{l}$ to compare to $M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{l}\right)$
- p.55, in 12.1(b): $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$ not $Z_{l}$
- p.56, dimension if
- p.56, the map $\bigwedge^{r} H^{1}\left(A, \mathbb{Z}_{l}\right) \longrightarrow H^{r}\left(A, \mathbb{Z}_{l}\right)$ is injective, not to $H^{1}$
- p.56, $n>0$ prime to $n$
- p.56, in 12.5., $k$ separably closed was precisely the assumption of 12.1
- p.58, in 13.2(c), it should be $\alpha^{*} \mathcal{L}$, not $\alpha * \mathcal{L}$
- p. 58 , in the exact sequence, the second to last 1 should be a 2
- p.59, in the first line, should use homology, not cohomology
- p.59, in the proof of 13.5 , apply the theorem
- p.59, it should be $e_{m n}\left(a, \lambda b^{\prime}\right)=e_{m n}\left(c, \lambda b^{\prime}\right)^{n}$, not $e_{m n}(c, \lambda b)^{n}$
- p.61, in the proof of 13.13, the quoted theorem should be (15.3), not (15.1)
- p.63, in 14.6(b): can you elaborate on how $K$ is used?
- p.63, second to last line: $\lambda \mapsto u^{\vee} \circ \lambda \circ u$
- p.64, second line: the $u^{*} \mathcal{L}$ should be then
- p.66, we are given mapping
- p.66, interprete
- p.67, in 16.1, $\alpha=f$
- p.68, in the proof of $16.4(\mathrm{a}), B$ is undefined
- p.68, the images the
- p.68, $\alpha_{2}\left(B_{2}\right)$, not $\alpha_{2}(B)$
- p.71, integral of the form,
- p.71, a elliptic
- p.72, $\int_{\gamma} \omega=a \int_{\gamma} \omega$ should be a sum over $i$
- p.73, $\mathbb{Z}$ instead of $Z$.

