ERRATA IN AND COMMENTS ON MILNE'S NOTES ON ABELIAN VARIETIES

- p.10, $A(\mathbb{C}.)$
- p.11, Z instead of \mathbb{Z}
- p.13, It might be helpful to mention the identity $\bigwedge^r (V \oplus W) = \bigoplus_{i+j=r} \bigwedge^i (V) \otimes \bigwedge^j (W)$ either in the proof of Theorem 2.3, where it is used implicitly, or before when you introduce the exterior product
- p.14, but it is says
- p.15, on E on X
- p.15, polarizable *tori is*
- p.15, for a simply polarizable torus X
- p.18, $Im(\phi^*)$, the wrong phi
- p.18, the intersection in the proof of Lemma 3.5 should have a U instead of C
- p.18, passing through P
- p.19, From the (3.5)
- p.20, the proof of 3.9 doesn't contain the case of \mathbb{P}^1
- p.22, m, m, q, ..., q, 0 should have a p in it, too, for clarity
- p.23, proof of 5.4: the use of n is slightly confusing, because you use it both as the induction variable, and in (2), so when you say Take n = 1, you're proving the statement for n = 2
- p.26, in the proof of 5.16: see (5.10)
- p.26, later the reference should probably be After (5.13), and later 5.19 instead of 5.18
- p.29, I personally found the proof of 6.1 confusing on a first read, in particular, the existence of D_i
- p.33, proof of 7.2: $\mathcal{L} \otimes (-1)^*_A \mathcal{L}$ is also very ample
- p.33, it is once remove
- p.35, *Because*,
- p.35, that that
- p.35, $\lambda_D = (\deg D)^2 \lambda_D$
- p.35, $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$. (the dot is missing)
- p.36, in 8.6., C = A
- p.36, Picard, variety
- p.37, in line 3, it would be good to add that \mathcal{L} is defined on $A \times T$
- p.37, there is a regular map $\alpha: T \longrightarrow A^{\vee}$, not A
- p.37, (5.37)
- p.39, first line, U should be the complement of $V \cap H$
- p.39, nor the 2 by a 1 is slightly misleading, should be nor by 1
- p.40, ϕ should be replaced by λ
- p.40, in the last line of the first paragraph, should read $(\mathcal{L}^*)_a \approx (\mathcal{L}^*)_b$
- p.40, it is now subgroup
- p.40, proved very general theorem
- p.43, Φ instead of ψ at the end of 10.2
- p.44, at the end of the proof of 10.3, the basis should be indexed by (1, ..., d), not $e_1, ..., e_n$, similarly for the e'_i
- p.44, $A(k^{\text{sep}})(l)$ has not been defined
- p.46, a field an arbitrary field..
- p.46, so it natural
- p.46, in the second half of the page, the standing hypothesis is that A is defined over \mathbb{C} , which should be replaced by k
- p.47, in 10.13, function of degree 2g in, should be on.
- p.48, in the proof of 10.13, need also to show that the polynomial is non-trivial
- p.48, in the proof of 10.9, I'm not sure how you obtained the summand $(\alpha + n_A)^*D$ in your formula for D_n , and the notation is a bit confusing, because it seems you take $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = \alpha$ in the formula derived in the proof of 10.13
- p.49, The contradicts

- p.50, Faltings proved in, an it is missing
- p.50, a space between group and NS(V)
- p.52, proof of 10.20: $k = k^{sep}$, not k_s
- p.52, Trd, not Trd
- p.52, in 10.23, what's α ?
- p.53, We shall that
- p.53, the characteristic polynomial of α , not $V_l(\alpha)$
- p.53, in *Notes*, there shouldn't be an n in $A(l^n)$ (4 times), and it should be isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)^{2 \dim A}$ not $(\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)^{\dim A}$
- p.54, the reference should be Theorem 11.1, not 10.1
- p.54, Lefschetz traces formula
- p.54, then $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A)$ a division
- p.54, later need to tensor $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A)$ with \mathbb{Q}_{l} to compare to $M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}_{l})$
- p.55, in 12.1(b): \mathbb{Z}_l not Z_l
- p.56, dimension if
- p.56, the map $\bigwedge^r H^1(A, \mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow H^r(A, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ is injective, not to H^1
- p.56, n > 0 prime to n
- p.56, in 12.5., k separably closed was precisely the assumption of 12.1
- p.58, in 13.2(c), it should be $\alpha^* \mathcal{L}$, not $\alpha * \mathcal{L}$
- p.58, in the exact sequence, the second to last 1 should be a 2
- p.59, in the first line, should use homology, not cohomology
- p.59, in the proof of 13.5, apply the *theorem*
- p.59, it should be $e_{mn}(a, \lambda b') = e_{mn}(c, \lambda b')^n$, not $e_{mn}(c, \lambda b)^n$
- p.61, in the proof of 13.13, the quoted theorem should be (15.3), not (15.1)
- p.63, in 14.6(b): can you elaborate on how K is used?
- p.63, second to last line: $\lambda \mapsto u^{\vee} \circ \lambda \circ u$
- p.64, second line: the $u^*\mathcal{L}$ should be then
- p.66, we are given mapping
- p.66, *interprete*
- p.67, in 16.1, $\alpha = f$
- p.68, in the proof of 16.4(a), B is undefined
- p.68, the images the
- p.68, $\alpha_2(B_2)$, not $\alpha_2(B)$
- p.71, integral of the form,
- p.71, a elliptic
- p.72, $\int_{\infty} \omega = a \int_{\infty} \omega$ should be a sum over *i*
- p.73, \mathbb{Z} instead of Z.